# **HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS**

BILL #: PCB CVJS 11-14 Offers of Settlement

SPONSOR(S): Civil Justice Subcommittee
TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: None

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Orig. Comm.: Civil Justice Subcommittee		Billmeier	Bond

# **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

Current law provides two different statutes regarding offers of settlement. This proposed committee bill repeals the statute that only applies to causes of action accruing before October 1, 1990.

This proposed committee bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: pcb14.CVJS

DATE: 3/18/2011

## **FULL ANALYSIS**

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Section 45.061, F.S., provides for offers of settlements in civil actions. The statute provides for attorney fees, costs, expenses, expert witness fees, and other expenses to be assessed against a party that unreasonably rejects an offer of settlement. It was repealed for all causes of action that accrued after the effective date of the repeal, October 1, 1990. The Legislature enacted s. 768.79, F.S., and the Florida Supreme Court promulgated Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.442. The statute and rule provide a mechanism for parties to make offers of settlement and provide for sanctions for parties that unreasonably reject such offers.

Section 45.061, F.S., is obsolete by its own terms and in light of subsequent statutory changes and amendments to the rules of court. It is unlikely that there will be a need to apply the statute since the statutes of limitations for most causes of action have expired.

## **B. SECTION DIRECTORY:**

Section 1 repeals s. 45.061, F.S., relating to offers of settlement.

Section 2 provides an effective date of July 1, 2011.

#### II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

# A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1.	Revenues:		

2. Expenditures:

None.

None.

## B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

### III. COMMENTS

STORAGE NAME: pcb14.CVJS

**DATE: 3/18/2011** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 45.061(6), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Timmons v. Coombs, 608 So.2d 1 (Fla. 1992)("The legislature has now repealed section 45.061 with respect to causes of action accruing after October 1, 1990. Ch. 90-119, § 22, Laws of Fla. This leaves section 768.79 as the only statute on the subject for new causes of action").

# A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

Sections 44.102(5)(b) and 766.209(2), F.S., contain references to s. 45.061, F.S.

# IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

n/a

STORAGE NAME: pcb14.CVJS

DATE: 3/18/2011