## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HJR 759 Charter Schools

**SPONSOR(S):** Diaz, Jr.

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SJR 976

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) K-12 Subcommittee		Dehmer	Fudge
2) Appropriations Committee			
3) Education Committee			

## **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

Under current law, an applicant seeking to operate a charter school submits an application that is reviewed and approved by the school board in the district in which the applicant seeks to operate. Once approved, the applicant and school district enter into a contract called a charter.

The House Joint Resolution requires the State Board of Education to direct the Department of Education to establish a statewide system to approve charter schools within the state.

HJR 759 impacts state funds to the extent that the cost of placing the constitutional amendment on the ballot must be administered by the Department of State. The estimated printing and publication costs for advertising the joint resolution and other necessary materials would be approximately \$10,061.78, possibly greater, depending on the final wording of the joint resolution and the resulting ballot language. The estimate is based on the cost to advertise constitutional amendments for the 2014 general election which was \$135.97 per word.

A joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Florida Constitution must be passed by three-fifths of the membership of each house of the Legislature.

The Constitution requires 60 percent voter approval for passage of a proposed constitutional amendment.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0759.KTS

**DATE**: 1/15/2016

## **FULL ANALYSIS**

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

# A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

## **Statewide Charter School Authorizer**

#### **Present Situation**

Under current law, an applicant seeking to operate a charter school submits an application that is reviewed and approved by the school board in the district in which the applicant seeks to operate. Once approved, the applicant and school district enter into a contract called a charter. 2

# **Effect of Proposed Changes**

The House Joint Resolution directs the State Board of Education to establish an alternate statewide system for the approval of charter schools within the state. However, any approved charter school will still have to execute a contract with their school district, and the school board would maintain oversight of the charter school.

## **B. SECTION DIRECTORY:**

**Section 1.** Amends art. IX, Fla. Const., directing the State Board of Education to establish a statewide system for approval of charter schools.

**Section 2.** Provides an effective date of July 1, 2016.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

## A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

# 2. Expenditures:

Article XI, s. 5(d) of the State Constitution, requires proposed amendments or constitutional revisions to be published in a newspaper of general circulation in each county where a newspaper is published. The amendment or revision must be published once in the tenth week and again in the sixth week immediately before the week the election is held.

The estimated average cost per word to advertise an amendment to the State Constitution is \$135.97 for this fiscal year.<sup>3</sup> The estimated publication costs for advertising the joint resolution will be approximately \$10,061.78, possibly greater, depending on the final wording of the joint resolution and the resulting ballot language.

## B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

**DATE**: 1/15/2016

\*\*Legislative Bill Analysis for CS/HJR 105 (20 STORAGE NAME: h0759.KTS

PAGE: 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 1002.33(6), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 1002.33(7), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Legislative Bill Analysis for CS/HJR 165 (2016).

D.	FISCAL COMMENTS: None.
	III. COMMENTS
A.	CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:
	Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:     None.
	2. Other: None.
B.	RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY: None.
C.	DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS: None.
	IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES
No	t Applicable.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

STORAGE NAME: h0759.KTS DATE: 1/15/2016

PAGE: 3