HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: PCB RCC 11-01 Reviser's/General Bill

SPONSOR(S): Rules & Calendar Committee TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Orig. Comm.: Rules & Calendar Committee	19 Y, 0 N	Hunter	Birtman

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Florida statute requires the Division of Statutory Revision of the Office of the Legislative Services to conduct a systematic and continuing study of this state's statutes and laws. The purpose of this study is to recommend to the Legislature changes that will remove inconsistencies, redundancies, and unnecessary repetition from the statutes and otherwise improve their clarity and facilitate their correct and proper interpretation. In furthering this statutory requirement, Statutory Revision recommends changes such as correcting grammatical and typographical errors and removing obsolete, repealed, or superseded provisions. These recommendations are submitted to the Legislature as technical, non-substantive reviser's bills.

PCB RCC 11-01 is a general reviser's bill of technical nature that deletes expired or obsolete language; corrects cross-references and grammatical errors; removes inconsistencies, redundancies, and unnecessary repetition in the statutes; improves the clarity of the statutes and facilitates their correct interpretation; and confirms the restoration of provisions unintentionally omitted from republication in the Legislature's acts during the amendatory process.

Pursuant to House Rule 12.3(e), a reviser's bill cannot be amended except to delete a bill section.

This bill has no fiscal impact.

This bill becomes effective on the 60th day after adjournment sine die.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: pcb01a.RCC

DATE: 2/22/2011

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The effect of the general reviser's bill is of a technical, non-substantive nature. The bill amends, deletes, and reenacts various statutory provisions. Further, the bill deletes expired or obsolete language; corrects cross-references and grammatical errors; removes inconsistencies, redundancies, and unnecessary repetition in the statutes; improves the clarity of the statutes and facilitates their correct and proper interpretation; and confirms the restoration of provisions unintentionally omitted from republication in the Legislature's acts during the amendatory process.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Sections 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-13, 15-22, 24-27, 29-32, 34-36, 38, 39, 41-48, 50, and 51 delete provisions that have expired; have become obsolete, have had their effect, have served their purpose, or have been impliedly repealed or superseded; replace incorrect cross-references and citations; correct grammatical, typographical, and like errors; remove inconsistencies, redundancies, and unnecessary repetition; and improve the statute's clarity and facilitate their correct and proper interpretation.

Sections 3, 6, 23, 37, 40, and 49 reenact provisions unintentionally omitted from republication in the Legislature's acts during the amendatory process. Absent affirmative evidence of legislative intent to repeal these sections, each are reenacted here to confirm that the omission was not intended.

Sections 14, 28, 33, and 52-55 conform editorial redesignations of subsections and subunits.

Sections 56 provides for an effective date.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

Please see "FISCAL COMMENTS" in part II, Section D.

2. Expenditures:

Please see "FISCAL COMMENTS" in part II, Section D.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

Please see "FISCAL COMMENTS" in part II, Section D.

2. Expenditures:

Please see "FISCAL COMMENTS" in part II, Section D.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Please see "FISCAL COMMENTS" in part II, Section D.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The general reviser's bill deletes inoperative provisions of the statutes. There is no fiscal impact on state or local governments or on the private sector.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable because the general reviser's bill does not appear to require counties or cities to: spend funds or take action requiring the expenditure of funds; reduce the authority of counties or cities to raise revenue in the aggregate; or to reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or cities.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The general reviser's bill does not implicate authority for any agency to adopt rules.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

None.

STORAGE NAME: pcb01a.RCC

DATE: 2/22/2011