

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 7007 PCB RCC 12-02 Florida Statutes

SPONSOR(S): Rules & Calendar Committee, Aubuchon

TIED BILLS: **IDEN./SIM. BILLS:**

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Orig. Comm.: Rules & Calendar Committee	18 Y, 0 N	Thomas	Birtman

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Florida Statute section 11.242 requires the Division of Statutory Revision of the Office of Legislative Services to conduct systematic and continuing study of Florida's statutes and laws for the purpose of recommending to the Legislature changes that would:

- Remove statutory inconsistencies, redundancies, and unnecessary repetitions.
- Improve clarity.
- Facilitate correct and proper interpretation.

Such changes include:

- Corrections to grammatical and typographical errors.
- Removal of expired or obsolete statutes and laws.
- Transfer, consolidation, and renumbering of sections, subsections, chapters, and titles.

These recommendations are submitted to the Legislature as technical, non-substantive reviser's bills.

HB 7007 is a general reviser's bill of technical nature that deletes expired or obsolete language; corrects cross references and grammatical errors; removes inconsistencies, redundancies, and unnecessary repetition in the statutes; improves the clarity of the statutes and facilitates their correct interpretation; and confirms the restoration of provisions unintentionally omitted from republication in the Legislature's acts during the amendatory process.

Pursuant to House Rule 12.3(e), a reviser's bill cannot be amended except to delete a bill section.

The bill has no fiscal impact.

This bill becomes effective on the 60th day after adjournment sine die.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The general reviser's bill is technical and non-substantive in nature. The bill amends, deletes, and reenacts various statutory provisions. Further, the bill deletes expired or obsolete language; corrects cross-references and grammatical errors; removes inconsistencies, redundancies, and unnecessary repetition in the statutes; improves the clarity of the statutes and facilitates their correct and proper interpretation; and confirms the restoration of provisions unintentionally omitted from republication in the Legislature's acts during the amendatory process.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Sections 1, 4-5, 9, 13-14, 17-18, 22-23, 27-28, 32, 34, 42, 46-49, 52, 55, 57, 59, 63-64, 66, 68, 71-72, 74, 81, 83, 85-86, 95-96, and 99 make editorial and grammatical changes to correct errors, improve clarity, and conform to Florida statute style.

Sections 2-3, 6-8, 10-12, 15-16, 19-21, 24-26, 29-30, 33, 36-41, 43-45, 50-51, 53-54, 56, 58, 61-62, 65, 67, 69, 73, 75, 77-80, 84, 87-94, 97-98, and 100-102 correct cross references and conform to redesignated, amended, repealed, or added subsections and subunits.

Sections 31 and 35 reenact provisions unintentionally omitted from republication in the Legislature's acts during the amendatory process. Absent affirmative evidence of legislative intent to repeal these sections, each are reenacted here to confirm the omission was not intended.

Sections 60, 70, 76, and 82 delete obsolete or expired provisions.

Section 103 provides for an effective date.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

Please see FISCAL COMMENTS in Part II, Section D.

2. Expenditures:

Please see FISCAL COMMENTS in Part II, Section D.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

Please see FISCAL COMMENTS in Part II, Section D.

2. Expenditures:

Please see FISCAL COMMENTS in Part II, Section D.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Please see FISCAL COMMENTS in Part II, Section D.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The general reviser's bill is a technical, non-substantive bill. The bill has no fiscal impact on state or local governments or on the private sector.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable, as the general reviser's bill does require counties or cities to spend funds or take action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority of counties or cities to raise revenue in the aggregate, or reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or cities.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The general reviser's bill does not implicate authority for any agency to adopt rules.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

None.