

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

**BILL #:** PCB CVJS 11-13 District Courts of Appeal

**SPONSOR(S):** Civil Justice Subcommittee; Passidomo

**TIED BILLS:** None **IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** None

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Orig. Comm.: Civil Justice Subcommittee	14 Y, 0 N	Billmeier	Bond

### SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Current law provides that three judges on a district court of appeal shall consider each case and that the concurrence of a majority shall be necessary to a decision. The Florida Constitution provides that three judges on a district court shall consider each case and the concurrence of two shall be necessary to a decision. The statute restates the constitutional provision. This bill repeals the redundant statute.

Current law provides that the duties of the clerk of the district court of appeal shall be as prescribed by the rules of court while the Constitution provides that the clerk shall perform such duties as the court directs. This bill repeals an unnecessary statute.

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

## FULL ANALYSIS

### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Section 35.13, F.S., provides that three judges on a district court of appeal shall consider each case and that the concurrence of a majority shall be necessary to a decision. Article V, s. 4(a), Fla. Const., provides that three judges on a district court shall consider each case and the concurrence of two shall be necessary to a decision. Section 35.13, F.S., restates the constitutional provision. This bill repeals the redundant statute.

Section 35.25, F.S., provides that the duties of the clerk of the district court of appeal shall be as prescribed by the rules of court. Article V, s. 4(c), Fla. Const., provides that the clerk shall perform such duties as the court directs. This bill repeals an unnecessary statute.

#### B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 repeals s. 35.13, F.S., relating to a quorum of a district court of appeal.

Section 2 repeals s. 35.25, F.S., relating to the duties of the clerk of a district court of appeal.

Section 3 provides an effective date of July 1, 2011.

### II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

##### 1. Revenues:

None.

##### 2. Expenditures:

None.

#### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

##### 1. Revenues:

None.

##### 2. Expenditures:

None.

#### C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

#### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

### III. COMMENTS

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

**IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES**

None.