

# Transportation & Tourism Appropriations Subcommittee

Monday, April 17, 2017 2:00 PM - 3:30 PM Reed Hall (102 HOB)

**Meeting Packet** 



# The Florida House of Representatives

# **Appropriations Committee**

# Transportation & Tourism Appropriations Subcommittee

Richard Corcoran Speaker Clay Ingram Chair

# **AGENDA**

Monday, April 17, 2017 Reed Hall (102 HOB) 2:00 PM – 3:30 PM

- I. Call to Order/Roll Call
- II. Opening Remarks by Chair Ingram
- III. Consideration of the following bills:

**CS/HB 97** Certificates of Title for Motor Vehicles by Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee, Pigman

**CS/HB 865** Department of Transportation by Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee, Williamson

HB 1163 Agency Rulemaking by Spano

HB 1387 Express Lanes by Nuñez

IV. Closing Remarks/Adjournment

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

CS/HB 97

Certificates of Title for Motor Vehicles

SPONSOR(S): Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee, Pigman & others

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: CS/SB 164

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee	15 Y, 0 N, As CS	Johnson	Vickers
Transportation & Tourism Appropriations     Subcommittee		Cobb p	Davis O
3) Government Accountability Committee		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

Current law requires a surviving spouse who wishes to keep a motor vehicle owned by the deceased spouse to retitle the vehicle in the surviving spouse's name upon payment of a \$75.25 fee.

The bill prohibits the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (DHSMV) and tax collectors from charging a surviving spouse any fee or service charge, excluding an expedited title fee, if applicable, for a motor vehicle certificate of title when the title is being issued solely to remove the deceased co-owner from the title.

The Revenue Estimating Conference met on March 24, 2017, and estimated that the committee substitute has a negative recurring annual impact of \$238,329 to the General Revenue Fund, \$111,740 to state trust funds, and a negative, insignificant recurring annual impact to local government revenues.

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2017.

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

#### **Current Situation**

In Florida, most vehicles are required to have a certificate of title. However, there are exceptions for mopeds, motorized bicycles, and trailers weighing less than 2,000 pounds. A person is required to apply for a title in his or her name after purchasing a new vehicle, bringing a vehicle into Florida, or at any time the ownership of a vehicle changes. A certificate of title is a record that proves ownership of a vehicle, which can be a certificate in either paper or electronic form, and is authorized or stored by the DHSMV. The application for a certificate of title requires, if applicable, information including, but not limited to, the:

- Owner's, co-owner's, or lessee's names, mailing addresses, dates of birth, and driver license numbers:
- Vehicle identification number;
- Make, manufacturer, year, body, and color of the vehicle;
- · Lienholder information; and
- Odometer declaration.<sup>4</sup>

Section 319.28, F.S., relates to the transfer of ownership of a motor vehicle by operation of law. More specifically, s. 319.28(1)(c), F.S., provides that if a surviving spouse wishes to dispose of a vehicle rather than retain it for his or her own use that he or she is not required to obtain a certificate of title in his or her own name, but may assign to the transferee the certificate of title that was issued to the decedent. However, if the surviving spouse wishes to retain the motor vehicle, he or she is required to obtain a certificate of title in his or her own name.

#### Fees and Service Charges

Sections 319.32 and 319.234, F.S., set the fees and service charges for various certificate of title related transactions.

For transferring a motor vehicle title to a surviving spouse, the normal title fees apply, which for a standard electronic title with no lien is \$75.25. The fees are distributed as follows:

- Base Title fee of \$48 goes to General Revenue.
- Odometer Fee of \$1 goes to the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund.
- Title Security Fee of \$1 goes to General Revenue.
- State Transportation Title Fee of \$21 goes to the Department of Transportation.
- Title Service Fee of \$4.25 goes to the agency processing the certificate of title, either DHSMV or the county tax collector.

# Co-owning a Vehicle<sup>5</sup>

Motor vehicles can be registered in the names of two or more persons as co-owners of the vehicle. Names conjoined with the word "or" are held in joint tenancy. Co-owners are deemed to have granted one another the absolute right to dispose of the title and interest in a motor vehicle, or place a lien or encumbrance on the motor vehicle. As part of joint tenancy, the signature of any co-owner constitutes proper endorsement. Upon the death of any co-owner under this form of title, any interest in the vehicle passes to the surviving co-owner. Names conjoined with the word "and" require the signature of each co-owner to transfer a title, or place a lien or encumbrance on the vehicle.

<sup>5</sup> Sections 319.22(2) and 319.235, F.S.

STORAGE NAME: h0097b.TTA.DOCX

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> DHSMV, *FAQs on Getting a Hassle Free Title*, <a href="http://www.flhsmv.gov/dmv/faqtitle.html">http://www.flhsmv.gov/dmv/faqtitle.html</a> (last visited Jan. 30, 2017).

<sup>2</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 319.001(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> DHSMV, Application for Certificate of Title With/Without Registration, <a href="http://www.flhsmv.gov/dmv/forms/BTR/82040.pdf">http://www.flhsmv.gov/dmv/forms/BTR/82040.pdf</a> (last visited Jan. 30, 2017).

DHSMV indicated that 22.63 percent of Florida vehicles are co-owned, but the number of these vehicles co-owned by spouses is unknown.<sup>6</sup>

# Transfer of Ownership- Death of Spouse

A surviving spouse who inherits the deceased spouse's motor vehicle may dispose of the vehicle without being required to obtain a certificate of title in his or her name. If the married couple are co-owners of the vehicle with names appearing conjoined by an "or" on the title, it is not necessary for the surviving spouse to apply for a new title, as he or she already has absolute rights to the vehicle. However, if the names are conjoined by "and" and the surviving spouse wishes to maintain ownership of the vehicle, the surviving spouse is required to apply for a certificate of title in order to remove the name of the deceased spouse.

## **Proposed Changes**

The bill prohibits the DHSMV and tax collectors from charging a surviving spouse any fee or service charge (excluding an expedited title fee, if applicable) for issuance of a motor vehicle certificate of title when the title is being issued solely to remove the deceased spouse as a co-owner. The fee waiver is only applicable if the vehicle is co-owned by both the surviving and deceased spouse with both names on the certificate of title.

#### **B. SECTION DIRECTORY:**

Section 1 amends s. 319.32, F.S., relating to fees, service charges and their disposition.

Section 2 provides an effective date of July 1, 2016.

#### II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

#### 1. Revenues:

On March 24, 2017, the Revenue Estimating Conference reviewed the committee substitute and determined that it would result in the following negative recurring fiscal impact to state government revenues:

Fiscal Year	General Revenue	Trust Funds	Total
2017-2018	\$238,329	\$111,740	\$350,069
2018-2019	\$243,408	\$114,121	\$357,529
2019-2020	\$248,390	\$116,458	\$364,848
2020-2021	\$253,704	\$118,949	\$372,653
2021-2022	\$259,591	\$121,709	\$381,300

#### 2. Expenditures:

DHSMV indicates that approximately 225 hours, or the equivalent of \$7,875 in FTE and contracted resources will be required in order to implement the bill. These costs can be absorbed within existing resources.<sup>8</sup>

#### **B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:**

STORAGE NAME: h0097b.TTA.DOCX

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Office of Economic and Demographic Research, The Florida Legislature, *Revenue Estimating Conference: SB164/HB* 97 (Jan. 20, 2017), <a href="http://edr.state.fl.us/Content/conferences/revenueimpact/archives/2017/">http://edr.state.fl.us/Content/conferences/revenueimpact/archives/2017/</a> pdf/page14-16.pdf (Jan. 31, 2017).

Section 319.28(1)(c), F.S.

DHSMV bill analysis of SB 164, page 4.

# 1. Revenues:

On March 24, 2017 the Revenue Estimating Conference reviewed the committee substitute and determined that it would result in a negative, insignificant fiscal impact to local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Surviving spouses will see a reduction in the cost of transferring certificates of title motor vehicles.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

#### III. COMMENTS

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not Applicable. This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take action requiring the expenditures of funds; reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

**B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:** 

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None

#### IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

On February 15, 2017, the Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee adopted one amendment and reported the bill favorable as a committee substitute. The amendment clarified that the fee waiver is only applicable if the vehicle is co-owned by the surviving and deceased spouse with both names on the certificate of title. Additionally, it prohibits tax collectors and DHSMV from charging any fee or service charge, with an exception for expedited title fees, if applicable.

The analysis is written to the committee substitute.

CS/HB 97 2017

1 2

2

**4** 5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

1314

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24 A bill to be entitled

An act relating to certificates of title for motor vehicles; amending s. 319.32, F.S.; prohibiting the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles and the tax collector from charging any fee or service charge, except the expedited title fee, if applicable, for a certificate of title issued for a motor vehicle solely to remove a deceased coowner from a title registered in the names of two persons if the other coowner is the surviving spouse; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

- Section 1. Subsection (7) is added to section 319.32, Florida Statutes, to read:
  - 319.32 Fees; service charges; disposition.-
- (7) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the department and the tax collector may not charge any fee or service charge, except the expedited title fee, if applicable, for a certificate of title issued for a motor vehicle solely to remove a deceased coowner from a title registered in the names of two persons if the other coowner is the surviving spouse.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2017.

Page 1 of 1

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

**CS/HB 865** 

Department of Transportation

SPONSOR(S): Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee; Williamson and others

**TIED BILLS:** 

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: CS/SB 1118

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee	13 Y, 0 N, As CS	Johnson	Vickers
Transportation & Tourism Appropriations     Subcommittee		Cobb C.	Davis (1)
3) Government Accountability Committee			

#### SUMMARY ANALYSIS

This bill is a comprehensive bill relating to the Department of Transportation (DOT). In summary, the bill:

- Increases the allowable weight of natural gas-fueled vehicles on the Interstate Highway System.
- Authorizes DOT to request permission from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) to conduct bridge inspections at risk-based intervals.
- Increases the maximum dollar threshold for rapid response contracts issued by DOT.
- Makes the validation of turnpike revenue bonds optional instead of mandatory.
- Provides that amendments to DOT's work program for certain emergency repairs are not subject to Legislative Budget Commission approval.
- Repeals the Highway Beautification Council, but retains highway beautification grants within DOT.
- Requires DOT approval for certain contracts issued by the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority (SFRTA).
- Provides that funds provided to SFRTA by DOT may not be committed without DOT's approval of SFRTA's expenditures.
- Prohibits DOT from providing certain funding until SFRTA cancels a specified contract.
- Requires DOT to provide a fiscal analysis regarding the redesignation of certain district boundaries and district headquarters.

DOT expects an insignificant decrease in revenues associated with allowing heavier natural gas-fueled vehicles to operate on the highways. Other provisions of the bill will have an indeterminate fiscal impact on DOT. The South Florida Regional Transportation Authority may likely see a significant reduction in statesponsored funding because of certain provisions in the bill. See Fiscal Analysis for details.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2017.

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The bill is a comprehensive bill relating to the Department of Transportation (DOT). For ease of understanding, this bill analysis is arranged by topic.

## **Truck Weights - Natural Gas Vehicles (Section 2)**

#### **Current Situation**

Federal Regulations - On December 4, 2015, the federal Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act was signed into law. The FAST Act allows states to increase the allowable weight of natural gas vehicles by an amount equal to the difference between the weight attributable to the vehicle's natural gas tank and fueling system and the weight of a comparable diesel tank and fueling system, up to a maximum gross vehicle weight of 82,000 pounds when operating on the Interstate Highway System. This allows states to increase the gross vehicle weight limit for natural gas fueled vehicles on the Interstate Highway System without the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) withholding funding from DOT.

Maximum Vehicle Weights - In Florida, with respect to the Interstate Highway System, the overall gross weight of a vehicle or combination of vehicles, including all enforcement tolerances, is determined by a formula.<sup>4</sup> However, such overall gross weight of any vehicle or combination of vehicles may not exceed 80,000 pounds, including all enforcement tolerances.<sup>5</sup>

According to DOT, since the weight increase authorized by the FAST Act is not currently allowed under Florida law, DOT developed a permit process allowing natural gas-fueled vehicles at the Federal weight limits. Previously, these vehicles were not required to obtain permits. To date, DOT has not issued any of these permits, which may be due to the industry being unaware that they need to obtain a permit and how to obtain a permit.<sup>6</sup>

Presently, there are several cases before the Commercial Motor Vehicle Review Board (CMVRB)<sup>7</sup> regarding citations issued for operating overweight natural gas-fueled vehicles. A number of commercial motor vehicle operators assumed the change in Federal law allowed them to legally operate in Florida, without requiring any additional permits from DOT. The CMVRB is not granting relief or refunds for these citations because they are based on violations of current Florida Statutes regarding maximum vehicle weight.<sup>8</sup>

*Unlawful Weights and Loads* - A person violating the state's overloading provisions<sup>9</sup> is presumed to have damaged this state's highways by reason of overloading, and a fine is assessed as follows:

Ten dollars if the weight in excess of the maximum allowed weight, is 200 pounds or less.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, Pub. L. No. 114-94, 129 Stat. 1312.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, Pub. L. No. 114-94, § 1410, 129 Stat. 1312, 1411.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 23 USC § 127 (2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> FLA. STAT. § 316.535(4). The formula is W = 500\*((L\*N /(N-1)) + 12\*N + 36), where L is the distance in feet between the extremes of any group of two or more consecutive axles, N is the number of axles in the group under consideration, and W represents the overall gross weight on any group of two or more consecutive axles to the nearest 500 pounds.
<sup>5</sup> FLA. STAT. § 316.535(4) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> DOT Legislative Proposal: Natural Gas Fueled Vehicles - Truck Weights. (Copy on file with Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The Commercial Motor Vehicle Review Board is created in s. 316.545(7), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> DOT Legislative Proposal: Natural Gas Fueled Vehicles - Truck Weights.

Maximum weight provisions are generally provided in s. 316.535(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> FLA. STAT. § 316.545(3)(a)1. (2017).

- Five cents per pound for each pound of weight in excess of the maximum allowed weight if the excess weight is greater than 200 pounds.<sup>11</sup>
- If the gross weight<sup>12</sup> of the vehicle or combination of vehicles does not exceed the maximum allowable gross weight, the maximum fine for the first 600 pounds of unlawful axle weight is \$10.<sup>13</sup>

For a vehicle equipped with fully functional idle-reduction technology, the fine is calculated by reducing the actual gross vehicle weight or the internal bridge weight by the certified weight of the idle-reduction technology or by 550 pounds, whichever is less. <sup>14</sup> The vehicle operator is required to present written certification of the weight of the idle-reduction technology and demonstrate or certify that the idle-reduction technology is fully functional. <sup>15</sup> This additional weight is not allowed for vehicles such as dump trucks, garbage trucks, concrete mixing trucks, and trucks constructed for a special type of work or use. <sup>16</sup>

## **Proposed Changes**

The bill creates s. 316.545(3)(c), F.S., providing that for a vehicle fueled by natural gas, the fine is calculated by reducing the actual gross vehicle weight by the certified weight difference between the natural gas tank fueling system and a comparable diesel tank and fueling system. <sup>17</sup> Upon the request of any weight inspector or law enforcement officer, the vehicle operator is required to present written certification identifying the weight of the natural gas tank and fueling system and the difference in weight of a comparable diesel tank and fueling system. The written certification is required to originate from the vehicle manufacturer or the installer of the natural gas tank and fueling system.

The actual gross vehicle weight for vehicles fueled by natural gas may not exceed 82,000 pounds, excluding the weight allowed for idle-reduction technology.

This additional weight is not allowed for vehicles such as dump trucks, garbage trucks, concrete mixing trucks, and trucks constructed for a special type of work or use.

# **Bridge Inspections (Section 3)**

## **Current Situation**

Federal Law - FHWA bridge inspection standards establish the inspection frequency of bridges.<sup>18</sup> In general, routine inspections occur at regular intervals not to exceed 24 months.<sup>19</sup> However, certain bridges require more frequent inspections considering factors such as age, traffic characteristics, and known deficiencies.<sup>20</sup> With written FHWA approval, certain bridges may be inspected at greater than 24 month intervals, not to exceed 48 months.<sup>21</sup> Longer intervals may be appropriate when past inspection findings and analysis justifies increasing the inspection interval.<sup>22</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> FLA. STAT. § 316.545(3)(a)2. (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Section 316.003(27). F.S., defines "gross weight" as the weight of a vehicle without load plus the weight of any load thereon.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> FLA. STAT. § 316.545(3)(a)3. (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> FLA. STAT. § 316.545(3)(b) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> FLA. STAT. § 316.545(3)(b) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> These vehicles are described in s. 316.535(6), F.S; FLA. STAT. § 316.545(3)(b) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Thus, the formula would be: gross vehicle weight – [(natural gas tank + fueling system) – (comparable diesel tank + fueling system)].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> 23 C.F.R. § 650, pt. c (2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> 23 C.F.R. § 650.311 (2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> 23 C.F.R. § 650.311(a)(2) (2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> 23 C.F.R. § 650.311(a)(3) (2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> 23 C.F.R. § 650.311(a)(3) (2016).

For underwater inspections, underwater structural elements are inspected at regular intervals not to exceed 60 months.<sup>23</sup> However, certain underwater structural elements require inspection at less than 60 month intervals.<sup>24</sup> Criteria to determine the level and frequency to which underwater structural elements are inspected considers factors such as construction material, environment, age, scour characteristics, condition rating from past inspections and known deficiencies.<sup>25</sup> However, certain underwater structural elements may be inspected at greater than 60 month intervals, not to exceed 72 months, with written FHWA approval.<sup>26</sup> This may be appropriate when past inspection findings and analysis justifies the increased inspection interval.<sup>27</sup>

Fracture critical members<sup>28</sup> are inspected at intervals not to exceed 24 months.<sup>29</sup> However, certain fracture critical members require inspection at less than 24-month intervals.<sup>30</sup> Criteria to determine the level and frequency to which fracture critical members are inspected consider factors such as age, traffic characteristics, and known deficiencies.<sup>31</sup>

FHWA has adopted a risk-based inspection system providing for more frequent inspections for bridges in poor condition and less frequent inspections for bridges in good condition. Sixteen states have adopted the FHWA risk-based bridge inspection cycle.<sup>32</sup>

*Florida Law* - Florida Statutes requires safety inspection of bridges at regular intervals, not to exceed two years, each bridge on a public transportation facility is inspected for structural soundness and safety for the passage of traffic on such bridge.<sup>33</sup> The thoroughness with which bridges are to be inspected depends on factors such as age, traffic characteristics, state of maintenance, and known deficiencies.<sup>34</sup> The governmental entity responsible for maintaining the bridge is responsible for performing inspections and preparing inspection reports.<sup>35</sup>

#### **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 335.074(2), F.S., changing the required bridge inspection interval from a time frame not to exceed two years to intervals as required by FHWA. This aligns the state statute with federal law and, subject to FHWA approval, allows DOT to establish a risk-based bridge assessment program as other states have done.

#### Rapid Response Contracts (Section 4)

#### **Current Situation**

DOT may enter into contracts for the construction and maintenance of all roads on the State Highway System<sup>36</sup> or the State Park Road System<sup>37</sup> or of any roads placed under its supervision.<sup>38</sup> DOT may

```
<sup>23</sup> 23 C.F.R. § 650.311(b)(1) (2016).
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> 23 C.F.R. § 650.311(b)(2) (2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> 23 C.F.R. § 650.311(c)(2) (2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> 23 C.F.R. § 650.311(b)(3) (2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> 23 C.F.R. § 650.311(b)(3) (2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> 23 CFR § 650.305 defines "fracture critical member" as "a steel member in tension, or with a tension element, whose failure would probably cause a portion or the entire bridge to collapse.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> 23 C.F.R. § 650.311(c)(1) (2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> 23 C.F.R. § 650.311(c)(2) (2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> 23 C.F.R. § 650.311(c)(2) (2016).

The sixteen states are: Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Illinois, Minnesota, Mississippi, Montana, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, Washington, and West Virginia.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Fla. Stat. § 335.074(1) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> FLA. STAT. § 335.074(2) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> FLA. STAT. § 335.074(2) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Section 334.03(24), F.S., defines "State Highway System" as the interstate system and all other roads within the state which were under the jurisdiction of the state on June 10, 1995, and roads constructed by an agency of the state for the State Highway System, plus roads transferred to the state's jurisdiction after that date by mutual consent with another STORAGE NAME: h0865b.TTA.DOCX

PAGE: 4

also enter into contracts for the construction and maintenance of rest areas, weigh stations, and other structures, including roads, parking areas, supporting facilities and associated buildings used in connection with such facilities.<sup>39</sup>

When DOT determines that it is in the best interest of the public for reasons of public concern, economy, improved operations or safety, and only when circumstances dictate rapid completion of the work, DOT may enter into contracts, up to the amount of \$120,000, for construction and maintenance without advertising and receiving competitive bids.<sup>40</sup> DOT may enter into these contracts only upon a determination that the work is necessary for one of the following reasons:<sup>41</sup>

- To ensure timely completion of projects or avoidance of undue delay for other projects;
- To accomplish minor repairs or construction and maintenance activities for which time is of the essence and for which significant cost savings would occur; or
- To accomplish nonemergency work necessary to ensure avoidance of adverse conditions that affect the safe and efficient flow of traffic.

In such instances DOT is required to make a good faith effort to obtain two or more quotes, if available, from qualified contractors before entering into any contract. However, when the work exists within the limits of an existing contract, DOT is required to make a good faith effort to negotiate and enter into a contract with the prime contractor on the existing contract.<sup>42</sup> DOT often uses these contracts for items such as sinkhole and guardrail repairs.<sup>43</sup>

According to DOT, the increase in construction cost due to inflation has limited the usefulness of the rapid response contracting statute. The current threshold of \$120,000 for rapid response contracts was established in 2002. According to DOT, increasing the rapid response contract amount to \$250,000 will account for increased construction costs and extend DOT's ability to quickly respond to construction and maintenance needs that are in the best interest of safety and the economy.<sup>44</sup>

## Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 337.11(6)(c), F.S., increasing the maximum amount of a rapid response contract that DOT may enter into from \$120,000 to \$250,000.

#### **Turnpike Bond Validation (Sections 1 and 5)**

#### Current Situation

Bond Validation - Chapter 75, F.S., establishes certain criteria for bond validation. Bond validation is a judicial process through which the legality of a proposed bond issue may be determined in advance of its issuance. It serves to assure bondholders that future court proceedings will not invalidate a government's pledge to repay the bonds. Validation is generally not necessary for established borrowing programs, such as Turnpike bonds, where any legal issues relating to the bonds have been

governmental entity, but not including roads so transferred from the state's jurisdiction. These facilities shall be facilities to which access is regulated.

STORAGE NAME: h0865b.TTA.DOCX

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Section 334.03(25), F.S., defines "State Park Road System" as roads embraced within the boundaries of state parks and state roads leading to state parks, other than roads of the State Highway System, the county road systems, or the city street systems.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> FLA. ŠTAT. § 337.11(1) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> FLA. STAT. § 337.11(1) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> FLA. STAT. § 337.11(6)(c) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> FLA. STAT. §§ 337.11(6)(c)1.-3. (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> FLA. STAT. § 337.11(6)(c) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> DOT Bill Proposal: Rapid Response Contracts-Price Cap Increase. Copy on file with the Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> DOT Bill Proposal: Rapid Response Contracts-Price Cap Increase. Copy on file with the Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee.

resolved previously. Validation is optional for almost all bonds issued by the Division of Bond Finance, including Public Education Capital Outlay Bonds and University Revenue Bonds. If a constitutional or statutory question arises for a proposed bond issue, a complaint for validation may be filed in circuit court even if validation is not required.

State Bond Act - Any bonds issued pursuant to the State Bond Act<sup>45</sup> are validated in the manner prescribed by chapter 75, F.S.<sup>46</sup> In any action to validate turnpike revenue bonds,<sup>47</sup> the complaint is filed in the circuit court of the county where the seat of state government is located, the required notice<sup>48</sup> is published in a newspaper of general circulation in the county where the complaint is filed, and in two other newspapers of general circulation. The complaint and order of the circuit court is served only on the state attorney of the circuit in which the action is pending.<sup>49</sup>

*Turnpike Revenue Bonds* - DOT is authorized to borrow money as provided by the State Bond Act for the purpose of paying all or any part of the cost of any one or more legislatively approved Turnpike projects. The principal of, and the interest on, Turnpike revenue bonds is payable only from revenues pledged for their payment. The principal of the interest on the interest on the payment. The payment is authorized to borrow money as provided by the State Bond Act for the purpose of paying all or any part of the cost of any one or more legislatively approved Turnpike projects.

#### **Proposed Changes**

The bill creates s. 338.227(5), F.S., providing that notwithstanding s. 215.82, F.S., Turnpike revenue bonds are not required to be validated, but may be validated at the option of the Division of Bond Finance. A complaint regarding such validation is filed in the circuit court of the county in which the seat of state government is situated. The required notice is published only in the county in which the complaint is filed. The complaint and order of the circuit court is served on the state attorney of the circuity in which the action is pending.

The bill also amends s. 215.82, F.S., removing a cross-reference.

# **DOT Work Program (Section 6)**

#### **Current Situation**

In general, s. 339.135, F.S., provides for DOT's work program, which lists transportation projects which DOT plans to undertake in the next five fiscal years. More specifically, s. 339.135(7), F.S., establishes procedures related to adding, advancing, deferring, or deleting projects or major phases from the list of projects. These actions are prepared as work program amendments, which are subject to notice and consultation procedures in s. 216.177, F.S., <sup>52</sup> and public notice provisions for other stakeholders.

Section 339.135(7)(e), F.S., authorizes the DOT Secretary to request from Executive Office of the Governor (EOG) the ability to amend DOT's adopted work program when an emergency<sup>53</sup> exists, and the emergency relates to the repair or rehabilitation of any state transportation facility. The EOG may approve the amendment to the adopted work program and amend that portion of the DOT's approved

STORAGE NAME: h0865b.TTA.DOCX

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> FLA. STAT. §§ 215.57-.83 (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> FLA. STAT. § 215.82 (2017); see also FLA. STAT. § 215.82(2) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Turnpike revenue bonds are issued pursuant to s. 338.227, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Notice is required pursuant to s. 75.06, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> FLA. STAT. § 215.616(6) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> FLA. STAT. § 338.227(1) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> FLA. STAT. § 338.227(1) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Section 216.177, F.S., provides for appropriations acts, statement of intent, violation, notice, review and objection procedures.

procedures.
<sup>53</sup> Section 252.34(4), F.S., defines "emergency" as "any occurrence, or threat thereof, whether natural, technological, or manmade, in war or in peace, which results or may result in substantial injury or harm to the population or substantial damage to or loss of property."

budget if a delay due to notification requirements<sup>54</sup> would be detrimental to the state's interests. However, DOT immediately notifies the parties specified in the notification requirements and provides such parties written justification for the emergency action within seven days after the EOGs approval of the amendment to the adopted work program and DOT's budget. The adopted work program may not be amended under s. 339.135(7)(e), F.S., without DOT's Comptroller certifying that there are sufficient funds available pursuant to DOT's 36-month cash forecast and applicable statutes.

In 2016, the Legislature created s. 337.135(7)(h), F.S., requiring that any work program amendment that also adds a new project, or phase of a new project, to the adopted work program in excess of \$3 million is subject to approval by the Legislative Budget Commission.

Subsequent to the passage of the 2016 legislation, DOT's inspection and investigation into a differentially settled sidewalk along Main Street over Hogans Creek in Duval County revealed multiple structural deficiencies with the supporting culvert structure. The circumstances were dire enough to warrant closing Main Street at the location. Further inspection revealed full structure replacement as the most efficient alternative to restore the roadway to full service. In response, DOT declared an emergency<sup>55</sup> to prevent harm and danger to vehicular, bicycle, and pedestrian traffic, and to accelerate repair in order to restore roadway operation. The Hogans Creek culvert replacement cost of \$4,436,307 was added to the list of projects making up DOT's work program and contracts were executed in order to protect the public and restore operation of the roadway. DOT believes that the ability to take immediate action to protect life, health and safety is critical.<sup>56</sup>

However, according to DOT, it is unclear if emergency events are exempt from s. 339.135(7)(h), F.S., requiring LBC review and approval for certain amendments to DOT's work program.

#### **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 339.135(7)(e), F.S., exempting from work program amendments related to emergency repairs which exceed the \$3 million threshold from the LBC review and approval requirements in s. 339.135(7)(h), F.S.

#### Florida Highway Beautification Council (Section 7)

# **Current Situation**

Section 344.044, F.S., provides DOT's powers and duties. This section stipulates that **at least** 1.5 percent of the amount contracted for construction projects is allocated by DOT on a statewide basis for the purchase of plant materials.<sup>57</sup>

Florida Highway Beautification Council - Established in 1987,<sup>58</sup> s. 339.2405, F.S., creates the Florida Highway Beautification Council (council) within DOT. The council has seven members appointed by the Governor. One member must be a licensed landscape architect, one member must be a representative of the Florida Federation of Garden Clubs, Inc., one member must be a representative of the Florida Nurserymen and Growers Association, one member must be a representative of DOT as designated by the Secretary of DOT,<sup>59</sup> one member must be a representative of the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, and two members must be private citizens. Council members serve at the pleasure of the Governor.<sup>60</sup>

57 FLA. STAT. § 334.044(26) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Notification requirements are provided in s. 339.135(7)(d), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> DOT declared the emergency as it is defined in s. 337.11, relating to DOT's contracting authority, s. 339.135(7)(e), F.S., relating to DOT's work program, and s. 252.34(4), F.S., which defines "emergency" as it relates emergency management. <sup>56</sup> Legislative Budget Commission Meeting Packet, September 12, 2016. EOG Number W2017-0026.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Chapter 87-121, L.O.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> The head of DOT is the DOT Secretary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> FLA. STAT. § 339.2405(1) (2017).

The council meets no less than semiannually. Four members constitute a quorum for the purpose of exercising all of the council's powers. A vote of the majority of the members present is sufficient for all council actions.<sup>61</sup>

Council members are prohibited from participating in any discussion or decision to recommend grants to any qualified local government with which the member is associated as a member of the governing body or as an employee or with which the member has entered into a contractual arrangement. <sup>62</sup>

The council's duties include: 63

- Provide information to local governments and local highway beautification councils regarding the state highway beautification grants program.
- Accept grant requests from local governments.
- Review grant requests for compliance with council rules.
- Establish rules for evaluating and prioritizing the grant requests. The rules must include, but are
  not limited to, an examination of each grant's aesthetic value, cost-effectiveness, level of local
  support, feasibility of installation and maintenance, and compliance with state and federal
  regulations. Rules adopted by the council which it uses to evaluate grant applications must take
  into consideration the contributions made by the highway beautification project in preventing
  litter.
- Maintain a prioritized list of approved grant requests. The list must include recommended funding levels for each request and, if staged implementation is appropriate, funding requirements for each stage must be provided.
- Assess the feasibility of planting and maintaining indigenous wildflowers and plants, instead of sod groundcovers, along the rights-of-way of state roads and highways. In making such assessment, the council must utilize data from other states which include indigenous wildflower and plant species in their highway vegetative management systems.

The council may, at the request of the Secretary of DOT, review and make recommendations on any other highway beautification matters relating to the State Highway System.<sup>64</sup> The Secretary provides from existing personnel such staff support services to the council as are necessary to enable the council to fulfill its duties and responsibilities.<sup>65</sup>

Local highway beautification councils may be created by local governmental entities or by the Legislature. Prior to being submitted to the council, a grant request must be approved by the local government or governments of the area in which the project is located.<sup>66</sup>

The Secretary, after receiving recommendations from the council, awards grants to local governmental entities that have submitted grant requests for beautification of roads on the State Highway System and which requests are on the council's approved list. The grants are awarded in the order they appear on the council's prioritized list and in accordance with available funding.<sup>67</sup>

State highway beautification grants may be requested only for projects to beautify through landscaping roads on the State Highway System.<sup>68</sup> The grant request is required to identify all costs associated with the project, including sprinkler systems, plant materials, equipment, and labor.<sup>69</sup> A grant provides for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> FLA. STAT. § 339.2405(3) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> FLA. STAT. § 339.2405(5) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> FLA. STAT. § 339.2405(7)(a) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> FLA. STAT. § 339.2405(7)(b) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> FLA. STAT. § 339.2405(8) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> FLA. STAT. § 339.2405(9) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> FLA. STAT. § 339.2405(10) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> FLA. STAT. § 339.2405(11) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> FLA. STAT. § 339.2405(11) (2017).

STORAGE NAME: h0865b.TTA.DOCX

the costs of purchase and installation of a sprinkler system, the cost of plant materials and fertilizer. and may provide for the costs for labor associated with the installation of the plantings. 70

The council annually submits to the Secretary a proposal recommending the level of grant funding.71

Department of Transportation - Currently, each DOT district<sup>72</sup> appoints a District Highway Beautification Council Grant Manager who works with the District Landscape Architect and State Transportation Landscape Architect to promote the grant program and assist applicants through the grant process. Each District Grant Manager compiles a district-wide list to the State Transportation Landscape Architect, who compiles a statewide list. The council then ranks all submitted applications. After the council ranks each project, the State Transportation Landscape Architect produces a ranked list of the projects and grants are awarded in the ranked order until the remaining budget is not sufficient to fund the next ranked project.

Below are the landscaping budget and highway beautification line item from the General Appropriations Act for each of the past five years:

Fiscal Year	Landscaping Budget	Highway Beautification Line Item
2012-2013	\$43,226,736	\$1,000,000
2013-2014	\$52,612,493,	\$1,000,000
2014-2015	\$53,052,665	\$1,800,000
2015-2016	\$50,168,127	\$1,817,000
2016-2017	\$65,272,208	\$1,800,000

## **Proposed Changes**

The bill amends s. 339.2405, F.S., eliminating the Florida Highway Beautification Council. The bill also provides that the Secretary makes final highway beautification grant decisions based on input from District Grant Managers, District Landscape Architects, and the State Transportation Landscape Architect. Local governments will continue to have input regarding the selection of landscaping projects through their local DOT districts.

Additionally, DOT would no longer be responsible for the administrative operation and travel expenses associated with the Florida Highway Beautification Council.

#### South Florida Regional Transportation Authority (Sections 8 through 11)

Part II of Ch. 343, F.S., is the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority (SFRTA) Act. SFRTA provides Tri-Rail commuter rail service in Palm Beach, Broward, and Miami-Dade counties.

# **Current Situation**

#### Definitions (Section 8)

Section 343.52, F.S., provides definitions relating to the South Florida Regional Transportation Act.

#### SFRTA (Section 9)

Section 343.53, F.S., creates SFRTA.

#### SFRTA Powers and Duties (Section 10)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> FLA. STAT. § 339.2405(11) (2017).
<sup>71</sup> FLA. STAT. § 339.2405(12) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> DOT consists of seven geographic districts, the turnpike enterprise, and the rail enterprise.

Included in SFRTA'S powers and duties are provisions allowing it to contract for the procurement of various goods and services.<sup>73</sup>

# SFRTA Funding (Section 11)

Generally, s. 343.58, F.S., provides the statutory funding for SFRTA. More specifically, s. 348.58(4), F.S., provides that effective July 1, 2010, until as provided below, DOT is required to annually transfer from the State Transportation Trust Fund (STTF) to SFRTA the amounts specified below.

- If SFRTA becomes responsible for maintaining and dispatching the South Florida Rail Corridor:
  - o \$15 million from the STTF to SFRTA for operations, maintenance, and dispatch; and
  - An amount no less than the work program commitments equal to \$27.1 million for fiscal year 2010-2011, as of July 1, 2009, for operating assistance to the authority and corridor track maintenance and contract maintenance for the South Florida Rail Corridor.
- If SFRTA does not become responsible for maintaining and dispatching the South Florida Rail Corridor:
  - o \$13.3 million from the STTF to SFRTA for operations; and
  - o An amount no less than the work program commitments equal to \$17.3 million for fiscal year 2010-2011, as of July 1, 2009, for operating assistance to the authority.

SFRTA is currently responsible for maintaining and dispatching on the South Florida Rail Corridor; therefore, the first funding scenario is in effect.

Funding required by s. 343.58(4), F.S. may not be provided from the funds dedicated to the Florida Rail Enterprise.<sup>74</sup>

SFRTA may not commit these DOT-provided funds without DOT approval, which may not be unreasonably withheld. At least 90 days before advertising any procurement or renewing any existing contract that will rely on state funds for payment, SFRTA is required to notify DOT of the proposed procurement or renewal and the proposed terms of the procurement or renewal. If DOT, within 60 days after receiving the notice, objects in writing to the proposed procurement or renewal, specifying its reasons for objection, SFRTA may not proceed with the proposed procurement or renewal. Failure of DOT to object in writing within 60 days after notice is deemed consent.

To enable DOT to evaluate SFRTA's proposed uses of state funds, SFRTA annually provides DOT with its proposed budget for the following fiscal year and provides DOT with any additional documentation or information required by DOT for its evaluation of the proposed uses of the state funds.

The statutory required funding ceases upon commencement of an alternate dedicated local funding source sufficient for SFRTA to meet its responsibilities for operating, maintaining, and dispatching the South Florida Rail Corridor. SFRTA and DOT are required to cooperate in the effort to identify and implement such an alternate dedicated local funding source before July 1, 2019. Upon commencement of the alternate dedicated local funding source, DOT conveys to SFRTA a perpetual commuter rail easement in the South Florida Rail Corridor and all of DOT's right, title, and interest in rolling stock, equipment, tracks, and other personal property owned and used by DOT for the operation and maintenance of the commuter rail operations in the South Florida Rail Corridor.

In recent correspondence with SFRTA, DOT expressed concern regarding SFRTA's accountability for DOT-provided state funds used for SFRTA's operation and maintenance costs. This concern was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> FLA. STAT. § 343.54 (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Funds are dedicated to the Florida Rail Enterprise pursuant to s. 201.15(4)(a)4., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> FLA. STAT. § 348.58(4)(c)1. (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> FLA. STAT. § 348.58(4)(c)1. (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> FLA. STAT. § 348.58(4)(c)1. (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> FLA. STAT. § 348.58(4)(d) (2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> FLA. STAT. § 348.58(4)(d) (2017).

<sup>80</sup> FLA. STAT. § 348.58(4)(d) (2017).

heightened by the SFRTA's board's decision to award a long-term operations and maintenance contract after unilaterally rejecting all but one of the proposals submitted.<sup>81</sup>

#### **Proposed Changes**

#### Definitions (Section 8)

The bill amends s. 343.52, F.S., defining "department" as the Department of Transportation. The bill also alphabetizes the definitions in that section.

#### SFRTA (Section 9)

The bill amends s. 343.53(2), F.S., conforming a cross-reference.

## SFRTA Power and Duties (Section 10)

The bill creates s. 343.54(4), F.S., prohibiting SFRTA from entering into a contract or other agreement, or renewing or extending any existing contract or other agreement, which may be funded, in whole or in part, with DOT provided funds without the DOT's prior review and written approval of SFRTA's proposed expenditures.

## SFRTA's Funding (Section 11)

The bill amends s. 348.58(4)(c), F.S., providing that funds provided to SFRTA by DOT may not be committed by SFRTA without prior review and written approval by DOT of SFRTA's expenditures.

The bill creates s. 348.58(4)(e), F.S., prohibiting DOT from providing SFRTA funding under s. 343.58(4), F.S., until SFRTA withdraws, cancels, or otherwise terminates its Notice of Intent for Contract Award Request for Proposal 16-010 "Operating Services" approved by SFRTA's board on January 27, 2017. Before entering into a new contract for the services that were the subject of that request for proposal, SFRTA is required to obtain DOT's written approval of all terms and conditions of a new procurement and contract that were the subject of the RFP to ensure that SFRTA has sufficient revenues to fund the contract.

# **DOT Districts (Section 12)**

#### **Current Situation**

Section 20.23, F.S., creates DOT as a decentralized agency. DOT's operations are organized into seven districts, each headed by a district secretary, and a turnpike enterprise and a rail enterprise, each headed by an executive director.<sup>82</sup> In order to provide for efficient operations and to expedite the decision-making process, DOT is required to provide for maximum decentralization to the districts.<sup>83</sup>

While s. 20.23(4)(a), F.S., provides the headquarters of each of the DOT districts and enterprises, the counties contained in each district are not provided in statute. The districts and counties served are as follows:

83 Section 20.23(4)(a), F.S.

STORAGE NAME: h0865b.TTA.DOCX DATE: 4/14/2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> Letter from DOT Secretary Jim Boxhold to Jack Stephens, Executive Director of SFRTA. January 27, 2017. Copy on file with Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> FLA. STAT. § 2023(4)(a) (2017).

DOT District	Headquarters (County)	Counties Served
1	Bartow (Polk)	Charlotte, Collier, DeSoto, Glades, Hardee, Hendry, Highlands, Lee, Manatee, Okeechobee, Polk, Sarasota.
2	Lake City (Columbia)	Alachua, Baker, Bradford, Clay, Columbia, Dixie, Duval, Gilchrist, Hamilton, Lafayette, Levy, Madison, Nassau, Putnam, St. Johns, Suwannee, Taylor, Union
3	Chipley (Washington)	Bay, Calhoun, Escambia, Franklin, Gulf, Holmes, Jackson, Jefferson, Leon, Liberty, Santa Rosa, Wakulla, Walton, Washington
4	Ft. Lauderdale (Broward)	Broward, Indian River, Martin, Palm Beach, St. Lucie
5	DeLand (Volusia)	Brevard, Flagler, Lake, Marion, Orange, Osceola, Seminole, Sumter, Volusia
6	Miami (Miami-Dade)	Miami-Dade, Monroe
7	Tampa (Hillsborough)	Citrus, Hernando, Hillsborough, Pasco, Pinellas.

Section 20.23(4)(a), F.S., also requires the headquarters for the Turnpike Enterprise to be located in Orange County and the headquarters for the Rail Enterprise to be located in Leon County.

Section 20.23(4)(d), F.S., provides that DOT's district director for the Fort Myers Urban Office<sup>84</sup> is responsible for developing the 5-year Transportation Plan for Charlotte, Collier, DeSoto, Glades, Hendry, and Lee counties. The Fort Myers Urban Office also is responsible for providing policy, direction, local government coordination, and planning for those counties.

# **Proposed Changes**

The bill provides that on or before October 31, 2017, DOT must submit to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives, a fiscal analysis of the following:

- Moving DOT's District 1 headquarters to Lee County;
- Making Polk County part of DOT District 5;
- Relocating DOT's District 5 headquarters to Polk County; and
- Making DOT's DeLand office an urban office located within DOT District 5.

# **B. SECTION DIRECTORY:**

**Section 1.** Amends s. 215.82, F.S., to remove a cross-reference.

Section 2. Amends s. 316.545, F.S., relating to unlawful weights and loads.

Section 3. Amends s. 335.074, F.S., relating to the safety inspection of bridges.

**Section 4.** Amends s. 331.11, F.S., relating to DOT's contracting authority.

Section 5. Amends s. 338.227, F.S., relating to turnpike revenue bonds.

Section 6. Amends s. 339.135, F.S., relating to DOT's work program.

Section 7. Amends s. 339.2405, F.S., relating to the Florida Highway Beautification Council.

Section 8. Amends s. 343.52, F.S., relating to definitions.

Section 9. Amends s. 343.53, F.S., relating to the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority.

**Section 10.** Amends s. 343.54, F.S., relating to the powers and duties of the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority.

**Section 11.** Amends s. 343.58, F.S., providing county funding for the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority.

Section 12. Requires DOT to perform a fiscal analysis regarding the redesignation of specified offices.

**Section 13.** Provides an effective date of July 1, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> The Fort Myers Urban Office is located in DOT District 1. STORAGE NAME: h0865b.TTA.DOCX DATE: 4/14/2017

#### II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

#### 1. Revenues:

DOT estimates an indeterminate but insignificant negative fiscal impact associated with additional weight allowances for natural gas-fueled vehicles because of the reduction in penalties assessed and collected by the State due to the increased weight limits.

# 2. Expenditures:

DOT estimates a reduction in costs of approximately \$500,000 associated with conducting bridge inspections at risk-based intervals.

There may be some cost savings to the Division of Bond Finance associated with bond validation being optional for turnpike revenue bonds. The amount is indeterminate, but is expected to be insignificant.

DOT should see a reduction in costs associated with eliminating the Highway Beautification Council and the administrative costs associated with the council. The amount is indeterminate, but is expected to be insignificant.

DOT would not be obligated to provide SFRTA \$42.1 million in financial assistance if SFRTA does not unwind its award for procurement of an operating services contract.<sup>85</sup>

DOT may incur some additional expenditures associated with conducting a fiscal analysis regarding its districts. The amount is indeterminate, but is expected to be insignificant.

#### **B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:**

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

#### C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Operators of natural gas-fueled commercial vehicles will see a reduction in overweight fines associated with the increase in weight allowed for those vehicles.

There may be some reduced costs to the private sector with the increased dollar threshold for rapid response contracts since these contracts do not require securing a surety bond.

# D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

SFRTA may see a reduction of approximately \$42.1 million in state-sponsored funding associated with provisions of the bill. <sup>86</sup> However, it may be able to mitigate the loss in revenue by taking certain actions provided for in the bill.

J Id

STORAGE NAME: h0865b.TTA.DOCX

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> DOT bill analysis of HB 865. Page 6.

#### III. COMMENTS

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not Applicable. This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take action requiring the expenditures of funds; reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

**B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:** 

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

#### IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

On March 28, 2017, the Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee adopted two amendments and reported the bill favorably as a committee substitute. The amendments:

- Made a technical change to the natural gas vehicles language.
- Required DOT to conduct a fiscal analysis regarding the alignment and headquarters of some of its districts.

This analysis is written to the committee substitute as reported favorably by the Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee.

STORAGE NAME: h0865b.TTA.DOCX

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A bill to be entitled An act relating to the Department of Transportation; amending s. 215.82, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 316.545, F.S.; providing for assessment and calculation of a fine for unlawful weight and load of a vehicle fueled by natural gas; requiring written certification of certain weight information; providing gross vehicle weight requirements; providing an exception; amending s. 335.074, F.S.; requiring inspection of certain bridges at intervals required by the Federal Highway Administration; amending s. 337.11, F.S.; revising the amount for which the department may enter into certain construction and maintenance contracts; amending s. 338.227, F.S.; providing requirements for the validation of turnpike revenue bonds and related complaints; amending s. 339.135, F.S.; waiving requirements for approval of certain work program amendments by the Legislative Budget Commission under certain conditions; amending s. 339.2405, F.S.; deleting provisions relating to the Florida Highway Beautification Council; transferring certain powers and duties of the council to the department; amending s. 343.52, F.S.; defining the term "department"; amending s. 343.53, F.S.; conforming a cross-

Page 1 of 16

reference; amending s. 343.54, F.S.; prohibiting the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority from entering into certain contracts or agreements without department approval of the authority's expenditures; amending s. 343.58, F.S.; prohibiting certain funds to be committed by the authority without department approval of the authority's expenditures; prohibiting certain funds to be provided to the authority by the department except under certain circumstances; providing requirements for entering into certain new contracts; requiring the department to submit to the Governor and Legislature a fiscal analysis regarding the revision of certain district boundaries and headquarters; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (2) of section 215.82, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

215.82 Validation; when required.-

(2) Any bonds issued pursuant to this act which are validated shall be validated in the manner provided by chapter 75. In actions to validate bonds to be issued in the name of the State Board of Education under s. 9(a) and (d), Art. XII of the State Constitution and bonds to be issued pursuant to chapter

Page 2 of 16

CS/HB 865 2017

51

52

53 54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

259, the Land Conservation Program, the complaint shall be filed in the circuit court of the county where the seat of state government is situated, the notice required to be published by s. 75.06 shall be published only in the county where the complaint is filed, and the complaint and order of the circuit court shall be served only on the state attorney of the circuit in which the action is pending. In any action to validate bonds issued pursuant to s. 1010.62 or issued pursuant to s. 9(a)(1), Art. XII of the State Constitution or issued pursuant to s. 215.605 or s. 338.227, the complaint shall be filed in the circuit court of the county where the seat of state government is situated, the notice required to be published by s. 75.06 shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the county where the complaint is filed and in two other newspapers of general circulation in the state, and the complaint and order of the circuit court shall be served only on the state attorney of the circuit in which the action is pending; provided, however, that if publication of notice pursuant to this section would require publication in more newspapers than would publication pursuant to s. 75.06, such publication shall be made pursuant to s. 75.06. Section 2. Paragraphs (c) and (d) of subsection (3) of section 316.545, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as

paragraphs (d) and (e), respectively, and a new paragraph (c) is added to that subsection to read:

Page 3 of 16

316.545 Weight and load unlawful; special fuel and motor fuel tax enforcement; inspection; penalty; review.—

(3)

- (c)1. For a vehicle fueled by natural gas, the fine is calculated by reducing the actual gross vehicle weight by the certified weight difference between the natural gas tank and fueling system and a comparable diesel tank and fueling system. Upon request by any weight inspector or law enforcement officer, the vehicle operator must present written certification that identifies the weight of the natural gas tank and fueling system and the difference in weight of a comparable diesel tank and fueling system. The written certification must originate from the vehicle manufacturer or the installer of the natural gas tank and fueling system.
- 2. The actual gross vehicle weight for vehicles fueled by natural gas may not exceed 82,000 pounds, excluding the weight allowed for idle-reduction technology under paragraph (b).
- 3. This paragraph does not apply to those vehicles described in s. 316.535(6).
- Section 3. Subsection (2) of section 335.074, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
  - 335.074 Safety inspection of bridges.-
- (2) At regular intervals <u>as required by the Federal</u>

  <u>Highway Administration</u> <del>not to exceed 2 years</del>, each bridge on a public transportation facility shall be inspected for structural

Page 4 of 16

The thoroughness with which bridges are to be inspected shall depend on such factors as age, traffic characteristics, state of maintenance, and known deficiencies. The governmental entity responsible for having maintenance of responsibility for any such bridge is shall be responsible for having inspections performed and reports prepared in accordance with this section the provisions contained herein.

Section 4. Paragraph (c) of subsection (6) of section 337.11, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

337.11 Contracting authority of department; bids; emergency repairs, supplemental agreements, and change orders; combined design and construction contracts; progress payments; records; requirements of vehicle registration.—

(6)

- (c) When the department determines that it is in the best interest of the public for reasons of public concern, economy, improved operations, or safety, and only when circumstances dictate rapid completion of the work, the department may, up to the amount of \$250,000 \$120,000, enter into contracts for construction and maintenance without advertising and receiving competitive bids. The department may enter into such contracts only upon a determination that the work is necessary for one of the following reasons:
  - 1. To ensure timely completion of projects or avoidance of

Page 5 of 16

126 undue delay for other projects;

- 2. To accomplish minor repairs or construction and maintenance activities for which time is of the essence and for which significant cost savings would occur; or
- 3. To accomplish nonemergency work necessary to ensure avoidance of adverse conditions that affect the safe and efficient flow of traffic.

The department shall make a good faith effort to obtain two or more quotes, if available, from qualified contractors before entering into any contract. The department shall give consideration to disadvantaged business enterprise participation. However, when the work exists within the limits of an existing contract, the department shall make a good faith effort to negotiate and enter into a contract with the prime contractor on the existing contract.

Section 5. Subsection (5) is added to section 338.227, Florida Statutes, to read:

338.227 Turnpike revenue bonds.-

(5) Notwithstanding s. 215.82, bonds issued pursuant to this section are not required to be validated pursuant to chapter 75 but may be validated at the option of the Division of Bond Finance. A complaint about such validation must be filed in the circuit court of the county in which the seat of state government is situated. The notice required to be published by

Page 6 of 16

s. 75.06 must be published only in the county in which the complaint is filed. The complaint and order of the circuit court must be served only on the state attorney of the circuit in which the action is pending.

Section 6. Paragraph (e) of subsection (7) of section 339.135, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

339.135 Work program; legislative budget request; definitions; preparation, adoption, execution, and amendment.—

(7) AMENDMENT OF THE ADOPTED WORK PROGRAM.-

151 l

152

153

154

155

156157

158

159

160

161

162 163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171 172

173

174

175

(e) Notwithstanding paragraphs (d), and (g), and (h) and ss. 216.177(2) and 216.351, the secretary may request the Executive Office of the Governor to amend the adopted work program when an emergency exists, as defined in s. 252.34, and the emergency relates to the repair or rehabilitation of any state transportation facility. The Executive Office of the Governor may approve the amendment to the adopted work program and amend that portion of the department's approved budget if a delay incident to the notification requirements in paragraph (d) would be detrimental to the interests of the state. However, the department shall immediately notify the parties specified in paragraph (d) and provide such parties written justification for the emergency action within 7 days after approval by the Executive Office of the Governor of the amendment to the adopted work program and the department's budget. The adopted work program may not be amended under this subsection without

Page 7 of 16

certification by the comptroller of the department that there
are sufficient funds available pursuant to the 36-month cash
forecast and applicable statutes.

Section 7. Section 339.2405, Florida Statutes, is amended
to read:

339.2405 Florida highway beautification grants Council.—

- Department of Transportation the Florida Highway Beautification Council. It shall consist of seven members appointed by the Governor. All appointed members must be residents of this state. One member must be a licensed landscape architect, one member must be a representative of the Florida Federation of Garden Clubs, Inc., one member must be a representative of the Florida Nurserymen and Growers Association, one member must be a representative of the department as designated by the head of the department, one member must be a representative of the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, and two members must be private citizens. The members of the council shall serve at the pleasure of the Covernor.
- (2) Each chair shall be selected by the council members and shall serve a 2-year term.
- (3) The council shall meet no less than semiannually at the call of the chair or, in the chair's absence or incapacity, at the call of the head of the department. Four members shall constitute a quorum for the purpose of exercising all of the

Page 8 of 16

201	powers of the council. A vote of the majority of the members
202	present shall be sufficient for all actions of the council.
203	(4) The council members shall serve without pay but shall
204	be entitled to per diem and travel expenses pursuant to s.
205	<del>112.061.</del>
206	(5) A member of the council may not participate in any
207	discussion or decision to recommend grants to any qualified
208	local government with which the member is associated as a member
209	of the governing body or as an employee or with which the member
210	has entered into a contractual arrangement.
211	(6) The council may prescribe, amend, and repeal bylaws
212	governing the manner in which the business of the council is
213	conducted.
214	(7)(a) The duties of the council shall be to:
215	$\frac{(a)}{1}$ . Provide information to local governments and local
216	highway beautification councils regarding the state highway
217	beautification grants program.
218	(b) <del>2.</del> Accept grant requests from local governments.
219	(c) 3. Review grant requests for compliance with department
220	<del>council</del> rules.
221	(d)4. Establish rules for evaluating and prioritizing the
222	grant requests. The rules must include, but are not limited to,
223	an examination of each grant's aesthetic value, cost-
224	effectiveness, level of local support, feasibility of
225	installation and maintenance, and compliance with state and

Page 9 of 16

federal regulations. Rules adopted by the <u>department</u> council which it uses to evaluate grant applications must take into consideration the contributions made by the highway beautification project in preventing litter.

- (e) 5. Maintain a prioritized list of approved grant requests. The list must include recommended funding levels for each request and, if staged implementation is appropriate, provide funding requirements for each stage shall be provided.
- 6. Assess the feasibility of planting and maintaining indigenous wildflowers and plants, instead of sod groundcovers, along the rights-of-way of state roads and highways. In making such assessment, the council shall utilize data from other states which include indigenous wildflower and plant species in their highway vegetative management systems.
- (b) The council may, at the request of the head of the department, review and make recommendations on any other highway beautification matters relating to the State Highway System.
- (8) The head of the department shall provide from existing personnel such staff support services to the council as are necessary to enable the council to fulfill its duties and responsibilities.
- (2)(9) Local highway beautification councils may be created by local governmental entities or by the Legislature.

  Before Prior to being submitted to the department council, a grant request must be approved by the local government or

Page 10 of 16

governments of the area in which the project is located.

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272 273

274

275

(3)(10) The head of the department, after receiving recommendations from the council, shall award grants to local governmental entities that have submitted grant requests for beautification of roads on the State Highway System and which requests are on the council's approved list. The grants shall be awarded in the order they appear on the council's prioritized list and in accordance with available funding.

(4) (4) (11) State highway beautification grants may be requested only for projects to beautify through landscaping roads on the State Highway System. The grant request shall identify all costs associated with the project, including sprinkler systems, plant materials, equipment, and labor. A grant shall provide for the costs of purchase and installation of a sprinkler system and, the cost of plant materials and fertilizer, and may provide for the costs for labor associated with the installation of the plantings. Each local government that receives a grant shall be responsible for any costs for water, for the maintenance of the sprinkler system, for the maintenance of the landscaped areas in accordance with a maintenance agreement with the department, and, except as otherwise provided in the grant, for any costs for labor associated with the installation of the plantings. The department may provide, by contract, services to maintain such landscaping at a level not to exceed the cost of routine

Page 11 of 16

276 maintenance of an equivalent unlandscaped area.

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

(12) The council shall annually submit to the head of the Department of Transportation a proposal recommending the level of grant funding.

Section 8. Section 343.52, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 343.52 Definitions.—As used in this part, the term:
- $\underline{(1)}$  "Area served" means Miami-Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties. However, this area may be expanded by mutual consent of the authority and the board of county commissioners of Monroe County. The authority may not expand into any additional counties without the department's prior written approval.
- (2) "Authority" means the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority.
  - (3) $\frac{(2)}{(2)}$  "Board" means the governing body of the authority.
  - (4) "Department" means the Department of Transportation.
- (5) "Feeder transit services" means a transit system that transports passengers to or from stations within or across counties.
  - (6) "Member" means the individuals constituting the board.
- (7)(5) "Transit facilities" means property, avenues of access, equipment, or buildings built and installed in Miami-Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties which are required to support a transit system.

Page 12 of 16

CS/HB 865

(8) (4) "Transit system" means a system used for the transportation of people and goods by means of, without limitation, a street railway, an elevated railway having a fixed guideway, a commuter railroad, a subway, motor vehicles, or motor buses, and includes a complete system of tracks, stations, and rolling stock necessary to effectuate passenger service to or from the surrounding regional municipalities.

 Section 9. Paragraph (d) of subsection (2) of section 343.53, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

343.53 South Florida Regional Transportation Authority.-

- (2) The governing board of the authority shall consist of 10 voting members, as follows:
- (d) If the authority's service area is expanded pursuant to s.  $\underline{343.54(6)}$   $\underline{343.54(5)}$ , the county containing the new service area shall have two members appointed to the board as follows:
- 1. The county commission of the county shall elect a commissioner as that commission's representative on the board. The commissioner must be a member of the county commission when elected and for the full extent of his or her term.
- 2. The Governor shall appoint a citizen member to the board who is not a member of the county commission but who is a resident and a qualified elector of that county.

Section 10. Subsections (4) and (5) of section 343.54, Florida Statutes, are renumbered as subsections (5) and (6), respectively, and a new subsection (4) is added to that section

Page 13 of 16

CS/HB 865

326 to read:

343.54 Powers and duties.-

(4) Notwithstanding any other provision of this part, the authority may not enter into, extend, or renew any contract or other agreement that may be funded, in whole or in part, with funds provided by the department without the prior review and written approval by the department of the authority's proposed expenditures.

Section 11. Paragraph (c) of subsection (4) of section 343.58, Florida Statutes, is amended, and paragraph (e) is added to that subsection, to read:

- 343.58 County funding for the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority.—
- (4) Notwithstanding any other provision of law to the contrary and effective July 1, 2010, until as provided in paragraph (d), the department shall transfer annually from the State Transportation Trust Fund to the South Florida Regional Transportation Authority the amounts specified in subparagraph (a)1. or subparagraph (a)2.
- (c)1. Funds provided to the authority by the department under this subsection may not be committed by the authority without the <u>prior review and written</u> approval <u>by of</u> the department <u>of the authority's expenditures</u>, which may not be unreasonably withheld. At least 90 days before advertising any procurement or renewing any existing contract that will rely on

Page 14 of 16

CS/HB 865 2017

state funds for payment, the authority shall notify the department of the proposed procurement or renewal and the proposed terms thereof. If the department, within 60 days after receipt of notice, objects in writing to the proposed procurement or renewal, specifying its reasons for objection, the authority may not proceed with the proposed procurement or renewal. Failure of the department to object in writing within 60 days after notice shall be deemed consent. This requirement does not impair or cause the authority to cancel contracts that exist as of June 30, 2012.

- 2. To enable the department to evaluate the authority's proposed uses of state funds, the authority shall annually provide the department with its proposed budget for the following authority fiscal year and shall promptly provide the department with any additional documentation or information required by the department for its evaluation of the proposed uses of the state funds.
- (e) Funds may not be provided to the authority by the department under this subsection until the authority withdraws, cancels, or otherwise terminates the authority's Notice of Intent for Contract Award for Request for Proposal 16-010, "Operating Services," approved by the authority board on January 27, 2017. Before entering into a new contract for the services that were the subject of Request for Proposal 16-010, the authority must obtain the department's written approval of all

Page 15 of 16

CS/HB 865 2017

terms and conditions of a new procurement and contract for the services that were the subject of such request for proposal to ensure that the authority has sufficient revenues to fund the contract.

Section 12. On or before October 31, 2017, the Department of Transportation shall submit to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives a fiscal analysis of the following: relocating the department's District 1 headquarters to Lee County; including Polk County in the department's District 5; relocating the department's District 5 headquarters to Polk County; and making the department's DeLand office an urban office located within the department's District 5.

Section 13. This act shall take effect July 1, 2017.

Page 16 of 16

Bill No. CS/HB 865 (2017)

Amendment No. 1

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMI	TTEE ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Transportation & Tourism Appropriations Subcommittee

Representative Drake offered the following:

# Amendment (with directory and title amendments)

Remove lines 345-379 and insert:

(c)1. Funds provided to the authority by the department under this subsection constitute state financial assistance provided to a nonstate entity to carry out a state project subject to ss. 215.97 and 215.971. The department shall provide the funds in accordance with the terms of a written agreement to be entered into between the authority and the department, which shall provide for department review, approval, and audit of authority expenditure of such funds and shall include such other provisions as are required by applicable law. The department is specifically authorized to agree to advance the authority 25

697811 - h865 line345 Drakel.docx

percent of the total funds provided under this subsection for a
state fiscal year at the beginning of each state fiscal year,
with monthly payments over the fiscal year on a reimbursement
basis as supported by invoices and such additional documentation
and information as the department may reasonably require and a
reconciliation of the advance against remaining invoices in the
last quarter of the fiscal year may not be committed by the
authority without the approval of the department, which may not
be unreasonably withheld. At least 90 days before advertising
any procurement or renewing any existing contract that will rely
on state funds for payment, the authority shall notify the
department of the proposed procurement or renewal and the
proposed terms thereof. If the department, within 60 days after
receipt of notice, objects in writing to the proposed
procurement or renewal, specifying its reasons for objection,
the authority may not proceed with the proposed procurement or
renewal. Failure of the department to object in writing within
60 days after notice shall be deemed consent. This requirement
does not impair or cause the authority to cancel contracts that
exist as of June 30, 2012.

2. To enable the department to evaluate the authority's proposed uses of state funds, the authority shall annually provide the department with its proposed budget for the following authority fiscal year and shall promptly provide the department with any additional documentation or information

697811 - h865 line345 Drake1.docx

42	required by	the department	for its	evaluation	of	the	proposed
43	uses of the	state funds.					
44							

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53 54

55

56 57

58

59

# DIRECTORY AMENDMENT

Remove lines 335-336 and insert:

343.58, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

# TITLE AMENDMENT

Remove lines 30-36 and insert: amending s. 343.58, F.S.; providing that certain funds provided to the authority constitute state financial assistance; requiring a written agreement for provision of such funds; authorizing the department to advance a certain amount of funds under certain circumstances; requiring the department to submit to the

697811 - h865 line345 Drake1.docx

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1163

Agency Rulemaking

SPONSOR(S): Spano and others TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1640

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Oversight, Transparency & Administration     Subcommittee	13 Y, 0 N	Whittaker	Harrington
Transportation & Tourism Appropriations     Subcommittee		Cobb P	Davis G
3) Government Accountability Committee			

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

A Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) is an agency estimate of the potential impact of a proposed rule on the public, particularly the potential costs to the public of complying with the rule, as well as the potential impact on agencies and other governmental entities to implement the rule. Agencies are encouraged to prepare a SERC before adopting, amending, or repealing any rule, however, a SERC must be prepared if the proposed rule will have a negative impact on small businesses or increase regulatory costs more than \$200,000 within one year after implementation.

The bill requires an agency to prepare a SERC before the adoption, amendment, or repeal of any rule other than an emergency rule.

The bill requires the Department of State (department) to maintain a website open to the public for the sole purpose of publishing SERCs. Agencies must provide all SERCs to the department for publication on the website. If an agency revises a SERC, the revised SERC must also be provided to the department for publication on the website. The bill further requires an agency to include a link on the agency website to the department's website. The department's website must be accessible to the public and may not require the use of login credentials for a person to access a SERC.

The bill also removes the requirement that the agency head approve certain rulemaking notices.

The Department of State estimates that the bill will result in a nonrecurring impact of \$1,000,000 and a recurring impact of \$150,000 due to costs related to the dedicated SERC website and associated database.

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2017.

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

#### Present Situation

#### Rulemaking

The Administrative Procedure Act<sup>1</sup> sets forth a uniform set of procedures that agencies must follow when exercising delegated rulemaking authority. A rule is an agency statement of general applicability that interprets, implements, or prescribes law or policy, including the procedure and practice requirements of an agency as well as certain types of forms.<sup>2</sup> Rulemaking authority is delegated by the Legislature through statute and authorizes agencies to "adopt, develop, establish, or otherwise create" rules. Agencies do not have discretion whether to engage in rulemaking.<sup>4</sup> To adopt a rule, an agency must have a general grant of authority to implement a specific law by rulemaking.<sup>5</sup> The grant of rulemaking authority itself need not be detailed. The specific statute being interpreted or implemented through rulemaking must provide specific standards and guidelines to preclude the administrative agency from exercising unbridled discretion in creating policy or applying the law.<sup>6</sup>

An agency begins the formal rulemaking process, upon approval of the agency head, by filing a notice of the proposed rule. The notice is published by the Department of State in the Florida Administrative Register and must provide certain information, including the text of the proposed rule, a summary of the agency's Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) if one is prepared, and how a party may request a public hearing on the proposed rule. Although the notice includes a summary of the SERC, if prepared, publication of the SERC is not required.

## Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs

A SERC is an agency estimate of the potential impact of a proposed rule on the public, particularly the potential costs to the public of complying with the rule as well as to the agency and other governmental entities to implement the rule. 10 Agencies are encouraged to prepare a SERC before adopting, amending, or repealing any rule. 11 A SERC must be prepared if the proposed rule will have a negative impact on small businesses or increase regulatory costs by more than \$200,000 within one year after implementation of the rule. 12

#### A SERC must include estimates of:

- The number of people and entities effected by the proposed rule;
- The cost to the agency and other governmental entities to implement the proposed rule;
- Transactional costs likely to be incurred by people, entities, and governmental agencies for compliance; and
- An analysis of the proposed rule's impact on small businesses, counties, and cities.

STORAGE NAME: h1163b.TTA.DOCX DATE: 4/14/2017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Chapter 120, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 120.52(16), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 120.52(17), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 120.54(1)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Sections 120.52(8) and 120.536(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Sloban v. Florida Board of Pharmacy, 982 So. 2d 26, 29-30 (Fla. 1st DCA 2008); Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund v. Day Cruise Association, Inc., 794 So. 2d 696, 704 (Fla. 1st DCA 2001).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 120.54(3)(a)1., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 120.55(1)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 120.55(1)(b)1. and 2., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Section 120.541(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Section 120.54(3)(b)1., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Section 120.54(3)(b)1., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Section 120.541(2)(b)-(e), F.S.

The SERC must also include an economic analysis on the likelihood that the proposed rule will have an adverse impact in excess of \$1 million within the first 5 years of implementation on:

- Economic growth, private-sector job creation or employment, or private-sector investment;
- Business competitiveness, productivity, or innovation; or
- Regulatory costs, including any transactional costs.<sup>14</sup>

If the economic analysis results in an adverse impact or regulatory costs in excess of \$1 million within 5 years after implementation of the rule, then the rule must be ratified by the Legislature in order to take effect.<sup>15</sup>

At least 21 days before filing a rule for adoption, an agency that is required to revise a SERC must provide the statement to the person who submitted the lower cost regulatory alternative and to the Administrative Procedures Committee and must provide notice on the agency's website that is available to the public.<sup>16</sup>

#### Effect of the Bill

The bill requires an agency to prepare a SERC before the adoption, amendment, or repeal of any rule other than an emergency rule.

The bill also requires the Department of State (department) to maintain a website open to the public for the sole purpose of publishing SERCs. Agencies must provide the department with all SERCs for publication on the website after proper notice is published. Revised SERCs must also be provided to the department for publication after proper notice is published.

The bill further requires an agency to include a link on its webpage to the department's SERC website. The department's website may not require the use of login credentials for a person to access a SERC.

Lastly, the bill removes the requirement that the agency head approve notices for rulemaking.

#### **B. SECTION DIRECTORY:**

- Section 1. Amends s. 120.54, F.S., requiring an agency to prepare a SERC before adopting, amending, or repealing any rule other than an emergency rule.
- Section 2. Amends s. 120.541, F.S., requiring the department to maintain a website that solely includes SERCs; requiring an agency that prepares or revises a SERC to provide the SERC to the department for publication on the website; requiring an agency to include an internet website link to the department's SERC website on the agency website; requiring the department's SERCs website to be accessible to the public; prohibiting the required use of login credentials for internet access to SERCs; conforming provisions to changes made by the act.
- Section 3. Amends s. 120.56, F.S., conforming a cross-reference.
- Section 4. Provides an effective date of July 1, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Section 120.541(2)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Section 120.541(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Section 120.541(1)(d), F.S. **STORAGE NAME**: h1163b.TTA.DOCX

		II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT
A.	FIS	SCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:
	1.	Revenues: None.
	2.	Expenditures:  According to the Department of State, the bill will have a significant, negative impact to its expenditures. The department estimates that the bill will result in a nonrecurring impact of \$1,000,000 and a recurring impact of \$150,000 due to costs related to the dedicated SERC website and associated database. 17
B.	FIS	SCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
	1.	Revenues: None.
	2.	Expenditures: None.
C.		RECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR: one.
D.		SCAL COMMENTS: one.
		III. COMMENTS
A.	CC	DNSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:
		Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision: Not applicable. The bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.
		Other: None.
B.		JLE-MAKING AUTHORITY: one.
C.		RAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS: one.

# IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

None.

<sup>17</sup> Draft agency bill analysis on file with the Transportation and Tourism Appropriations Subcommittee. **STORAGE NAME**: h1163b.TTA.DOCX **DATE**: 4/14/2017

1 A bill to be entitled 2 An act relating to agency rulemaking; amending s. 3 120.54, F.S.; requiring an agency to prepare a 4 statement of estimated regulatory costs before 5 adopting, amending, or repealing any rule other than 6 an emergency rule; amending s. 120.541, F.S.; 7 requiring the Department of State to maintain a 8 website that solely includes statements of estimated 9 regulatory costs; requiring an agency that prepares or 10 revises a statement to provide the statement to the department for publication on the website; requiring 11 12 an agency to include an Internet website link to the 13 department's website on the website of the agency; 14 requiring the department's website to be accessible to 15 the public; prohibiting the required use of login 16 credentials for Internet access of certain statements; 17 conforming provisions to changes made by the act; 18 amending s. 120.56, F.S.; conforming a cross-19 reference; providing an effective date. 20 21 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 22 23 Section 1. Paragraphs (a) and (b) of subsection (3) of section 120.54, Florida Statutes, are amended to read: 24 25 120.54 Rulemaking.-

Page 1 of 9

- (3) ADOPTION PROCEDURES. -
- (a) Notices.-

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

3940

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Prior to the adoption, amendment, or repeal of any rule other than an emergency rule, an agency, upon approval of the agency head, shall give notice of its intended action, setting forth a short, plain explanation of the purpose and effect of the proposed action; the full text of the proposed rule or amendment and a summary thereof; a reference to the grant of rulemaking authority pursuant to which the rule is adopted; and a reference to the section or subsection of the Florida Statutes or the Laws of Florida being implemented or interpreted. The notice must include a summary of the agency's statement of the estimated regulatory costs, if one has been prepared, based on the factors set forth in s. 120.541(2); a statement that any person who wishes to provide the agency with information regarding the statement of estimated regulatory costs, or to provide a proposal for a lower cost regulatory alternative as provided by s. 120.541(1), must do so in writing within 21 days after publication of the notice; and a statement as to whether, based on the statement of the estimated regulatory costs or other information expressly relied upon and described by the agency if no statement of regulatory costs is required, the proposed rule is expected to require legislative ratification pursuant to s. 120.541(3). The notice must state the procedure for requesting a public hearing on the proposed rule. Except

Page 2 of 9

when the intended action is the repeal of a rule, the notice must include a reference both to the date on which and to the place where the notice of rule development that is required by subsection (2) appeared.

- 2. The notice shall be published in the Florida Administrative Register not less than 28 days prior to the intended action. The proposed rule shall be available for inspection and copying by the public at the time of the publication of notice.
- 3. The notice shall be mailed to all persons named in the proposed rule and to all persons who, at least 14 days prior to such mailing, have made requests of the agency for advance notice of its proceedings. The agency shall also give such notice as is prescribed by rule to those particular classes of persons to whom the intended action is directed.
- 4. The adopting agency shall file with the committee, at least 21 days prior to the proposed adoption date, a copy of each rule it proposes to adopt; a copy of any material incorporated by reference in the rule; a detailed written statement of the facts and circumstances justifying the proposed rule; a copy of any statement of estimated regulatory costs that has been prepared pursuant to s. 120.541; a statement of the extent to which the proposed rule relates to federal standards or rules on the same subject; and the notice required by subparagraph 1.

Page 3 of 9

(b) Special matters to be considered in rule adoption.-

76 l

- 1. Statement of estimated regulatory costs.—Before the adoption, amendment, or repeal of any rule other than an emergency rule, an agency <u>must</u> <u>is encouraged to</u> prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs of the proposed rule, as provided by s. 120.541. However, an agency must prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs of the proposed rule, as provided by s. 120.541, if:
- a. The proposed rule will have an adverse impact on small business; or
- b. The proposed rule is likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after the implementation of the rule.
  - 2. Small businesses, small counties, and small cities.-
- a. Each agency, before the adoption, amendment, or repeal of a rule, shall consider the impact of the rule on small businesses as defined by s. 288.703 and the impact of the rule on small counties or small cities as defined by s. 120.52. Whenever practicable, an agency shall tier its rules to reduce disproportionate impacts on small businesses, small counties, or small cities to avoid regulating small businesses, small counties, or small cities that do not contribute significantly to the problem the rule is designed to address. An agency may define "small business" to include businesses employing more

Page 4 of 9

than 200 persons, may define "small county" to include those with populations of more than 75,000, and may define "small city" to include those with populations of more than 10,000, if it finds that such a definition is necessary to adapt a rule to the needs and problems of small businesses, small counties, or small cities. The agency shall consider each of the following methods for reducing the impact of the proposed rule on small businesses, small counties, and small cities, or any combination of these entities:

- (I) Establishing less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rule.
- (II) Establishing less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rule for compliance or reporting requirements.
- (III) Consolidating or simplifying the rule's compliance or reporting requirements.
- (IV) Establishing performance standards or best management practices to replace design or operational standards in the rule.
- (V) Exempting small businesses, small counties, or small cities from any or all requirements of the rule.
- b.(I) If the agency determines that the proposed action will affect small businesses as defined by the agency as provided in sub-subparagraph a., the agency shall send written notice of the rule to the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor at least 28 days before the intended

Page 5 of 9

126 action.

- (II) Each agency shall adopt those regulatory alternatives offered by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor and provided to the agency no later than 21 days after the rules ombudsman's receipt of the written notice of the rule which it finds are feasible and consistent with the stated objectives of the proposed rule and which would reduce the impact on small businesses. When regulatory alternatives are offered by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor, the 90-day period for filing the rule in subparagraph (e) 2. is extended for a period of 21 days.
- (III) If an agency does not adopt all alternatives offered pursuant to this sub-subparagraph, it shall, before rule adoption or amendment and pursuant to subparagraph (d)1., file a detailed written statement with the committee explaining the reasons for failure to adopt such alternatives. Within 3 working days after the filing of such notice, the agency shall send a copy of such notice to the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor.
- Section 2. Paragraphs (a) and (b) of subsection (1) of section 120.541, Florida Statutes, are amended, and subsection (6) is added to that section, to read:
  - 120.541 Statement of estimated regulatory costs.—
- (1)(a) Within 21 days after publication of the notice required under s. 120.54(3)(a), a substantially affected person

Page 6 of 9

may submit to an agency a good faith written proposal for a lower cost regulatory alternative to a proposed rule which substantially accomplishes the objectives of the law being implemented. The proposal may include the alternative of not adopting any rule if the proposal explains how the lower costs and objectives of the law will be achieved by not adopting any rule. If such a proposal is submitted, the 90-day period for filing the rule is extended 21 days. Upon the submission of the lower cost regulatory alternative, the agency shall prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs as provided in subsection (2), or shall revise its prior statement of estimated regulatory costs, and either adopt the alternative or provide a statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule.

- (b) If a proposed rule will have an adverse impact on small business or if the proposed rule is likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate within 1 year after the implementation of the rule, the agency shall prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs as required by s. 120.54(3)(b).
- (6) The Department of State shall maintain a website that solely includes statements of estimated regulatory costs.
- (a) An agency that prepares a statement of estimated regulatory costs must provide, after publication of the notice required under s. 120.54(3)(a), the statement to the department

Page 7 of 9

for publication on the website.

198 l

- (b) An agency that revises a statement of estimated regulatory costs must provide, after publication of the notice required under paragraph (1)(d), the statement to the department for publication on the website.
- (c) An agency must include an Internet website link to the department's website on the agency's website.
- (d) The department's website must be accessible to the public and may not require the use of any login credential in order for a person to access a statement of estimated regulatory costs.

Section 3. Paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of section 120.56, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

120.56 Challenges to rules.-

- (2) CHALLENGING PROPOSED RULES; SPECIAL PROVISIONS.-
- (a) A petition alleging the invalidity of a proposed rule shall be filed within 21 days after the date of publication of the notice required by s. 120.54(3)(a); within 10 days after the final public hearing is held on the proposed rule as provided by s. 120.54(3)(e)2.; within 20 days after the statement of estimated regulatory costs or revised statement of estimated regulatory costs, if applicable, has been prepared and made available as provided in s.  $120.541(1)(c) \frac{120.541(1)(d)}{120.541(1)(d)}$ ; or within 20 days after the date of publication of the notice required by s. 120.54(3)(d). The petitioner has the burden to

Page 8 of 9

201

202

203

204

206

207

208

210

prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the petitioner would be substantially affected by the proposed rule. The agency then has the burden to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the proposed rule is not an invalid exercise of delegated legislative authority as to the objections raised. A person who is not substantially affected by the proposed rule as initially noticed, but who is substantially affected by the rule as a result of a change, may challenge any provision of the resulting proposed rule.

Section 4. This act shall take effect July 1, 2017.

Page 9 of 9

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMI	TTEE ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	*

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Transportation & Tourism Appropriations Subcommittee

Representative Spano offered the following:

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1

2

3

## Amendment (with title amendment)

Remove everything after the enacting clause and insert: Section 1. Paragraphs (a) and (b) of subsection (3) of section 120.54, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

120.54 Rulemaking.-

- (3) ADOPTION PROCEDURES.-
- (a) Notices.-
- 1. Prior to the adoption, amendment, or repeal of any rule other than an emergency rule, an agency, upon approval of the agency head, shall give notice of its intended action, setting forth a short, plain explanation of the purpose and effect of the proposed action; the full text of the proposed rule or

596647 - h1163 Strike-All Spanol.docx

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

3435

36

37

38

39

40

amendment and a summary thereof; a reference to the grant of rulemaking authority pursuant to which the rule is adopted; and a reference to the section or subsection of the Florida Statutes or the Laws of Florida being implemented or interpreted. The notice must include a summary of the agency's statement of the estimated regulatory costs, if one has been prepared, based on the factors set forth in s. 120.541(2); a web address where the statement of estimated regulatory cost can be viewed in its entirety on each agency's website; a statement that any person who wishes to provide the agency with information regarding the statement of estimated regulatory costs, or to provide a proposal for a lower cost regulatory alternative as provided by s. 120.541(1), must do so in writing within 21 days after publication of the notice; and a statement as to whether, based on the statement of the estimated regulatory costs or other information expressly relied upon and described by the agency if no statement of regulatory costs is required, the proposed rule is expected to require legislative ratification pursuant to s. 120.541(3). The notice must state the procedure for requesting a public hearing on the proposed rule. Except when the intended action is the repeal of a rule, the notice must include a reference both to the date on which and to the place where the notice of rule development that is required by subsection (2) appeared.

596647 - h1163 Strike-All Spanol.docx

- 2. The notice shall be published in the Florida Administrative Register not less than 28 days prior to the intended action. The proposed rule shall be available for inspection and copying by the public at the time of the publication of notice.
- 3. The notice shall be mailed to all persons named in the proposed rule and to all persons who, at least 14 days prior to such mailing, have made requests of the agency for advance notice of its proceedings. The agency shall also give such notice as is prescribed by rule to those particular classes of persons to whom the intended action is directed.
- 4. The adopting agency shall file with the committee, at least 21 days prior to the proposed adoption date, a copy of each rule it proposes to adopt; a copy of any material incorporated by reference in the rule; a detailed written statement of the facts and circumstances justifying the proposed rule; a copy of any statement of estimated regulatory costs that has been prepared pursuant to s. 120.541; a statement of the extent to which the proposed rule relates to federal standards or rules on the same subject; and the notice required by subparagraph 1.
  - (b) Special matters to be considered in rule adoption.-
- 1. Statement of estimated regulatory costs.—Before the adoption, or amendment, or repeal of any rule other than an emergency rule, an agency must is encouraged to prepare a

596647 - h1163 Strike-All Spanol.docx

statement of estimated regulatory costs of the proposed rule, as provided by s. 120.541. However, an agency need not prepare a statement of estimated regulatory cost for rule repeals unless such repeal would impose any regulatory cost. In any challenge to a rule repeal, such rule repeal must be considered presumptively correct by the Joint Administrative Procedures Committee, in any proceeding before the Division of Administrative Hearings, or in any proceeding before a court of competent jurisdiction. However, an agency must prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs of the proposed rule, as provided by s. 120.541, if:

a. The proposed rule will have an adverse impact on small business; or

b. The proposed rule is likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in this state within 1 year after the implementation of the rule.

- 2. Small businesses, small counties, and small cities.-
- a. Each agency, before the adoption, amendment, or repeal of a rule, shall consider the impact of the rule on small businesses as defined by s. 288.703 and the impact of the rule on small counties or small cities as defined by s. 120.52. Whenever practicable, an agency shall tier its rules to reduce disproportionate impacts on small businesses, small counties, or small cities to avoid regulating small businesses, small

596647 - h1163 Strike-All Spanol.docx

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101102

103

104

105

106

107

108

counties, or small cities that do not contribute significantly to the problem the rule is designed to address. An agency may define "small business" to include businesses employing more than 200 persons, may define "small county" to include those with populations of more than 75,000, and may define "small city" to include those with populations of more than 10,000, if it finds that such a definition is necessary to adapt a rule to the needs and problems of small businesses, small counties, or small cities. The agency shall consider each of the following methods for reducing the impact of the proposed rule on small businesses, small counties, and small cities, or any combination of these entities:

- (I) Establishing less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rule.
- (II) Establishing less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rule for compliance or reporting requirements.
- (III) Consolidating or simplifying the rule's compliance or reporting requirements.
- (IV) Establishing performance standards or best management practices to replace design or operational standards in the rule.
- (V) Exempting small businesses, small counties, or small cities from any or all requirements of the rule.
- b.(I) If the agency determines that the proposed action will affect small businesses as defined by the agency as

596647 - h1163 Strike-All Spanol.docx

provided in sub-subparagraph a., the agency shall send written notice of the rule to the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor at least 28 days before the intended action.

- (II) Each agency shall adopt those regulatory alternatives offered by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor and provided to the agency no later than 21 days after the rules ombudsman's receipt of the written notice of the rule which it finds are feasible and consistent with the stated objectives of the proposed rule and which would reduce the impact on small businesses. When regulatory alternatives are offered by the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor, the 90-day period for filing the rule in subparagraph (e) 2. is extended for a period of 21 days.
- (III) If an agency does not adopt all alternatives offered pursuant to this sub-subparagraph, it shall, before rule adoption or amendment and pursuant to subparagraph (d)1., file a detailed written statement with the committee explaining the reasons for failure to adopt such alternatives. Within 3 working days after the filing of such notice, the agency shall send a copy of such notice to the rules ombudsman in the Executive Office of the Governor.
- Section 2. Paragraphs (a) and (b) of subsection (1) of section 120.541, Florida Statutes, are amended, and subsection (6) is added to that section, to read:

596647 - h1163 Strike-All Spanol.docx

120.541 Statement of estimated regulatory costs
(1)(a) Within 21 days after publication of the notice
required under s. 120.54(3)(a), a substantially affected person
may submit to an agency a good faith written proposal for a
lower cost regulatory alternative to a proposed rule which
substantially accomplishes the objectives of the law being
implemented. The proposal may include the alternative of not
adopting any rule if the proposal explains how the lower costs
and objectives of the law will be achieved by not adopting any
rule. If such a proposal is submitted, the 90-day period for
filing the rule is extended 21 days. Upon the submission of the
lower cost regulatory alternative, the agency shall prepare a
statement of estimated regulatory costs as provided in
subsection (2), or shall revise its prior statement of estimated
regulatory costs, and either adopt the alternative or provide a
statement of the reasons for rejecting the alternative in favor
of the proposed rule.

- (b) If a proposed rule will have an adverse impact on small business or if the proposed rule is likely to directly or indirectly increase regulatory costs, in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate within 1 year after the implementation of the rule, the agency shall prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs as required by s. 120.54(3)(b).
- (6) The Department of State shall maintain the Florida Administrative Register that includes web addresses where

596647 - h1163 Strike-All Spanol.docx

166	statements of estimated regulatory costs can be viewed in their
167	entirety on agency websites.
168	(a) An agency that prepares a statement of estimated
169	regulatory costs must provide, as part of the notice required
170	under s. 120.54(3)(a), the web address on the agency website
171	where the statement of estimated regulatory cost can be read in
172	its entirety, to the Department for publication in the Florida
173	Administrative Register.
174	(b) An agency that revises a statement of estimated
175	regulatory costs must provide a notice that a revision has been
176	made and a web address where the revision can be viewed for
177	publication in the Florida Administrative Register.
178	
179	Section 3. This act shall take effect on July 1, 2017.
180	
181	
182	TITLE AMENDMENT
183	Remove everything before the enacting clause and insert:
184	An act relating to agency rulemaking; amending s. 120.54,
185	F.S.; requiring an agency to prepare a statement of
186	estimated regulatory costs before adopting or amending any
187	rule other than an emergency rule; providing exceptions for
188	rule repeals; amending s. 120.541, F.S.; requiring the

596647 - h1163 Strike-All Spanol.docx

Published On: 4/14/2017 6:20:32 PM

189 190

Administrative Register website web addresses where

Department of State to include on the Florida

191

192

193

194

195 196

197

198

statements of estimated regulatory costs can be viewed in
their entirety; requiring an agency to include in its
notice of intended action the web address on the agency
website where the statement of estimated regulatory cost
can be read in its entirety; requiring that when an agency
revises a statement of estimated regulatory cost that the
agency must provide a notice of revision; providing an
effective date.

596647 - h1163 Strike-All Spanol.docx

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1387

**Express Lanes** 

SPONSOR(S): Nuñez

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

CS/SB 1570

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee	12 Y, 0 N	Johnson (	Vickers
Transportation & Tourism Appropriations     Subcommittee		Davis 6 700	Davis
3) Government Accountability Committee			

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

Current law authorizes the Department of Transportation (DOT) and the Florida Turnpike Enterprise (FTE) to implement high-occupancy toll (HOT) or express lanes on their facilities.

The bill requires DOT and the FTE to ensure reasonable and practicably feasible entry and exit points on their respective express lanes and to undertake efforts to expand such entry and exit points to increase accessibility and ease of entry and exit to and from those express lanes.

The bill also provides that if the maintained average speed of vehicles traveling in a DOT express lane is equivalent to or less than that of vehicles traveling in adjacent general use lanes, DOT may not charge a toll. Likewise, if the maintained average speed of vehicles traveling in an FTE express lane is equivalent or less than that of vehicles traveling in adjacent general toll lanes, the toll charged must be the same for all such lanes.

The bill has an indeterminate, but likely significant, negative fiscal impact to DOT and FTE associated with a possible reduction in toll revenues and the creation of new entry and exit points for express lanes. See Fiscal Analysis for details.

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2017.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h1387b.TTA.DOCX

#### **FULL ANALYSIS**

#### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

#### **Current Situation**

#### Express Lanes

In 2012, the Legislature created s. 338.151, F.S., authorizing DOT to establish tolls on "new" limited access facilities on the State Highway System (SHS), lanes added to existing limited access facilities on the SHS, new major bridges on the SHS over waterways, and replacements for existing major bridges on the SHS over waterways. The tolls are to be used to fully or partially pay for the cost of such projects. The Legislature also amended s. 338.166, F.S., to expand DOT's authority to request issuance of bonds secured by toll revenues collected on express lanes from only those lanes located on I-95 in Miami-Dade and Broward counties, to express lanes established on DOT owned facilities.

Section 338.166, F.S., authorizes DOT, after discharge of any bond indebtedness relating to a given project, to continue to collect tolls on express lanes. The statutes also authorize variable rate tolls on express lanes.<sup>3</sup> All collected tolls are first to be used to pay the annual cost of operations, maintenance and improvement of the express lanes project or the associated transportation system. DOT may use any remaining tolls from express lanes for construction, maintenance or improvement of any road on the State Highway System within the county or counties in which the toll revenues were collected or to support express bus service on the facility where the toll revenues were collected.

Section 338.166<sup>4</sup>, F.S., expressly does not apply to the Turnpike system.<sup>5</sup> However, s. 338.2216(1)(d), F.S., directs the FTE to pursue and implement new technologies and processes in its operations and collection of tolls and the collection of other amounts associated with road and infrastructure usage. Such technologies and processes must include, without limitation, video billing and variable pricing.

The term, "express lane," is not statutorily defined. However, the DOT's Topic No. 525-030-020-a<sup>6</sup> provides the following definitions:

Managed Lanes - Highway facilities or sets of lanes within a highway facility where operational strategies are proactively implemented and managed in response to changing conditions with a combination of tools. These tools may include accessibility, vehicle eligibility, pricing, or a combination thereof. Types of managed lanes include high occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes, high occupancy toll (HOT) lanes, truck only lanes, truck only toll lanes, bus rapid transit lanes, reversible lanes, and express lanes.

Express Lanes - A type of managed lane where dynamic pricing through electronic tolling is applied to lanes with through traffic, having fewer access points. Express lanes can co-locate within an existing non-tolled facility to manage congestion and provide a more reliable trip time.

STORAGE NAME: h1387b.TTA.DOCX

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Chapter 2012-128, L.O.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Chapter 2012-174, L.O.F.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 338.166(4), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 338.166(6), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 338.2216(1)(a), F.S., grants to the FTE, in addition to the powers granted to the FDOT, full authority to exercise all powers granted to the FTE under Ch. 338, F.S. Section 338.2216(4), F.S., provides the powers conferred upon the FTE under the Florida Turnpike Enterprise Law (ss. 338.22 through 338.241, F.S.,) is in addition and supplemental to the existing powers of the FDOT and the FTE.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> On file in the Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee. The directive, however, expressly does not apply to Florida Turnpike facilities.

According to DOT, there are currently 119 lane miles of express lanes currently open, 349 lane miles of express lanes under construction and 575 lane miles of express lanes in the planning phase.<sup>7</sup> These facilities are located (or planned) in major urbanized areas throughout the state.

## **Express Lane Management**

As previously noted, a number of express lane projects in Florida are either in operation, under construction, or proposed. These projects have or are planned to have express lanes with adjacent general use lanes (with no tolls) and, on the turnpike system, express lanes adjacent to general toll lanes (lanes that generally have fixed tolls). DOT describes its management of express lanes as follows:

The express lanes are managed using a combination of eligibility, access, and pricing. Only two axle vehicles are eligible with buses eligible regardless of number of axles. This reduces the number of vehicles that can choose to use the express lanes. The access (entry and exit points on the express lanes) is limited to certain locations, providing a choice for users making longer distance trips to the major origin and destination patterns in the area. Trips that are shorter and more local must use the general use lanes. As the volume in the express lanes increases, the price to use the express lanes increases. The toll amount posted on the sign is dynamically priced based on the congestion in the express lanes with a goal of providing a free flow condition [in the express lanes].

The traffic density, which is a combination of speed and volume, is used to determine the toll amount needed to optimize traffic flow in the express lanes. Volume and speed data is collected from roadside detectors and used to calculate the traffic density by dividing the volume in the express lanes by the speed in the express lanes. The toll amount is not related to the amount of congestion, speed, or performance of the general use lanes. Where there is no congestion in the express lanes, regardless of the performance or amount of congestion in the general use lanes, the minimum toll amount in the express lanes is \$0.50.9

These directives indicate that in implementing and managing express lanes, DOT considers entry and exit point locations, and currently does not establish express lane toll amounts based on congestion, speed, or performance in adjacent general use lanes.

## **Proposed Changes**

The bill requires DOT to ensure reasonable and practicably feasible entry and exit points on its express lanes and to undertake efforts to expand those points to increase accessibility and ease of entry and exit to and from its express lanes. If the maintained average speed of vehicles traveling in an express lane is equal to or less than that of vehicles traveling in adjacent general use lanes (those with no tolls), no toll may be charged.

The bill requires the FTE to also ensure reasonable and practicably feasible entry and exit points and to undertake the same expansion of access points efforts on its express lanes. If the maintained average speed of vehicles traveling in an express lane is equal to or less than that of vehicles traveling in adjacent general toll lanes, the toll charged must be the same for both lanes.

According to DOT, the bill may result in a number of potentially negative impacts including the following:

<sup>9</sup> Supra note 6 at 2.

STORAGE NAME: h1387b.TTA.DOCX

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> DOT HB 1387 (2017) Agency Bill Analysis. (On file in the Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See the project map with links to express lane project information available on the FDOT's website at: <a href="http://www.floridaexpresslanes.com/projects/project-map/">http://www.floridaexpresslanes.com/projects/project-map/</a>. (Last visited March 19, 2017.) The FTE is not currently operating any express lanes. See the DOT HB 1387 (2017) Agency Bill Analysis, at 8. (On file in the Transportation & Infrastructure Subcommittee)

- A re-write of established standard operating procedures, incident management protocols, and pricing software.
- Installation of roadside detectors and Intelligent Transportation System devices for monitoring the volume and speed of traffic on general use lanes.
- A drop in overall corridor performance and safety, and increased roadway congestion.
- A potential disruption of projects planned in DOT's work program.
- Adverse long-term revenue impacts.<sup>11</sup>

## **B. SECTION DIRECTORY:**

Section 1 amends s. 338.166, F.S., relating to high-occupancy toll lanes or express lanes.

Section 2 amends s. 338.2216, F.S., relating to the powers and the authority of the Florida Turnpike Enterprise.

Section 3 provides an effective date.

#### II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

#### 1. Revenues:

To the extent that the bill results in lower tolls on express lanes when vehicle speeds are not higher than on the general use lanes or general toll lanes, less toll revenue will be collected by DOT and the FTE.

## 2. Expenditures:

DOT and the FTE will incur expenditures for implementing and administering provisions of the bill related to:

- Revisions of standard operating procedures, incident management protocols, and pricing software; and
- Installation of roadside detectors and devices for monitoring the volume and speed of traffic on general purpose lanes.

The amount of these expenditures is indeterminate but likely will be significant.

#### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

#### C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

To the extent that the bill results in lower tolls on express lanes when vehicle speeds are not higher than on the general use lanes or general toll lanes, the users of these lanes would benefit through reduced tolls.

DATE: 4/14/2017

STORAGE NAME: h1387b.TTA.DOCX

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> DOT's work program is established pursuant to s. 339.135, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Supra note 6 at 2-6.

# D. FISCAL COMMENTS: None.

## **III. COMMENTS**

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not Applicable. This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take action requiring the expenditures of funds; reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

**B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:** 

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

Without further criteria or objective standards being specified in the bill, the use of the terms "reasonable and practically feasible" as it relates to locations for points of express lane access and exit may lead to difficulties in agency interpretation of these provisions.

## IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

None.

STORAGE NAME: h1387b.TTA.DOCX DATE: 4/14/2017

HB 1387 2017

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to express lanes; amending s. 338.166, F.S.; requiring the Department of Transportation to ensure reasonable and practicably feasible entry and exit points on its express lanes and to undertake efforts to expand reasonable and practicably feasible entry and exit points for certain purposes; prohibiting a toll from being charged on express lanes under certain circumstances; amending s. 338.2216, F.S.; requiring the Florida Turnpike Enterprise to ensure reasonable and practicably feasible entry and exit points on its express lanes and to undertake efforts to expand reasonable and practicably feasible entry and exit points for certain purposes; requiring a toll charged to be the same for the use of express and general toll lanes under certain circumstances; providing an effective date.

18

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

20 21

22

23

25

19

Section 1. Present subsections (5) and (6) of section 338.166, Florida Statutes, are renumbered as subsections (6) and (7), respectively, and a new subsection (5) is added to that section, to read:

24 section, to read

338.166 High-occupancy toll lanes or express lanes.-

Page 1 of 2

HB 1387 2017

26	(5) The department shall ensure reasonable and practicably
27	feasible entry and exit points on its express lanes and shall
28	undertake efforts to expand reasonable and practicably feasible
29	entry and exit points to increase accessibility and ease of
30	entry and exit to and from its express lanes. If the maintained
31	average speed of vehicles traveling in an express lane is
32	equivalent to or less than that of vehicles traveling in
33	adjacent general use lanes, no toll shall be charged.
34	Section 2. Paragraph (e) is added to subsection (1) of
35	section 338.2216, Florida Statutes, to read:
36	338.2216 Florida Turnpike Enterprise; powers and
37	authority.—
38	(1)
39	(e) The Florida Turnpike Enterprise shall ensure
40	reasonable and practicably feasible entry and exit points on its
41	express lanes and shall undertake efforts to expand reasonable
42	and practicably feasible entry and exit points to increase
43	accessibility and ease of entry and exit to and from its express
44	lanes. If the maintained average speed of vehicles traveling in
45	an express lane is equivalent to or less than that of vehicles
46	traveling in adjacent general toll lanes, the toll charged must
47	be the same for all such lanes.
48	Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2017.
49	

Page 2 of 2

	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMI	TTEE ACTION
	ADOPTED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
:	OTHER	
	Serge Annie and Land Annie Ann	
1	Committee/Subcommittee	hearing bill: Transportation & Tourism
2	Appropriations Subcommi	ttee
3	Representative Nuñez of	fered the following:
4		
5	Amendment (with ti	tle amendment)
6	Remove lines 30-47	and insert:
7	entry and exit to and f	rom its express lanes while meeting
8	operational performance	goals. Effective July 1, 2018, if a
9	customer's average trav	el speed for a trip in an express lane
10	falls below 40 miles pe	r hour, the customer shall be charged the

Section 2. Paragraph (e) is added to subsection (1) of section 338.2216, Florida Statutes, to read:

minimum express lane toll. A customer's express lane average

travel speed is their average travel speed from the customer's

573283 - h1387 line30 Nunez2.docx

11 12

13

14

15

Published On: 4/14/2017 6:45:08 PM

entry point to the customer's exit point.

16 338.2216 Florida Turnpike Enterprise; powers and 17 authority.-18 (1)(e) The Florida Turnpike Enterprise shall ensure 19 reasonable and practicably feasible entry and exit points on its 20 21 express lanes and shall undertake efforts to expand reasonable 22 and practicably feasible entry and exit points to increase 23 accessibility and ease of entry and exit to and from its express 24 lanes while meeting operational performance goals. Effective 25 July 1, 2018, if a customer's average travel speed for a trip in 26 an express lane falls below 40 miles per hour, the customer shall be charged the general toll lane toll amount plus 25 27 cents. A customer's express lane average travel speed is their 28 29 average travel speed from the customer's entry point to the 30 customer's exit point. 31 Section 3. Paragraph (a) of subsection (3) of section 32 338.231, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 338.231 Turnpike tolls, fixing; pledge of tolls and other 33 revenues.—The department shall at all times fix, adjust, charge, 34 and collect such tolls and amounts for the use of the turnpike 35 36 system as are required in order to provide a fund sufficient 37 with other revenues of the turnpike system to pay the cost of maintaining, improving, repairing, and operating such turnpike 38 39 system; to pay the principal of and interest on all bonds issued

573283 - h1387 line30 Nunez2.docx

40

Published On: 4/14/2017 6:45:08 PM

to finance or refinance any portion of the turnpike system as

the same become due and payable; and to create reserves for all such purposes.

(3)(a) For the period July 1, 1998, through June 30, 2037 2017, the department shall, to the maximum extent feasible, program sufficient funds in the tentative work program such that the percentage of turnpike toll and bond financed commitments in Miami-Dade County, Broward County, and Palm Beach County as compared to total turnpike toll and bond financed commitments shall be at least 90 percent of the share of net toll collections attributable to users of the turnpike system in Miami-Dade County, Broward County, and Palm Beach County as compared to total net toll collections attributable to users of the turnpike system. This subsection does not apply when the application of such requirements would violate any covenant established in a resolution or trust indenture relating to the issuance of turnpike bonds. The department may at any time for economic considerations establish lower temporary toll rates for a new or existing toll facility for a period not to exceed 1 year, after which the toll rates adopted pursuant to s. 120.54 shall become effective.

60 61

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

62

63

64

65

TITLE AMENDMENT

Remove lines 2-16 and insert:

573283 - h1387 line30 Nunez2.docx

Published On: 4/14/2017 6:45:08 PM

Page 3 of 4

Bill No. HB 1387 (2017)

## Amendment No. 1

An act relating to transportation; amending s. 338.166,
F.S.; requiring the Department of Transportation to ensure
reasonable and practicably feasible entry and exit points
on its express lanes and to undertake efforts to expand
reasonable and practicably feasible entry and exit points
for certain purposes; adjusting the toll to be charged on
express lanes under certain circumstances; amending s.
338.2216, F.S.; requiring the Florida Turnpike Enterprise
to ensure reasonable and practicably feasible entry and
exit points on its express lanes and to undertake efforts
to expand reasonable and practicably feasible entry and
exit points for certain purposes; adjusting the toll to be
charged on express lanes under certain circumstances;
amending s. 338.231, F.S.; extending the period in which
the department shall program certain funds in the tentative
work program in relation to turnpike toll and bond financed
commitments within certain counties:

573283 - h1387 line30 Nunez2.docx

Published On: 4/14/2017 6:45:08 PM