

Justice Appropriations Subcommittee

Tuesday, January 24, 2012 2:00 p.m. Morris Hall

MEETING PACKET



The Florida House of Representatives

Justice Appropriations Subcommittee

Dean Cannon Speaker Richard Glorioso Chair

AGENDA

Tuesday, January 24, 2012 2:00 p.m. Morris Hall (17 HOB)

- I. Call to Order/Roll Call
- II. Opening Remarks
- III. Consideration of the following bill(s):

HB 777 Securities Law Violations by Eisnaugle

IV. Workshop on the following:

Chair's Budget Proposal for FY 2012-13

- V. Consideration of the following proposed committee bill(s):
 - PCB JUAS 12-01 -- Juvenile Detention
 - PCB JUAS 12-02 -- State Courts Revenues
 - PCB JUAS 12-03 -- Clerks of the Court
 - PCB JUAS 12-04 -- Capital Collateral Regional Counsel Trust Fund/Justice Administrative Commission

PCB JUAS 12-05 -- Correctional Privatization

VI. Closing Remarks and Adjournment

6

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 777 Securities Law Violations

SPONSOR(S): Eisnaugle

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1290

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Criminal Justice Subcommittee	14 Y, 0 N	Williams	Cunningham
2) Justice Appropriations Subcommittee		McAuliffe //	Jones Darity Howity
3) Judiciary Committee		γ'	

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Chapter 517, F.S., entitled the "Florida Securities and Investor Protection Act" (FSIPA), is designed to protect the public from fraudulent and deceptive practices in the sale and marketing of securities. This purpose is achieved principally by requiring the registration of securities and securities dealers.

Section 517.07(1), F.S., makes it unlawful for any person to sell or offer to sell specified securities unless they are registered. In addition to being registered, s. 517.07(2), F.S., requires that a securities purchaser be furnished with a prospectus meeting specified requirements. Violations of s. 517.07(1) and (2), F.S., are currently 3rd degree felonies, ranked in Level 2 of the Offense Severity Ranking Chart.

Section 517.12(1), F.S., makes it unlawful for a dealer, associated person, or issuer of securities to sell or offer for sale any securities in or from offices in Florida, or sell securities to persons in Florida from offices outside of Florida, by mail or otherwise, unless the person has been registered. A violation of s. 517.12(1), F.S., is a 3rd degree felony ranked in Level 1 of the Offense Severity Ranking Chart.

The bill increases the ranking of securities-related offenses in the Offense Severity Ranking Chart as follows:

- A violation of s. 517.07(1), F.S., goes from a Level 2 offense to a Level 4 offense; and
- A violation of s. 517.12(1), F.S., goes from a Level 1 offense to a Level 4 offense.

As a result, the lowest permissible sentence for these offenses will be increased.

The Criminal Justice Impact Conference met January 17, 2012 and determined this bill will have an insignificant impact on the state prison beds because of the low volume of individuals reported with this offense.

The bill is effective upon becoming law.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0777b.JUAS.DOCX

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The Criminal Punishment Code - Offense Severity Ranking Chart

The Criminal Punishment Code applies to sentencing for felony offenses (except capital felonies) committed on or after October 1, 1998. Criminal offenses are ranked in the Offense Severity Ranking Chart from Level 1 (least severe) to Level 10 (most severe) and are assigned points based on the severity of the offense as determined by the legislature. As the offense level increases, the number of points rises. If an offense is not listed in the ranking chart, it defaults to a ranking based on the degree of the felony.

A defendant's sentence is calculated based on points, which are assigned for factors including: the offense for which the defendant is being sentenced; victim injury; additional offenses that the defendant committed at the time of the primary offense; the defendant's prior record and other aggravating factors.⁴ The points are added in order to determine the "lowest permissible sentence" for the offense. If the total sentence points equals or is less than 44 points, the lowest permissible sentence is a non-state prison sanction (i.e., jail). If the total sentence points exceed 44 points, a prison sentence is the lowest permissible sentence. In each instance, the sentencing range is the lowest permissible sentence up to the maximum penalty provided in s. 775.082, F.S., which is based on the degree of the felony.⁵

Florida Securities and Investor Protection Act

Chapter 517, F.S., is entitled the "Florida Securities and Investor Protection Act" (FSIPA).⁶ The purpose of FSIPA is to protect the public from fraudulent and deceptive practices in the sale and marketing of securities⁷.⁸ This purpose is achieved principally by requiring the registration of securities and securities dealers, which provides potential investors with sufficient information to enable them to protect themselves.⁹

The Office of Financial Regulation (OFR)¹⁰ is the entity responsible for registering securities and securities dealers.¹¹ The processes for registering are outlined in ss. 517.081, 517.082, 517.12, and 517.1201, F.S., which include requirements that OFR be provided an application, certain financial statements, and other specified information.

STORAGE NAME: h0777b.JUAS.DOCX

¹ Section 921.002, F.S.

² Section 921.0022, F.S.

³ Section 921.0023, F.S.

⁴ Section 921.0024, F.S.

⁵ The statutory maximum sentence for a first degree felony is thirty years, for a second degree felony is fifteen years and for a third degree felony is five years. Section 775.082, F.S.

⁶ Section 517.011, F.S.

⁷ Section 517.021, F.S., defines the term "security" to include any of the following: notes, stocks, treasury stocks, bond, a debenture, an evidence of indebtedness, certificates of deposit, certificates of deposit for a security, certificates of interest or participation, whiskey warehouse receipts or other commodity warehouse receipts, certificates of interest in a profit-sharing agreement or the right to participate therein, certificates of interest in an oil, gas, petroleum, mineral, or mining title or lease or the right to participate therein, collateral trust certificates, reorganization certificates, preorganization subscriptions, transferable shares, investment contracts, a beneficial interest in title to property, profits, or earnings, an interest in or under a profit-sharing or participation agreement or scheme, any option contract which entitles the holder to purchase or sell a given amount of the underlying security at a fixed price within a specified period of time, other instruments commonly known as a security, including an interim or temporary bond, debenture, note, or certificate, receipts for a security, or for subscription to a security, or any right to subscribe to or purchase any security. And viatical settlement investments.

⁸ Rushing v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 752 F. Supp. 2d 1254 (M.D. Fla. 2010); Arthur Young & Co. v. Mariner Corp., 630 So.2d 1199, 1203 (Fla. 4th DCA 1994).

⁹ 32 Fla. Jur 2d Investment Securities, Etc. s. 122

¹⁰ The Office of Financial Regulation regulates the banking, finance and securities industries in Florida and is administratively housed within the Department of Financial Services. OFR is headed by a commissioner appointed by the Financial Services Commission, which consists of the Governor and Cabinet. (http://www.flofr.com/Office/Aboutofr.aspx)(last visited on January 5, 2012).

¹¹ Sections 517.081 and 517.12, F.S.

Section 517.07(1), F.S., makes it unlawful for any person to sell or offer to sell specified securities unless they are registered. In addition to being registered, s. 517.07(2), F.S., requires that a securities purchaser be furnished with a prospectus meeting the requirements of rules adopted by the Financial Services Commission. Violations of s. 517.07(1) and (2), F.S., are currently 3rd degree felonies, ranked in Level 2 of the Offense Severity Ranking Chart. A Level 2 offense equates to 10 sentencing points.

Section 517.12(1), F.S., specifies that no dealer, associated person, or issuer¹³ of securities shall sell or offer for sale any securities in or from offices in Florida, or sell securities to persons in this state from offices outside this state, by mail or otherwise, unless the person has been registered with OFR. In addition, OFR is prohibited from registering any person as an associated person of a dealer unless the dealer with which the applicant seeks registration is lawfully registered with the office pursuant to chapter 517, F.S.¹⁴ A violation of s. 517.12(1), F.S., is a 3rd degree felony ranked in Level 1 of the Offense Severity Ranking Chart.¹⁵ A Level 1 offense equates to 4 sentencing points.

In addition to the above-described unlawful acts, FSIPA contains many other provisions that prescribe unlawful behavior relating to securities.¹⁶ With one exception,¹⁷ these offenses are 3rd degree felonies, and except as provided above, are ranked in Level 1 of the Offense Severity Ranking Chart.¹⁸

Effect of the Bill

The bill increases the ranking of securities-related offenses in the Offense Severity Ranking Chart as follows:

- A violation of s. 517.07(1), F.S. (requiring certain securities to be registered prior to sale), goes from a Level 2 offense (equating to 10 sentencing points) to a Level 4 offense (equating to 22 sentencing points)
- A violation of s. 517.12(1), F.S. (requiring securities dealers, etc. to be registered), goes from a Level 1 offense (equating to 4 sentencing points) to a Level 4 offense (equating to 22 sentencing points).

As a result, the lowest permissible sentence for violations of ss. 517.07(1) and 517.12(1), F.S., will be increased.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 921.0022, F.S., relating to Criminal Punishment Code; offense severity ranking chart.

Section 2. Provides an effective date upon becoming a law.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

¹⁸ See ss. 517.302 and 921.0022(3)(a), F.S.

STORAGE NAME: h0777b.JUAS.DOCX

¹² See ss. 517.302 and 921.0022(3)(b), F.S.

¹³ Section 517.021, F.S., defines the terms "dealer," "associated person," and "issuer."

¹⁴ Section 517.12(1), F.S.

¹⁵ See ss. 517.302 and 921.0022(3)(a), F.S.

¹⁶ See, e.g., ss. 517.301, 517.311, and 517.312, F.S.

¹⁷ A person who violates s. 517.312(1), F.S., by obtaining money or property of an aggregate value exceeding \$50,000 from five or more persons is guilty of a 1st degree felony. Section 517.302(2), F.S.

2.	Ex	рe	ndi	tu	res
		2			

The Criminal Justice Impact Conference met January 17, 2012 and determined this bill will have an insignificant impact on the state prison beds because of the low volume of individuals reported with this offense.

The Florida Department of Law Enforcement reported that there were 48 convictions for violations of s. 517.07(1), F.S., and 53 convictions for violations of s. 517.12(1), F.S., from 2006 - 2011. 19

R	FISCAL	IMPACT	ONLO	CAL	30	/FRNI	IFNTS:
U .	IIOOAL		CIVIC	ノストヽ	$\mathbf{J}\mathbf{U}$. L. I / I / I /	MLIVI O.

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill appears to be exempt from the requirements of Article VII, Section 18 of the Florida Constitution because it is a criminal law.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill does not appear to create a need for rulemaking or rulemaking authority.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

¹⁹ Office of Financial Regulation. Analysis on HB 777. January 6, 2012. (On file with House Criminal Justice Subcommittee staff). **STORAGE NAME**: h0777b.JUAS.DOCX PAGE: 4

HB 777 2012

1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to securities law violations; amending
3	s. 921.0022, F.S.; reclassifying certain securities
4	law offenses for purposes of the offense severity
5	ranking chart of the Criminal Punishment Code;
6	providing an effective date.
7	
8	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
9	
10	Section 1. Paragraphs (b) and (d) of subsection (3) of
11	section 921.0022, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
12	921.0022 Criminal Punishment Code; offense severity
13	ranking chart.—
14	(3) OFFENSE SEVERITY RANKING CHART
15	(b) LEVEL 2
16	
	Florida Felony
	Statute Degree Description
17	
	379.2431 3rd Possession of 11 or fewer
	(1) (e) 3. marine turtle eggs in violation
	of the Marine Turtle Protection
	Act.
18	
	379.2431 3rd Possession of more than 11
	(1) (e) 4. marine turtle eggs in violation
	of the Marine Turtle Protection
,	Page 1 of 13

Page 1 of 13

	HB 777			2012
19			Act.	
	403.413(5)(c)	3rd	Dumps waste litter exceeding 500 lbs. in weight or 100 cubic feet in volume or any quantity for commercial purposes, or hazardous waste.	
20	517.07 <u>(2)</u>	3rd	Registration of securities and Furnishing of prospectus required.	
22	590.28(1)	3rd	Intentional burning of lands.	
23	784.05(3)	3rd	Storing or leaving a loaded firearm within reach of minor who uses it to inflict injury or death.	
24	787.04(1)	3rd	In violation of court order, take, entice, etc., minor beyond state limits.	
	806.13(1)(b)3.	3rd	Criminal mischief; damage \$1,000 or more to public communication or any other public service.	
25			Page 2 of 12	

Page 2 of 13

	HB 777			2012
26	810.061(2)	3rd	Impairing or impeding telephone or power to a dwelling; facilitating or furthering burglary.	
27	810.09(2)(e)	3rd	Trespassing on posted commercial horticulture property.	
28	812.014(2)(c)1.	3rd	Grand theft, 3rd degree; \$300 or more but less than \$5,000.	
29	812.014(2)(d)	3rd	Grand theft, 3rd degree; \$100 or more but less than \$300, taken from unenclosed curtilage of dwelling.	
30	812.015(7)	3rd	Possession, use, or attempted use of an antishoplifting or inventory control device countermeasure.	
31	817.234(1)(a)2.	3rd	False statement in support of insurance claim.	
	817.481(3)(a)	3rd	Obtain credit or purchase with false, expired, counterfeit,	
ı			Dama 2 of 42	1

Page 3 of 13

CODING: Words $\frac{\text{stricken}}{\text{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\frac{\text{underlined}}{\text{orderlined}}$ are additions.

	HB 777			2012
32			etc., credit card, value over \$300.	Ì
33	817.52(3)	3rd	Failure to redeliver hired vehicle.	
33	817.54	3rd	With intent to defraud, obtain mortgage note, etc., by false representation.	
34	817.60(5)	3rd	Dealing in credit cards of another.	
33	817.60(6)(a)	3rd	Forgery; purchase goods, services with false card.	
36	817.61	3rd	Fraudulent use of credit cards over \$100 or more within 6 months.	
37	826.04	3rd	Knowingly marries or has sexual intercourse with person to whom related.	
39	831.01	3rd	Forgery.	
	831.02	3rd	Uttering forged instrument;	
1			Page 4 of 13	ı

Page 4 of 13

	HB 777			2012
			utters or publishes alteration	
			with intent to defraud.	
40	831.07	3rd	Forging bank bills, checks,	
	031.07	31.u	drafts, or promissory notes.	
41			, ,	
	831.08	3rd	Possessing 10 or more forged	
			notes, bills, checks, or	
42			drafts.	
42	831.09	3rd	Uttering forged notes, bills,	
			checks, drafts, or promissory	
			notes.	
43				
	831.11	3rd	Bringing into the state forged	
			bank bills, checks, drafts, or notes.	
44				
	832.05(3)(a)	3rd	Cashing or depositing item with	
			intent to defraud.	
45	0.42.00	21	Eslasla impanantina	
	843.08	3rd	Falsely impersonating an officer.	
46				
	893.13(2)(a)2.	3rd	Purchase of any s.	
			893.03(1)(c), (2)(c)1.,	
			(2) (c) 2., (2) (c) 3., (2) (c) 5.,	
			D 5 540	

Page 5 of 13

	HB 777			2012
47			(2)(c)6., (2)(c)7., (2)(c)8., (2)(c)9., (3), or (4) drugs other than cannabis.	
- '	893.147(2)	3rd	Manufacture or delivery of drug	
			paraphernalia.	
48				
49	(d) LEVEL 4			
50				
	Florida	Felony		
51	Statute	Degree	Description	
J1	316.1935(3)(a)	2nd	Driving at high speed or with wanton disregard for safety while fleeing or attempting to elude law enforcement officer who is in a patrol vehicle with	
			siren and lights activated.	
52				
	499.0051(1)	3rd	Failure to maintain or deliver pedigree papers.	
53				
	499.0051(2)	3rd	Failure to authenticate	
54			pedigree papers.	
	499.0051(6)	2nd	Knowing sale or delivery, or possession with intent to sell,	
ł			Page 6 of 12	

Page 6 of 13

	HB 777			2012
55			contraband prescription drugs.	
56	517.07(1)	3rd	Registration of securities.	
	517.12(1)	<u>3rd</u>	Registration of dealers, associated persons, and issuers required.	
57	784.07(2)(b)	3rd	Battery of law enforcement officer, firefighter, etc.	
58	784.074(1)(c)	3rd	Battery of sexually violent predators facility staff.	
59 60	784.075	3rd	Battery on detention or commitment facility staff.	
	784.078	3rd	Battery of facility employee by throwing, tossing, or expelling certain fluids or materials.	
61	784.08(2)(c)	3rd	Battery on a person 65 years of age or older.	
	784.081(3)	3rd	Battery on specified official or employee.	
63				

Page 7 of 13

	HB 777			2012
	784.082(3)	3rd	Battery by detained person on	
			visitor or other detainee.	
64				
65	784.083(3)	3rd	Battery on code inspector.	
63	784.085	3rd	Battery of child by throwing,	
	7011000	014	tossing, projecting, or	
			expelling certain fluids or	
			materials.	
66				
	787.03(1)	3rd	Interference with custody;	
			wrongly takes minor from	
67			appointed guardian.	
0/	787.04(2)	3rd	Take, entice, or remove child	
	707:01(2)	Jiu	beyond state limits with	
			criminal intent pending custody	
İ			proceedings.	
68				:
	787.04(3)	3rd	Carrying child beyond state	:
			lines with criminal intent to	
			avoid producing child at	
			custody hearing or delivering	
69			to designated person.	
09	790.115(1)	3rd	Exhibiting firearm or weapon	
		014	within 1,000 feet of a school.	
70			·	
1			Dago 9 of 12	1

Page 8 of 13

	HB 777			2012
71	790.115(2)(b)	3rd	Possessing electric weapon or device, destructive device, or other weapon on school property.	
72	790.115(2)(c)	3rd	Possessing firearm on school property.	
	800.04(7)(c)	3rd	Lewd or lascivious exhibition; offender less than 18 years.	
73	810.02(4)(a)	3rd	Burglary, or attempted burglary, of an unoccupied structure; unarmed; no assault or battery.	
74	810.02(4)(b)	3rd	Burglary, or attempted burglary, of an unoccupied conveyance; unarmed; no assault or battery.	
75 76	810.06	3rd	Burglary; possession of tools.	
	810.08(2)(c)	3rd	Trespass on property, armed with firearm or dangerous weapon.	
77				

Page 9 of 13

	HB 777			2012
78	812.014(2)(c)3.	3rd	Grand theft, 3rd degree \$10,000 or more but less than \$20,000.	
79	812.014 (2)(c)410.	3rd	Grand theft, 3rd degree, a will, firearm, motor vehicle, livestock, etc.	
80	812.0195(2)	3rd	Dealing in stolen property by use of the Internet; property stolen \$300 or more.	
	817.563(1)	3rd	Sell or deliver substance other than controlled substance agreed upon, excluding s. 893.03(5) drugs.	
81	817.568(2)(a)	3rd	Fraudulent use of personal identification information.	
83	817.625(2)(a)	3rd	Fraudulent use of scanning device or reencoder.	
84	828.125(1)	2nd	Kill, maim, or cause great bodily harm or permanent breeding disability to any registered horse or cattle.	
			Page 10 of 13	

Page 10 of 13

	HB 777			2012
	837.02(1)	3rd	Perjury in official proceedings.	
85	837.021(1)	3rd	Make contradictory statements in official proceedings.	
86	838.022	3rd	Official misconduct.	
	839.13(2)(a)	3rd	Falsifying records of an individual in the care and custody of a state agency.	
88	839.13(2)(c)	3rd	Falsifying records of the Department of Children and Family Services.	
89	843.021	3rd	Possession of a concealed handcuff key by a person in custody.	
90	843.025	3rd	Deprive law enforcement, correctional, or correctional probation officer of means of	
91	843.15(1)(a)	3rd	protection or communication. Failure to appear while on bail for felony (bond estreature or	
i			D 44 . C40	Į.

Page 11 of 13

	HB 777			2012
92			bond jumping).	
	847.0135(5)(c)	3rd	Lewd or lascivious exhibition using computer; offender less than 18 years.	
93	874.05(1)	3rd	Encouraging or recruiting another to join a criminal gang.	
94				
	893.13(2)(a)1.	2nd	Purchase of cocaine (or other s. 893.03(1)(a), (b), or (d), (2)(a), (2)(b), or (2)(c)4. drugs).	
95				
96	914.14(2)	3rd	Witnesses accepting bribes.	
	914.22(1)	3rd	Force, threaten, etc., witness, victim, or informant.	
97				
;	914.23(2)	3rd	Retaliation against a witness, victim, or informant, no bodily injury.	
98				
99	918.12	3rd	Tampering with jurors.	
	934.215	3rd	Use of two-way communications	
ı			Page 12 of 13	1

Page 12 of 13

HB 777 2012

device to facilitate commission of a crime.

100

101

Section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 13 of 13

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: PCB JUAS 12-01 DJJ Respite Beds SPONSOR(S): Justice Appropriations Subcommittee

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Orig. Comm.: Justice Appropriations Subcommittee		Toms	Jones Darity Laway

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The proposed committee bill conforms to the House of Representatives proposed Fiscal Year 2012-13 General Appropriations Act.

The term "respite" is defined in chapter 985.03(46) as "a placement that is available for the care, custody, and placement of a youth charged with domestic violence as an alternative to secure detention or for placement of a youth when a shelter bed for a child in need of services or a family in need of services is unavailable."

Currently, respite care is lawfully authorized as an alternative to secure detention for preadjudicated youth who have been charged with domestic violence who do not otherwise meet detention criteria. Counties are statutorily required to pay for the costs of secure detention but not the costs of respite care.

This bill would modify the definition of the term "detention care" to include alternatives to secure detention, including but not limited to respite beds for youth charged with domestic violence. The counties would be required to pay the costs of respite care.

Respite beds have a lower per diem cost than secure detention beds. If respite beds are used instead of secure detention beds, counties will realize a cost savings as a result of this bill. The Shared County/Juvenile Detention Trust Fund will realize a savings of \$3.2 million and 77 FTE from using respite beds. \$1.2 million of the savings will be reinvested into the Shared County/Juvenile Detention Trust Fund to provide funding for 43 respite beds across the state. The trust fund will have a net savings of \$2 million in the House proposed FY 2012-13 GAA.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: pcb01.JUAS.DOCX

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Current Situation

Currently, respite care is lawfully authorized as an alternative to secure detention for preadjudicated youth who have been charged with domestic violence. The term "respite" is defined in chapter 985.03(46) as "a placement that is available for the care, custody, and placement of a youth charged with domestic violence as an alternative to secure detention or for placement of a youth when a shelter bed for a child in need of services or a family in need of services is unavailable." Section 985.255(2), Florida Statutes, states the court may hold youth in secure detention if a youth charged with domestic violence does not meet detention criteria and the court makes specific written findings that respite care for the child is not available or it is necessary to place the child in secure detention in order to protect the victim from injury. The youth may not be held in secure detention pursuant to this provision for more than 48 hours unless ordered by the court. After 48 hours, the court is required to hold a hearing if the state attorney or victim requests that secure detention be continued. The child may continue to be held in detention care if the court makes a specific, written finding that detention care is necessary to protect the victim from injury. The department does not currently contract for any respite beds for the purposes described in this section.

Pursuant to section 985.686, counties are responsible for paying the costs of preadjucatory secure detention pursuant but are not required to pay the costs of respite care.

In Fiscal Year 2010-11, 2,614 low-risk youth who did not meet secure detention criteria were placed in secure detention for domestic violence charges because there were no respite beds available². Because these youth were preadjudicated, the counties were responsible for paying their detention costs. According to the Department of Juvenile Justice, the average length of stay for the 2,614 youth was 6 days.³ From July 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011, there were 1,043 youth placed in secure detention for domestic violence charges because there were no respite beds available⁴.

Effect of Proposed Bill

PCB JUAS 12-01 proposes to expand the definition of "detention care" to include "alternatives to secure detention, including but not limited to respite beds for youth charged with domestic violence charges." This would require the counties to pay the costs of respite beds. If respite beds are used in lieu of secure detention, the counties will realize a savings⁵.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends section 985.686, F.S., relating to shared county and state responsibility for juvenile detention.

Section 2: Provides an effective date of July 1, 2012.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

STORAGE NAME: pcb01.JUAS.DOCX

¹ Section 985.255(2), F.S.

² Department of Juvenile Justice, "Alternatives to Detention (Respite Beds)"

³ The department defines the average length of stay as the total number of detained days for the domestic violence-related stays. Domestic violence-related stays is defined as detention stays that appear to have only been necessary due to the domestic violence criteria being met, according to the department. The department did not include youth who met other detention immediate qualifier criteria.

⁴ *Id*.

⁵ *Id.*

'A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

See "fiscal comments" section.

2. Expenditures:

See "fiscal comments" section.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The Department of Juvenile Justice may contract with private providers for respite care beds to provide care for youth charged with domestic violence.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The estimated daily cost of a respite bed is \$100⁶ compared to the estimated daily cost of secure detention, \$275⁷. It is estimated that 43 respite beds⁸ will be needed for the youth in Florida who are charged with domestic violence but do not meet secure detention criteria. The Shared County/Juvenile Detention Trust Fund will realize a savings of \$3.2 million and 77 FTE from using respite beds. \$1.2 million of the savings will be reinvested into the Shared County/Juvenile Detention Trust Fund to provide funding for 43 respite beds across the state. The trust fund will have a net savings of \$2 million.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

PCB JUAS 12-01 will provide the counties with a less expensive alternative to detention for youth charged with domestic violence and do not meet the criteria for secure detention. The bill does not require the county detention centers to use respite beds, it allows them to use respite beds if they are available. Counties will realize a savings in detention costs from the changes this bill proposes.

This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

STORAGE NAME: pcb01.JUAS.DOCX

PAGE: 3

⁶ Governor's FY 2012-13 Budget Recommendations, Department of Juvenile Justice, Governor's Office of Policy and Budget Analyst Workpapers, Prepared by Jason Welty, December 2011

⁷ 2010-11 Detention Cost Sharing Final Expenditures Reversions, Department of Juvenile Justice

⁸ Governor's FY 2012-13 Budget Recommendations, Department of Juvenile Justice, Governor's Office of Policy and Budget Analyst Workpapers, Prepared by Jason Welty, December 2011

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: pcb01.JUAS.DOCX

A bill to be entitled

1 2 3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

An act relating to juvenile detention; amending s. 985.686, F.S.; providing that detention care, for purposes of provisions relating to shared county and state responsibility for juvenile detention costs, includes alternatives to secured detention; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

- Paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of section Section 1. 985.686, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 985.686 Shared county and state responsibility for juvenile detention.-
 - As used in this section, the term:
- "Detention care" means secure detention and alternatives to secure detention, including, but not limited to, respite beds for youth charged with domestic violence charges.
 - Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2012.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

PCB JUAS 12-02

State Courts Foreclosure Revenue

SPONSOR(S): Justice Appropriations Subcommittee

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Orig. Comm.: Justice Appropriations Subcommittee		Toms	Jones Darity

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The proposed committee bill conforms to the House of Representatives proposed Fiscal Year 2012-13 General Appropriations Act. PCB JUAS 12-02 proposes to redirect revenues associated with mortgage foreclosure filing fees and mortgage foreclosure counterclaims filing fees from the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund into the General Revenue Fund. This will redirect revenues generated from mortgage foreclosure filing fees and mortgage foreclosure counterclaims filing fees from the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund (SCRTF) to the General Revenue Fund.

The House of Representative proposed FY 2012-13 GAA fund-shifts \$224.3 million from the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund to the General Revenue Fund. The redirection of revenues will offset deficits in the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund and provide stable funds to support and operate the State Courts System.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Current Situation

The 2009 Florida Legislature passed Senate Bill 14-A (Ch. 2009-7, L.O.F.) creating the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund during a special session called to address current year budget shortfalls. The bill was passed with Senate Bill 12-A (Ch. 2009-6, L.O.F.) which redirected fines, fees and revenues into the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund. The two bills significantly altered how the State Court System is funded. In Fiscal Year 2008-09, 90 percent of the State Court System's budget was funded with General Revenue and the remaining 10 percent was funded with trust funds; currently, only 10 percent of the State Court System's budget is funded with General Revenue and 90 percent is funded with trust funds.

The SCRTF funds 83 percent of the total State Court System's budget. The trust fund receives revenues from probate fees¹, circuit filing fees², mortgage foreclosure filing fees³, mortgage foreclosure counterclaim filing fees⁴, appellate fees⁵ and traffic fines⁶. The largest revenue source for the trust fund comes from mortgage foreclosure filing fees. In FY 2009-10, foreclosure filing fees made up 80% of the entire trust fund and in FY 2010-11, foreclosure filing fees made up 67%⁷ of the total trust fund.

Since the creation of the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund, there has been a steep decline in mortgage foreclosure filing fee revenue. The February 2010 Revenue Estimating Conference projected revenue of \$379.88 million for FY 2010-11. The February 2011 Revenue Estimating Conference projected revenue of \$216.79 million for the same fiscal year. In one year, the projected revenue dropped \$163.1 million. An appropriation of \$38.9 million in General Revenue was necessary in the 2011-12 GAA to cover the State Court's System budget deficit for FY 2010-11¹⁰.

Similar trends continue for Fiscal Year 2011-12. The February 2011 Revenue Estimating Conference projected revenue of \$415.7¹¹ million for FY 2011-12. The December 2011 Revenue Estimating Conference projected revenue of \$260.3¹² million for the same fiscal year. In ten months, the projected revenue dropped \$155.4 million. The House proposed 2012-13 GAA appropriates \$121.7 million in General Revenue to fulfill the State Court System's current year budget deficit.

The December 2011 Revenue Estimating Conference has made an official estimate of \$298.7¹³ million for Fiscal Year 2012-13. Currently the base budget for the SCRTF is \$359.9 million. If trends continue, the Legislature will need to continue to fund the SCRTF shortfall with General Revenue in order to maintain current funding levels.

The table below further illustrates the continuing decline in revenue for the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund as projected by the Revenue Estimating Conferences. The table also shows the appropriated budget for each fiscal year.

STORAGE NAME: pcb02.JUAS.DOCX

¹Section 28.2401(1), F.S.

² Section 28.241(1)(a)1.a., F.S. and section 28.241(1)(a)1.b., F.S.

³ Section 28.241(1)(a)2.d., F.S.

⁴ Section 28.241(1)(c)2, F.S.

⁵ Section 25.241, F.S. and 35.22, F.S.

⁶ Section 318..14, F.S., 318.18(19)(a), F.S., and 318.21(20), F.S.

⁷ Office of Economic and Demographic Research, Article V Revenues breakdown spreadsheet, on file with the Justice Appropriations Subcommittee Staff

⁸ Estimating Conference, Article V Fees & Transfers, Executive Summary, February 2010

⁹ Estimating Conference, Article V Fees & Transfers, Executive Summary, February 2011

¹⁰ Ch. 2011-69, L.O.F.

¹¹ Estimating Conference, Article V Fees & Transfers, Executive Summary, February 2011

¹² Estimating Conference, Article V Fees & Transfers, Executive Summary, December 2011

¹³ Estimating Conference, Article V Fees & Transfers, Executive Summary, December 2011

State Courts Revenue Trust Fund Projected Revenues

Fiscal Year	REC Feb 2010	REC Feb 2011	REC Dec 2011	Budget
2010-11	\$379.8	\$216.7	N/A	\$370.4
2011-12	\$291.8	\$415.7	\$260.3	\$361.2
2012-13	\$229.3	\$309.4	\$298.7	\$359.9 ¹⁴

Source: Revenue Estimating Conference, Article V Fees & Transfers, Executive Summaries - Feb 2010, Feb 2011, Dec 2011

Effect of Proposed Bill

PCB JUAS 12-02 proposes to redirect revenues associated with mortgage foreclosure filing fees and mortgage foreclosure counterclaims filing fees from the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund into the General Revenue Fund. Due to the instability of revenue from mortgage foreclosure filing fees, the current revenue stream is not sufficient to fund the State Court System at current levels. Fund shifting the revenues and providing the State Court System with General Revenue will stabilize the Court System's budget.

As a result of this statute change, revenues will be deposited into the General Revenue Fund from foreclosure filing fees, totaling \$224.3 million¹⁵. Trust fund authority would be reduced from the State Court's budget and General Revenue would be appropriated. The SCRTF would continue to be funded with probate fees, circuit fees, appellate fees and traffic fines. This change in statute and budget should mitigate the need for the legislature to cover a budget deficit with General Revenue and stabilize the operations of the courts due to increased funding predictability.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends section 28.241 related to filing fees for trial and appellate proceedings.

Section 2: Provides an effective date of June 1, 2012.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

See "fiscal comments" section.

2. Expenditures:

See "fiscal comments" section.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Base Budget

¹⁵ Office of Economic and Demographic Research, Article V Revenue Estimates breakdown spreadsheet, on file with the Justice Appropriations Subcommittee Staff

None. The filing fees are not being increased or decreased, simply redirected.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

A fund shift of \$224.3 million will be redirected from the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund to the General Revenue Fund to fund the State Court System. The mortgage foreclosure filing fees will be redirected into the General Revenue Fund. In order to fund the deficit caused by the revenue shortfall, an additional \$63.8 million in General Revenue is needed.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: pcb02.JUAS.DOCX

1

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to state court revenues; amending s. 28.241, F.S.; redirecting revenue from filing fees for civil actions in circuit court relating to real property or mortgage foreclosure from the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund to the General Revenue Fund; conforms provisions; providing an effective date.

8 9

2

3

4 5

6

7

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

10 11

Section 1. Paragraphs (a) and (c) of subsection (1) of section 28.241, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

13 14

12

28.241 Filing fees for trial and appellate proceedings.-

Except as provided in sub-subparagraph b. and

15 16

17

20

25

26

27

28

subparagraph 2., the party instituting any civil action, suit, or proceeding in the circuit court shall pay to the clerk of that court a filing fee of up to \$395 in all cases in which

18 there are not more than five defendants and an additional filing 19 fee of up to \$2.50 for each defendant in excess of five. Of the

first \$280 in filing fees, \$80 must be remitted by the clerk to

21 the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue 22

(1) (a) 1.a.

Fund, \$195 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for

23 deposit into the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund, \$3.50 must be 24 remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the

Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative

Commission and used to fund the Florida Clerks of Court

Operations Corporation created in s. 28.35, and \$1.50 must shall

be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the

Page 1 of 7

PCB JUAS 12-02.docx

Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund clerk budget reviews conducted by the Department of Financial Services. One third of any filing fees collected by the clerk of the circuit court in excess of \$100 \text{must shall} be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission.

- b. The party instituting any civil action, suit, or proceeding in the circuit court under chapter 39, chapter 61, chapter 741, chapter 742, chapter 747, chapter 752, or chapter 753 shall pay to the clerk of that court a filing fee of up to \$295 in all cases in which there are not more than five defendants and an additional filing fee of up to \$2.50 for each defendant in excess of five. Of the first \$180 in filing fees, \$80 must be remitted by the clerk to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund, \$95 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund, \$3.50 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission and used to fund the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation created in s. 28.35, and \$1.50 must shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund clerk budget reviews conducted by the Department of Financial Services.
- c. An additional filing fee of \$4 shall be paid to the clerk. The clerk shall remit \$3.50 to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Court Education Trust Fund and shall remit

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

50 cents to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission to fund clerk education. An additional filing fee of up to \$18 shall be paid by the party seeking each severance that is granted. The clerk may impose an additional filing fee of up to \$85 for all proceedings of garnishment, attachment, replevin, and distress. Postal charges incurred by the clerk of the circuit court in making service by certified or registered mail on defendants or other parties shall be paid by the party at whose instance service is made. No Additional fees, charges, or costs may not shall be added to the filing fees imposed under this section, except as authorized in this section or by general law.

- 2.a. Notwithstanding the fees prescribed in subparagraph
 1., a party instituting a civil action in circuit court relating
 to real property or mortgage foreclosure shall pay a graduated
 filing fee based on the value of the claim.
- b. A party shall estimate in writing the amount in controversy of the claim upon filing the action. For purposes of this subparagraph, the value of a mortgage foreclosure action is based upon the principal due on the note secured by the mortgage, plus interest owed on the note and any moneys advanced by the lender for property taxes, insurance, and other advances secured by the mortgage, at the time of filing the foreclosure. The value shall also include the value of any tax certificates related to the property. In stating the value of a mortgage foreclosure claim, a party shall declare in writing the total value of the claim, as well as the individual elements of the

value as prescribed in this sub-subparagraph.

- c. In its order providing for the final disposition of the matter, the court shall identify the actual value of the claim. The clerk shall adjust the filing fee if there is a difference between the estimated amount in controversy and the actual value of the claim and collect any additional filing fee owed or provide a refund of excess filing fee paid.
 - d. The party shall pay a filing fee of:
- (I) Three hundred and ninety-five dollars in all cases in which the value of the claim is \$50,000 or less and in which there are not more than five defendants. The party shall pay an additional filing fee of up to \$2.50 for each defendant in excess of five. Of the first \$280 in filing fees, \$275 \$80 must be remitted by the clerk to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund, \$195 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund, \$3.50 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission and used to fund the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation created in s. 28.35, and \$1.50 must shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund clerk budget reviews conducted by the Department of Financial Services;
- (II) Nine hundred dollars in all cases in which the value of the claim is more than \$50,000 but less than \$250,000 and in which there are not more than five defendants. The party shall pay an additional filing fee of up to \$2.50 for each defendant

Page 4 of 7

PCB JUAS 12-02.docx

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

in excess of five. Of the first \$785 in filing fees, \$780 \$80 must be remitted by the clerk to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund, \$700 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund, \$3.50 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission and used to fund the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation described in s. 28.35, and \$1.50 must shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund clerk budget reviews conducted by the Department of Financial Services; or One thousand nine hundred dollars in all cases in which the value of the claim is \$250,000 or more and in which there are not more than five defendants. The party shall pay an additional filing fee of up to \$2.50 for each defendant in excess of five. Of the first \$1,785 in filing fees, \$1,780 \$80 must be remitted by the clerk to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund, \$1,700 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund, \$3.50 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission to fund the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation created in s. 28.35, and \$1.50 must shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund clerk budget reviews conducted by the Department of Financial Services.

Page 5 of 7

PCB JUAS 12-02.docx

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

- An additional filing fee of \$4 shall be paid to the clerk. The clerk shall remit \$3.50 to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Court Education Trust Fund and shall remit 50 cents to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission to fund clerk education. An additional filing fee of up to \$18 shall be paid by the party seeking each severance that is granted. The clerk may impose an additional filing fee of up to \$85 for all proceedings of garnishment, attachment, replevin, and distress. Postal charges incurred by the clerk of the circuit court in making service by certified or registered mail on defendants or other parties shall be paid by the party at whose instance service is made. No Additional fees, charges, or costs may not shall be added to the filing fees imposed under this section, except as authorized in this section or by general law.
- (c)1. A party in addition to a party described in subsubparagraph (a)1.a. who files a pleading in an original civil action in circuit court for affirmative relief by cross-claim, counterclaim, counterpetition, or third-party complaint shall pay the clerk of court a fee of \$395. A party in addition to a party described in sub-subparagraph (a)1.b. who files a pleading in an original civil action in circuit court for affirmative relief by cross-claim, counterclaim, counterpetition, or third-party complaint shall pay the clerk of court a fee of \$295. The clerk shall remit the fee to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund.
 - 2. A party in addition to a party described in

Page 6 of 7

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

subparagraph (a) 2. who files a pleading in an original civil action in circuit court for affirmative relief by cross-claim, counterclaim, counterpetition, or third-party complaint shall pay the clerk of court a graduated fee of:

- a. Three hundred and ninety-five dollars in all cases in which the value of the pleading is \$50,000 or less;
- b. Nine hundred dollars in all cases in which the value of the pleading is more than \$50,000 but less than \$250,000; or
- c. One thousand nine hundred dollars in all cases in which the value of the pleading is \$250,000 or more.

The clerk shall remit the fees collected under this subparagraph to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund, except that the clerk shall remit \$100 of the fee collected under sub-subparagraph a., \$605 of the fee collected under sub-subparagraph b., and \$1,605 of the fee collected under sub-subparagraph c. to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund.

Section 2. This act shall take effect June 1, 2012.

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: PCB JUAS 12-03 Clerks of the Court SPONSOR(S): Justice Appropriations Subcommittee

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Orig. Comm.: Justice Appropriations Subcommittee		Toms	Jones Darity Www. H

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The proposed committee bill makes conforming changes to the proposed House of Representatives Fiscal Year 2012-13 General Appropriations Act by making substantial changes to the clerks of court and Clerks of Court Operations Corporation (Corporation) funding and operations. The bill primarily removes the clerks of court and the Corporation from the state budget and while restoring most budgetary functions and procedures in place in the statutes prior to the enactment of chapter 2009-006, Laws of Florida, it expands the role of the Legislative Budget Commission (LBC) in the clerks' budget process.

The net fiscal impact of this bill is estimated to be approximately \$446.7 million in reduced trust fund appropriations as reflected in the proposed House of Representatives FY 2012-13 General Appropriations Act.

There will be a loss to the General Revenue Fund due to the non assessment of the eight percent general revenue charge. This equates to a \$33 million loss according to the January 2012 General Revenue Estimating Conference. However, funds in excess of the amount needed for the clerks operation will be remitted to general revenue as a function of the bill. The net of the two fiscal effects has not yet been determined by the impact conference.

The 2010 Legislature transferred \$18.6 million from the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund to the Clerks of Court Trust Fund to pay a portion of the eight percent general revenue charge for Fiscal year 2009-10. The 2011 Legislature appropriated of \$44.2 million in nonrecurring general revenue in the 2011-12 GAA to cover the Clerks of Court Trust Fund deficit. In current year, the clerks of court are projecting a deficit of \$58.5 million.

Since the inception of the clerks being in the GAA \$62.8 million of state funds have been appropriated to offset the assessment of the GR service charge and the clerk's deficit. The House proposed 2012-13 GAA appropriates \$58.5 million in General Revenue to fund the clerk's current year deficit and GR service charge.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background

Revision 7 to Article V Overview

Article V of the Florida Constitution establishes the judicial branch of state government, including the trial and appellate courts. The constitution also describes the primary participants in the courts system, including judges, state attorneys, public defenders, and the clerks of the court. To that end, "[t]hese elected independent officials interact as part of a complex interdependent system."

In 1998, voters approved an additional revision to Article V, referenced as Revision 7, which allocates more costs to the state.² Subsequent to this revision, Article V, section 14 of the Florida Constitution now specifies the state and county responsibilities for funding the state courts system by providing that the Supreme Court and the District Courts of Appeal are fully funded by the state, and the trial courts, the circuit and county courts, are jointly funded by the state and counties. Article V, section 14(b) provides that:

All funding for the offices of the clerks of the circuit and county courts performing court-related functions, except as otherwise provided . . . shall be provided by adequate and appropriate filing fees for judicial proceedings and service charges and costs for performing court-related functions as required by general law. Selected salaries, costs, and expenses of the state courts system may be funded from appropriate filing fees for judicial proceedings and service charges and costs for performing court-related functions, as provided by general law.

Article V, section 14(c) provides that:

No county or municipality, except as provided in this subsection, shall be required to provide any funding for.....the offices of clerks of the circuit and county courts performing court-related functions. Counties shall be required to fund the cost of communications services, . . . the cost of construction or lease, . . . and security of facilities for the offices of the clerks of the circuit and county courts performing court-related functions.

Clerks' Court-Related Functions

Pursuant to authority granted in Article V, section 14(b) of the Florida Constitution, the list of courtrelated functions clerks may perform is limited to those functions expressly authorized by statute or court rule and must include the following:

- Case maintenance:
- Records management;
- Court preparation and attendance:
- Processing the assignment, reopening, and reassignment of cases;
- Processing of appeals;
- Collection and distribution of fines, fees, service charges, and court costs;
- Processing of bond forfeiture payments;
- Payment of jurors and witnesses;
- Payment of expenses for meals or lodging provided to jurors;
- Data collection and reporting:

STORAGE NAME: pcb03.JUAS.DOCX DATE: 1/18/2012

¹ Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability, *Many Article V Trial Courts Funding Issues Still Need to Be Resolved*, Report No. 01-54, 1 (Nov. 2001).

² *Id*. at 2.

- Processing of jurors;
- Determinations of indigent status; and
- Reasonable administrative support costs to enable the clerk of the court to carry out these court-related functions.3

The list of functions clerks may not fund from state appropriations include:

- Those functions not listed above:
- Functions assigned by administrative orders which are not required for the clerk to perform the functions listed above:
- Enhanced levels of service which are not required for the clerk to perform the functions listed above: and
- Functions identified as local requirements in law or local optional programs.⁴

Post- Article V: 2004-2008

This section describes the law relating to the clerks of court after legislation was passed to implement the changes to Article V and prior to the 2009 legislation.

Budget Procedure for the Court-Related Functions of the Clerk of the Court

On or before August 15 of each fiscal year, each county clerk prepared a proposed budget which was submitted to the Florida Clerks of Court Corporation. The budget provided detailed information on the anticipated revenues and expenditures necessary for the performance of their court-related functions. The proposed budget was to be balanced, with estimated revenues equaling or exceeding anticipated expenditures.⁵ Upon review and certification of the individual clerk of court budgets by the Clerks of Court Operations Corporation, revenues in excess of the amount needed to fund each approved clerk of court budget was to be deposited in the General Revenue Fund.

If a clerk estimated that available funds plus projected revenues were insufficient to meet anticipated expenditures for court-related functions, the clerk was to report a revenue deficit to the Corporation. If the Corporation verified that the proposed budget was limited and a revenue deficit projected, a clerk was to increase all fees, service charges, and any other court-related clerk fees and charges to the maximum amounts specified by law to resolve the deficit. If the clerk raised fees, services charges, and any other court-related clerk fees to the maximum amounts but still had a deficit, the Corporation would notify the Department of Revenue that the clerk was authorized to retain revenues in an amount necessary to fully fund the projected deficit. If a deficit still existed after retaining all of the projected collections from court-related fines, fees, service charges, and costs, the Department of Revenue would certify the amount of the deficit to the Executive Office of the Governor and request the release of funds from the Department of Revenue Clerks of the Court Trust Fund.⁶

Prior to the passage of SB 2108 in the 2009 Legislative Session, the clerks of court were allowed to retain portions of the moneys collected from filing fees, service charges, court costs, and fines, while other portions were distributed to the General Revenue Fund or other trust funds. The clerks were required to remit one-third of all fines, fees, service charges, and costs collected for court-related functions to the Department of Revenue for deposit in the Department of Revenue Clerks of the Court Trust Fund. The Department of Revenue would then transfer those funds in the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund not needed to resolve clerk deficits to the General Revenue Fund.

Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation

³ Section 28.35(3)(a), F.S.

⁴ Section 28.35(3)(b), F.S.

⁵ Section 28.36(3), F.S. (2008).

⁶ Section 28.36(4), F.S. (2008).

⁷ Section 28.37(2), F.S. (2008).

The Corporation had responsibility for the certification of the clerks' budget. Specific tasks included:

- Calculating the maximum authorized annual budget;
- Identifying those proposed budget exceeding the maximum annual budget for the standard list of court-related functions;
- Identifying those proposed budgets containing funding for items not included on the standard list of court-related functions; and
- Identifying those clerks projected to have court-related revenue insufficient to fund their anticipated court-related expenditures.⁸

The Corporation, by October of each year, certified to the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the Chief Financial Officer, and the Department of Revenue, the amount of proposed budget for each clerk; the revenue projection supporting each clerk's budget; each clerk's eligibility to retain some or all of the state's share of fines, fees, service charges, and costs; the amount to be paid to each clerk from the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Department of Revenue; the performance measures and standards approved by the Corporation for each clerk; and the results of each clerk meeting performance standards.

Legislative Budget Commission

The Legislative Budget Commission (LBC)⁹ had authority to approve increases to the maximum annual budgets approved for individual clerks if:

- The additional funding was necessary to pay the cost of performing new or additional functions required by changes in law or court rule.
- The additional funding was necessary to pay the cost of supporting increases in the number of judges or magistrates authorized by the Legislature.¹⁰

Chapter 2009-204, Laws of Florida

In an effort to gain greater oversight and accountability for the operations and funding of the clerks of court and the Corporation, the Legislature passed SB 2108 during the 2009 legislative session. This bill substantially amended the statutory budget process and procedures for these entities, most noticeably by bringing the clerks and the Corporation into the state budget and appropriating their funds in the annual General Appropriations Act. While employees of the individual clerk offices remained local government employees, staff with the Corporation became state full-time equivalents.

Chapter 2009-204, Laws of Florida, provides that all revenues received by the clerk in the fine and forfeiture fund from court-related fees, fines, costs and service charges are considered state funds and are remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit in to the Clerks of Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission (JAC). The clerk is, however, allowed to deposit 10 percent of all court-related fines in his or her Public Records Modernization Trust Fund to be used in addition to state appropriations for operational needs. 12

Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation

STORAGE NAME: pcb03.JUAS.DOCX

⁸ See s. 28.35(1)(f). F.S. (2008).

⁹ The Legislative Budget Commission is comprised of seven members appointed by the Senate President, and seven members appointed by the Speaker of the House. The Commission, among other things, approves budget amendments during the interim between sessions. See generally Section 11.90, F.S.

¹⁰ See s. 28.36(6), F.S. (2008).

¹¹ Section 28.37(2), F.S.

¹² Id

The Corporation is now considered a political subdivision of the state and is exempt from corporate income tax. ¹³ The Corporation is administratively housed within the Justice Administrative Commission and its employees are considered state employees. The Corporation is not subject to control, supervision, or direction by the JAC in the performance of its duties, but the employees of the Corporation are governed by the classification plan and salary and benefits plan of the JAC. All clerks of the circuit court are members of the Corporation and hold their position and authority in an ex officio capacity. ¹⁴ The Corporation's functions include:

- Developing and certifying a uniform system of performance measures and applicable performance standards and the service unit cost;
- Identifying deficiencies and corrective action plans when clerks fail to meet performance standards;
- Notify the Legislature and the Supreme Court of any clerk not meeting performance standards and provide a copy of any correction action plan;
- Recommending to the Legislature changes in the various court-related fines, fees, service charges, and court costs established by law to ensure reasonable and adequate funding of the clerks of court in the performance of their court-related functions;
- Develop the performance measures and performance standards in consultation with Legislature and the Supreme Court; and
- Review proposed budgets submitted by the clerks of the court.¹⁵

The Corporation prepares a legislative budget request for the resources necessary to perform its duties and submits the request pursuant to chapter 216, funded as a budget entity in the General Appropriations Act. ¹⁶

Budget procedure

Subsequent to the statutory changes made in 2009, by October 1 of each fiscal year, each county clerk prepares a budget request for the last quarter of the county fiscal year (July 1 – September 30) and the first three quarters of the next county fiscal year (October 1 – June 30) and submits it to the Corporation and provides a copy of the budget request to the Supreme Court.¹⁷

Each clerk is required to submit in his or her budget request the number of personnel and the proposed budget for each of the following core services:¹⁸

- 1. Circuit criminal;
- 2. County criminal:
- 3. Juvenile delinquency;
- Criminal traffic;
- 5. Circuit civil;
- 6. County civil;
- 7. Civil traffic;
- 8. Probate;
- 9. Family; and
- 10. Juvenile dependency.

The budget request must identify the service units to be provided within each core service and must propose a unit cost for each service unit.¹⁹

¹⁹ Section 28.36(4) and (5), F.S.

STORAGE NAME: pcb03.JUAS.DOCX

¹³ Section 28.35(1)(c), F.S.

¹⁴ Section 28.35(1)(a), F.S.

¹⁵ Section 28.35(2), F.S.

¹⁶ Section 28.35(4), F.S.

¹⁷ Section 28.36(1), F.S.

¹⁸ The core services listed in statute were changed during the 2010 session. (ch. 2010-162, s. 14) Prior to this change, the following core services were listed: case processing; financial processing; jury management; information and reporting.

The Corporation is required to compare the proposed unit costs for a given clerk to that of a peer group based on counties with similar sized population and case filings. If the proposed unit costs are higher than a clerk's peers, the clerk must justify the increased costs. Justification may include collective bargaining agreements, county civil service agreements, and the number and distribution of court houses. If the Corporation finds that the increased costs are not justified, the Corporation reduces the unit cost to the average of its peers. The Corporation recommends to the Legislature the unit costs for each clerk and a statewide budget amount for the clerks by December 1. The Chief Financial Officer (CFO) is required to review unit costs proposed by the Corporation and makes recommendations to the Legislature and if necessary, may conduct an audit of a clerk or the Corporation. The Legislature may reject or modify the proposed unit costs, and appropriates the total amount of the clerk budgets in the General Appropriations Act. 22

In the 2010 session, legislation was passed which adjusted the way that the clerk's budget was released. Beginning in the 2010-2011 fiscal year, the corporation was required to release appropriations to each clerk quarterly. If funds in the Clerks of Court Trust Fund are insufficient to provide a release in a quarter in a single release, the corporation may release partial amounts for that quarter so long as the total of those partial amounts does not exceed that quarter's release. If funds in the Clerks of Court Trust Fund are insufficient for the first quarter release, the corporation may make a request to the Governor for a trust fund loan pursuant to chapter 215. The amount of the first three releases shall be based on one quarter of the estimated budget for each clerk as identified in the General Appropriations Act²³.

The corporation is required to estimate the fourth quarter's number of units to be performed by each clerk. The amount of the fourth-quarter release is to be adjusted downward if the clerk has performed fewer service units in the first three quarters of the year compared to three quarters of the estimated number of service units in the General Appropriations Act.

Effect of proposed changes

Generally, this bill reverses many of the changes made in SB 2108 during the 2009 legislative session relating to the budgets of the clerks of court, but expands the role of the LBC in the clerks' budgeting process. Specifically, the bill:

- Removes the funding for the clerks of court and the Corporation from the General Appropriations Act.
- Removes the provisions describing the Corporation as a "state agency" or "agency".
- Removes the provisions that administratively housed the Corporation within the Justice Administrative Commission and their staff as state employees.
- Provides that all filing fees should be retained as fee income for the clerks.
- Provides that one-third of filing fees collected each month be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund, unless the remaining two-thirds are less than one-twelfth of the clerk's total budget.
- Transfers the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund to the Department of Revenue, and transfers fund balances.
- Deletes references for deposits from the Justice Administrative Commission to the Department of Revenue.
- Requires the clerks of court to remit funds in excess of approved budget to the Department of Revenue for deposit in the Department of Revenue Clerks of Court Trust Fund.
- Provides for the deposit of excess revenue over the amount needed to meet the approved budget amounts to be transferred from the Department of Revenue to the General Revenue Fund.
- o Requires the clerks of court and the Corporation to submit annual budget requests to the LBC.

²⁰ Section 28.36(6), F.S.

²¹ Section 28.36(8), F.S.

²² Section 28.36(9), F.S.

²³ Section 28.36(10), F.S.

- Authorizes the Legislative Budget Commission to review, approve, disapprove or amend both the Corporations' and the clerks of court budgets.
- o Provides an approved budget amount for the clerks to operate during the transition period (the last quarter of the county fiscal year) until the LBC meets in September.
- Provides that the clerks' budget not exceed 1 percent of the prior year's budget and provides for exceptions.
- Defines workload measures and workload performance standards.
- o Removes language declaring revenues received by the clerk in the fine and forfeiture fund from court-related fees, fines, costs, and service charges as state funds.
- Redirects/restores the current \$5.00 split of the filing fee collected for trial and appellate proceedings (\$1.50 to the Department of Financial Services for performing clerk audits and the \$3.50 to the Corporation for operations funding) to the Department of Financial Services' Administrative Trust Fund to fund the contract with the Corporation.
- Outlines that the contract with the Chief Financial Officer will be used in determining compliance by the Corporation.
- Removes the provisions requiring the Chief Financial Officer to review and conduct audits of the clerks and the Corporation unit cost reporting.
- Outlines the budget procedures for calculating the clerks' budget, and the mechanism for counties with projected revenue deficits to request for additional funds from a surplus clerk.
- o Removes the provisions requiring the Corporation to submit a legislative budget request pursuant to chapter 216, F.S.
- Removes the provisions requiring the clerks to submit a budget based on core services and unit costs.
- Removes the provisions requiring the Corporation to develop performance measures and standards in consultation with the Supreme Court.
- Requires the clerks of court and the Corporation to adhere to the procurement provisions of chapter 287.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

- **Section 1.** Transfers balances from the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund in the Justice Administrative Commission to the Department of Revenue.
- Section 2. Amends s. 11.90, F.S., relating to the Legislative Budget Commission.
- Section 3. Amends s.28.241, F.S., relating to filing fees for trial and appellate proceedings.
- **Section 4**. Amends 28.2455, F.S, providing technical revisions.
- **Section 5.** Amends s. 28.246, F.S., relating to payment of court-related fees, charges, and costs; partial payments; distribution of funds to reflect deposits in the Department of Revenue Clerks of the Court Trust Fund.
- Section 6. Amends s. 28.35, F.S., relating to the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation.
- Section 7. Amends s. 28.36, F.S., relating budget procedures for the court-related functions.
- **Section 8.** Creates s. 28.365, F.S., relating to the procurement requirements for the clerks of court.
- **Section 9**. Amends s. 28.37, F.S., relating to fines, fees, services charges, and cost remitted to the state.
- Section 10. Amends s.28.43, F.S., authorizing the Department of Revenue to adopt rules.
- Section 11. Amends s. 34.041, F.S., relating to filing fees collected by the clerks.

STORAGE NAME: pcb03.JUAS.DOCX DATE: 1/18/2012

Section 12. Amends s. 43.16, F.S., relating to the membership, powers and duties of the Justice Administrative Commission.

Section 13. Amends s. 110.205, F.S., relating to exemptions for career service positions.

Section 14. Amends s. 142.01, F.S., relating to the fine and forfeiture funds and disposition of revenue for the clerk of the circuit court.

Section 15. Amends s. 213.131, F.S., relating to the creation of the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund in the Department of Revenue.

Section 16. Amends s. 216.011, F.S., relating to definitions for the purpose defining a "state agency" or "agency".

Section 17. Provides for an approved budget for the clerks of court.

Section 18. Provides an effective date of July 1, 2012.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

See "fiscal comments" section.

2. Expenditures:

See "fiscal comments" section.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

See "fiscal comments" section.

2. Expenditures:

See "fiscal comments" section.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The 2010 Legislature transferred \$18.6 million from the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund to the Clerks of Court Trust Fund to pay a portion of the eight percent general revenue charge for Fiscal year 2009-10. The 2011 Legislature appropriated of \$44.2 million in nonrecurring general revenue in the 2011-12 GAA to cover the Clerks of Court Trust Fund deficit. In current year, the clerks of court are projecting a deficit of \$58.5 million.

The bill removes the funding for the clerks of court and Clerks of Court Operations Corporation from the General Appropriations Act and redirects all revenue from the Clerks of Court Trust Fund in the Justice Administrative Commission to the Department of Revenue. Removing these entities from the budget reduces state trust fund expenditures by \$446.7 million. As a state trust fund, it is assessed an 8% service charge payable to the General Revenue Fund based on the revenue collected in the trust fund. The December 2011 Article V Revenue Estimating Conference projected the trust fund will generate

STORAGE NAME: pcb03, JUAS. DOCX DATE: 1/18/2012

approximately \$422 million in revenue for Fiscal Year 2011-2012 and \$423.6 for Fiscal Year 2012-13. The loss of the general revenue service charge is estimated to be \$33 million.

By reverting to the former budget processes, the bill outlines the process for calculating the clerks' budget, collecting revenue and submitting these funds to the Department of Revenue after expenditures have been satisfied. The remaining funds are then transferred from the Department of Revenue Clerks of the Court Trust Fund to the General Revenue Fund. While the excess revenue to be transferred to the General Revenue Fund cannot be determined at this time (the Corporation would have to certify budgets), based on the last four years when these policies were in practice, an average of \$62 million was transferred. However, it should be noted the clerks are expecting a decline in collections.

Fiscal Year	GR service charge assessed	cha b	R service rged paid r clerks	Clerks Operating Deficit		Amount of deficit paid with state funds and funding source		
2009-10	\$ 26.80	\$	8.20	\$		\$	18.60	State Courts Revenue TF
2010-11	\$ 35.90	\$	-	\$	8.30	\$	44.20	General Revenue
2011-12	\$ 32.90	\$		\$	25.60	\$	58.50	Proposed House GAA 2012- 2013; Funds with General Revenue

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: pcb03.JUAS.DOCX

1|

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to clerks of the court; transferring the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission together with all balances in the fund to the Department of Revenue; amending s. 11.90, F.S.; providing additional powers and duties for the Legislative Budget Commission; amending s. 28.241, F.S.; revising the distribution of filing fees; amending ss. 28.2455 and 28.246, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 28.35, F.S.; revising provisions relating to the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation; deleting provisions relating to administrative housing and budgeting of the corporation under the Justice Administrative Commission; deleting provisions relating to the corporation's employees as state employees; deleting provisions relating to ex officio members of the executive council; deleting an exemption from the Administrative Procedures Act; specifying that the corporation is subject to specified procurement provisions; revising duties of the corporation; requiring establishment of a process for the review of proposed court-related budgets submitted by clerks of the court for completeness and compliance with specified provisions; providing for review and certification of proposed budgets submitted by clerks of the court; requiring annual submission of its proposed budget and specified information to the

Page 1 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

Legislative Budget Commission; specifying functions that clerks may and may not fund from filing fees, service charges, court costs, and fines; deleting provisions relating to preparation of a legislative budget request; providing for funding pursuant to a contract with the Chief Financial Officer; revising provisions relating to audits; amending s. 28.36, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; providing for proposed budgets from clerks; requiring reporting of anticipated deficits; requiring increasing all fees and service charges and any other court-related clerk fees and charges in certain circumstances; authorizing the retention of the additional revenues from such increases in certain circumstances; providing for corrective measures if the Chief Financial Officer finds the court-related budget proposed by a clerk includes functions not included in the standard list of court-related functions; authorizing the Legislative Budget Commission to approve increases to the maximum annual budgets approved for individual clerks of the court for court-related functions in certain circumstances; providing a limit on the total amount of such increases for each county fiscal year; authorizing the corporation to submit proposed legislation for approval of clerk budget request amounts exceeding specified restrictions; deleting provisions relating to legislative budget requests and release of funds;

Page 2 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

creating s. 28.365, F.S.; providing that clerks of the court are subject to specified procurement requirements and limitations; amending s. 28.37, F.S.; providing, beginning July 1, 2012, for periodic remission of a specified amount of all fines, fees, service charges, and court costs collected by the clerks of the court to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund; providing an exception; providing, beginning January 1, 2013, for remission of all fines, fees, service charges, and court costs and certain other funds collected by the clerks of the court to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund in excess of the amount needed to meet the approved budget amounts; requiring the Department of Revenue to collect any funds that the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation determines upon investigation were due but not remitted; amending ss. 28.43, 34.041, 43.16, 110.205, and 142.01, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 213.131, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; providing that funds received by the Department of Revenue from the clerks of the court shall be credited to the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund as provided in a specified act; amending s. 216.011, F.S.; providing that the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation is not included in the definition of the term "state agency" for specified

Page 3 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

purposes; specifying the approved budget for the clerks of the circuit court for a specified period; providing for determination of budget amounts for individual clerks; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

- Section 1. The Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission, FLAIR number 21-2-588, is transferred together with all balances in the fund to the Department of Revenue.
- Section 2. Subsection (6) of section 11.90, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 11.90 Legislative Budget Commission.—
 - (6) The commission shall have the power and duty to:
- (a) Review and approve or disapprove budget amendments recommended by the Governor or the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court as provided in chapter 216.
- (b) Develop the long-range financial outlook described in s. 19, Art. III of the State Constitution.
- (c) Review and approve, disapprove, or amend the budget of the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation.
- (d) Review and approve, disapprove, or amend the total combined budgets of the clerks of the court or the budget of any individual clerk of the court.
- (e) In addition to the powers and duties specified in this subsection, the commission shall Exercise all other powers and perform any other duties prescribed by the Legislature.

Page 4 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

Section 3. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section 28.241, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

28.241 Filing fees for trial and appellate proceedings.-

(1)(a)1.a. Except as provided in sub-subparagraph b. and subparagraph 2., the party instituting any civil action, suit, or proceeding in the circuit court shall pay to the clerk of that court a filing fee of up to \$395 in all cases in which there are not more than five defendants and an additional filing fee of up to \$2.50 for each defendant in excess of five. Of the first \$280 in filing fees, \$80 must be remitted by the clerk to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund, \$195 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund, and \$5 \\$3.50 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services Justice Administrative Commission and used to fund the contract with the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation created in s. 28.35. One-third of the filing fees collected each month, unless the remaining two-thirds of the filing fees collected is less than one-twelfth of the clerk's total budget, shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the department's Clerks of the Court Trust Fund. If the filing fees collected are insufficient to remit one-third to the department, the clerk shall submit that portion of one-third of the filing fees collected that is in excess of one-twelfth of the clerk's total budget to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the department's Clerks of the Court Trust Fund, and \$1.50 shall be remitted to the

Page 5 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund clerk budget reviews conducted by the Department of Financial Services. One third of any filing fees collected by the clerk of the circuit court in excess of \$100 shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission.

- The party instituting any civil action, suit, or proceeding in the circuit court under chapter 39, chapter 61, chapter 741, chapter 742, chapter 747, chapter 752, or chapter 753 shall pay to the clerk of that court a filing fee of up to \$295 in all cases in which there are not more than five defendants and an additional filing fee of up to \$2.50 for each defendant in excess of five. Of the first \$180 in filing fees, \$80 must be remitted by the clerk to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund, \$95 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund, and \$5 \$3.50 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services Justice Administrative Commission and used to fund the contract with the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation created in s. 28.35, and \$1.50 shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund clerk budget reviews conducted by the Department of Financial Services.
 - c. An additional filing fee of \$4 shall be paid to the

Page 6 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

clerk. The clerk shall remit \$3.50 to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Court Education Trust Fund and shall remit 50 cents to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the department's Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission to fund clerk education. An additional filing fee of up to \$18 shall be paid by the party seeking each severance that is granted. The clerk may impose an additional filing fee of up to \$85 for all proceedings of garnishment, attachment, replevin, and distress. Postal charges incurred by the clerk of the circuit court in making service by certified or registered mail on defendants or other parties shall be paid by the party at whose instance service is made. No additional fees, charges, or costs shall be added to the filing fees imposed under this section, except as authorized in this section or by general law.

- 2.a. Notwithstanding the fees prescribed in subparagraph
 1., a party instituting a civil action in circuit court relating
 to real property or mortgage foreclosure shall pay a graduated
 filing fee based on the value of the claim.
- b. A party shall estimate in writing the amount in controversy of the claim upon filing the action. For purposes of this subparagraph, the value of a mortgage foreclosure action is based upon the principal due on the note secured by the mortgage, plus interest owed on the note and any moneys advanced by the lender for property taxes, insurance, and other advances secured by the mortgage, at the time of filing the foreclosure. The value shall also include the value of any tax certificates related to the property. In stating the value of a mortgage

foreclosure claim, a party shall declare in writing the total value of the claim, as well as the individual elements of the value as prescribed in this sub-subparagraph.

- c. In its order providing for the final disposition of the matter, the court shall identify the actual value of the claim. The clerk shall adjust the filing fee if there is a difference between the estimated amount in controversy and the actual value of the claim and collect any additional filing fee owed or provide a refund of excess filing fee paid.
 - d. The party shall pay a filing fee of:
- Three hundred and ninety-five dollars in all cases in which the value of the claim is \$50,000 or less and in which there are not more than five defendants. The party shall pay an additional filing fee of up to \$2.50 for each defendant in excess of five. Of the first \$280 in filing fees, \$80 must be remitted by the clerk to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund, \$195 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund, and $$5 \frac{$3.50}{}$ must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services Justice Administrative Commission and used to fund the contract with the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation created in s. 28.35, and \$1.50 shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund clerk budget reviews conducted by the Department of Financial Services;
 - (II) Nine hundred dollars in all cases in which the value

Page 8 of 36

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

of the claim is more than \$50,000 but less than \$250,000 and in which there are not more than five defendants. The party shall pay an additional filing fee of up to \$2.50 for each defendant in excess of five. Of the first \$785 in filing fees, \$80 must be remitted by the clerk to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund, \$700 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund, and $$5 \frac{$3.50}{}$ must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services Justice Administrative Commission and used to fund the contract with the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation created described in s. 28.35, and \$1.50 shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund clerk budget reviews conducted by the Department of Financial Services; or

which the value of the claim is \$250,000 or more and in which there are not more than five defendants. The party shall pay an additional filing fee of up to \$2.50 for each defendant in excess of five. Of the first \$1,785 in filing fees, \$80 must be remitted by the clerk to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund, \$1,700 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue Trust Fund, and \$5 \frac{\$3.50}{33.50} must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services Justice Administrative Commission to fund the contract with the Florida

Page 9 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

Clerks of Court Operations Corporation created in s. 28.35, and \$1.50 shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund clerk budget reviews conducted by the Department of Financial Services.

An additional filing fee of \$4 shall be paid to the clerk. The clerk shall remit \$3.50 to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Court Education Trust Fund and shall remit 50 cents to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the department's Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission to fund clerk education. An additional filing fee of up to \$18 shall be paid by the party seeking each severance that is granted. The clerk may impose an additional filing fee of up to \$85 for all proceedings of garnishment, attachment, replevin, and distress. Postal charges incurred by the clerk of the circuit court in making service by certified or registered mail on defendants or other parties shall be paid by the party at whose instance service is made. No additional fees, charges, or costs shall be added to the filing fees imposed under this section, except as authorized in this section or by general law.

Section 4. Section 28.2455, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

28.2455 Transfer of trust funds in excess of amount needed for clerk budgets.—By June 20th of each year, the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation shall identify the amount of funds in the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund in excess of the amount needed to fund the approved clerks clerk of the court

Page 10 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

budgets for the current state fiscal year. The <u>Department of Revenue Justice Administrative Commission</u> shall transfer the amount identified by the corporation from the Clerks of <u>the Court Trust Fund</u> to the General Revenue Fund by June 25th of each year.

- Section 5. Paragraph (b) of subsection (5) of section 28.246, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 28.246 Payment of court-related fees, charges, and costs; partial payments; distribution of funds.—
- (5) When receiving partial payment of fees, service charges, court costs, and fines, clerks shall distribute funds according to the following order of priority:
- (b) That portion of fees, service charges, court costs, and fines which are required to be retained by the clerk of the court or deposited into the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the <u>Department of Revenue</u> Justice Administrative Commission.

To offset processing costs, clerks may impose either a per-month service charge pursuant to s. 28.24(26)(b) or a one-time administrative processing service charge at the inception of the payment plan pursuant to s. 28.24(26)(c).

- Section 6. Section 28.35, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 28.35 Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation.-
- (1)(a) The Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation is created as a public corporation organized to perform the functions specified in this section and s. 28.36 and shall be

Page 11 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

281 l

administratively housed within the Justice Administrative
Commission. The corporation shall be a budget entity within the
Justice Administrative Commission, and its employees shall be
considered state employees. The corporation is not subject to
control, supervision, or direction by the Justice Administrative
Commission in the performance of its duties, but the employees
of the corporation shall be governed by the classification plan
and salary and benefits plan of the Justice Administrative
Commission. The classification plan must have a separate chapter
for the corporation. All clerks of the circuit court shall be
members of the corporation and hold their position and authority
in an ex officio capacity. The functions assigned to the
corporation shall be performed by an executive council pursuant
to the plan of operation approved by the members.

- (b) The executive council shall be composed of eight clerks of the court elected by the clerks of the courts for a term of 2 years, with two clerks from counties with a population of fewer than 100,000, two clerks from counties with a population of at least 100,000 but fewer than 500,000, two clerks from counties with a population of at least 500,000 but fewer than 1 million, and two clerks from counties with a population of more than 1 million. The executive council shall also include, as ex officio members, a designee of the President of the Senate and a designee of the Speaker of the House of Representatives. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court shall designate one additional member to represent the state courts system.
 - (c) The corporation shall be considered a political

Page 12 of 36

subdivision of the state and shall be exempt from the corporate income tax. The corporation is $\frac{1}{100}$ subject to the $\frac{1}{100}$ provisions of chapter $\frac{1}{100}$.

- (d) The functions assigned to the corporation under this section and ss. 28.36 and 28.37 are considered to be for a valid public purpose.
- (2) The duties of the corporation shall include the following:
 - (a) Adopting a plan of operation.
- (b) Conducting the election of <u>an executive council</u> directors as required in paragraph (1)(b) $\frac{(1)(a)}{(a)}$.
- (c) Recommending to the Legislature changes in the various court-related fines, fees, service charges, and court costs established by law to ensure reasonable and adequate funding of the clerks of the court in the performance of their court-related functions.
- (d) Developing and certifying a uniform system of workload performance measures and applicable workload performance standards for the functions specified in paragraph (3)(a) and the service unit costs required in s. 28.36 and measures for clerk workload performance in meeting the workload performance standards. These workload measures and workload performance standards shall be designed to facilitate an objective determination of the performance of each clerk in accordance with minimum standards for fiscal management, operational efficiency, and effective collection of fines, fees, service charges, and court costs. The corporation shall develop the workload performance measures and workload performance standards

Page 13 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

in consultation with the Legislature and the Supreme Court. The Legislature may modify the clerk performance measures and performance standards in legislation implementing the General Appropriations Act or other law. When the corporation finds a clerk has not met the workload performance standards, the corporation shall identify the nature of each deficiency and any corrective action recommended and taken by the affected clerk of the court. The corporation shall notify the Legislature and the Supreme Court of any clerk not meeting workload performance standards and provide a copy of any corrective action plans. For the purposes of this section, the term:

- 1. "Workload measures" means the measurement of the activities and frequency of the work required for the clerk to adequately perform the court-related duties of the office.
- 2. "Workload performance standards" means the standards developed to measure the timeliness and effectiveness of the activities that are accomplished by the clerk in the performance of the court-related duties of the office.
- (e) Pursuant to contract with the Chief Financial Officer, establishing a process for the review of proposed court-related budgets submitted by clerks of the court for completeness and compliance with this section and ss. 28.36 and 28.37. Such process shall be designed and be sufficiently detailed to permit independent verification and validation of the budget certification. The contract shall specify the process to be used in determining compliance by the corporation with this section and ss. 28.36 and 28.37 and shall require the corporation to determine the minimum amount of revenue necessary for each clerk

of the court to efficiently perform the list of court-related functions specified in paragraph (3)(a) in its budget review and approval process.

- (f) (e) Reviewing and certifying proposed budgets submitted by clerks of the court using the process approved by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to paragraph (e) for the purpose of making the certification in paragraph (e). As part of this process, the corporation shall:
- 1. Calculate the minimum amount of revenue necessary for each clerk of the court to efficiently perform the list of court-related functions specified in paragraph (3)(a). The Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation shall apply the workload measures appropriate for determining the individual level of review required to fund the clerk's budget.
- 2. Prepare a cost comparison of similarly situated clerks of the court, based on county population and numbers of filings, using the standard list of court-related functions specified in paragraph (3)(a).
- 3. Conduct an annual base budget review and an annual budget exercise examining the total budget of each clerk of the court. The review shall examine revenues from all sources, expenses of court-related functions, and expenses of non-court-related functions as necessary to determine that court-related revenues are not being used for non-court-related purposes.

 Funds paid by a clerk to join or be a member of any group or organization shall be separately listed and the benefits received from any such group or organization described in detail. The review and exercise shall identify potential

Page 15 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

targeted budget reductions in the percentage amount provided in Schedule VIII-B of the state's previous year's legislative budget instructions, as referenced in s. 216.023(3), or an equivalent schedule or instruction as may be adopted by the Legislature.

- 4. Identify those proposed budgets containing funding for items not included on the standard list of court-related functions specified in paragraph (3)(a).
- 5. Identify those clerks projected to have court-related revenues insufficient to fund their anticipated court-related expenditures pursuant to s. 28.36.
 - (g) (f) Developing and conducting clerk education programs.
- (h)(g) Publishing a uniform schedule of actual fees, service charges, and costs charged by a clerk of the court pursuant to general law.
- Legislative Budget Commission, as provided in s. 11.90, its proposed budget and the information described in paragraph (f), as well as the approved budgets for each clerk of the court and the corporation. By October 1 of each year, the Legislative Budget Commission shall consider the submitted budgets and shall approve, disapprove, or amend the corporation's budget and shall approve, disapprove, or amend and approve the total of the clerks' combined budgets or any individual clerk's budget. If the Legislative Budget Commission fails to approve or amend the corporation's budget or the clerks' combined budgets by October 1, the clerk shall continue to perform the court-related functions based upon the clerk's approved budget for the

Page 16 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

449 previous county fiscal year.

- (3) (a) The <u>list of</u> court-related functions that clerks may fund from filing fees, service charges, court costs, and fines is perform are limited to those functions expressly authorized by law or court rule. Those functions include the following: case maintenance; records management; court preparation and attendance; processing the assignment, reopening, and reassignment of cases; processing of appeals; collection and distribution of fines, fees, service charges, and court costs; processing of bond forfeiture payments; payment of jurors and witnesses; payment of expenses for meals or lodging provided to jurors; data collection and reporting; processing of jurors; determinations of indigent status; and reasonable administrative support costs to enable the clerk of the court to carry out these court-related functions.
- (b) The <u>list of</u> functions that clerks may not fund from <u>filing fees</u>, <u>service charges</u>, <u>court costs</u>, <u>and fines includes</u> <u>state appropriations include</u>:
 - 1. Those functions not specified within paragraph (a).
- 2. Functions assigned by administrative orders which are not required for the clerk to perform the functions in paragraph (a).
- 3. Enhanced levels of service which are not required for the clerk to perform the functions in paragraph (a).
- 4. Functions identified as local requirements in law or local optional programs.
- (4) The corporation shall prepare a legislative budget request for the resources necessary to perform its duties,

Page 17 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

submit the request pursuant to chapter 216, and be funded pursuant to a contract with the Chief Financial Officer. Funds shall be provided to the Chief Financial Officer for such purpose as appropriated by general law. Such funds shall be available to the corporation for the performance of the duties and responsibilities as set forth in this section as a budget entity in the General Appropriations Act. The corporation may hire staff and pay other expenses from such funds state appropriations as necessary to perform the official duties and responsibilities of the corporation as described in this section by law.

- (5) Certified public accountants conducting audits of counties pursuant to s. 218.39 shall report, as part of the audit, whether or not the clerks of the courts have complied with the requirements of this section and s. 28.36. In addition, each clerk of court shall forward a copy of the portion of the financial audit relating to the court-related duties of the clerk of court to the Supreme Court. The Auditor General shall develop a compliance supplement for the audit of compliance with the budgets and applicable workload performance standards certified by the corporation.
- Section 7. Section 28.36, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 28.36 Budget procedure.—There is established a budget procedure for preparing budget requests for funding for the court-related functions of the clerks of the court.
- (1) Only those functions on the standard list developed pursuant to s. 28.35(3)(a) may be funded from fees, service

Page 18 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

charges, court costs, and fines retained by the clerks of the court. For the county fiscal year beginning October 1, 2012, and for each county fiscal year thereafter, each clerk of the court shall prepare a budget request for court-related expenditures that the last quarter of the county fiscal year and the first three quarters of the next county fiscal year. The proposed budget shall be prepared, summarized, and submitted by the clerk in each county to the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation in the manner and form prescribed by the corporation to meet the requirements of law. Each clerk shall forward a copy of his or her budget request to the Supreme Court. The budget requests must be provided to the corporation by June October 1 of the each year before the year of the budget.

(2) Each clerk shall include in his or her budget request a projection of the amount of court-related fees, service charges, and any other court-related clerk fees which will be collected during the proposed budget period. If the corporation determines that the proposed budget is limited to the standard list of court-related functions in s. 28.35(3)(a) and the projected court-related revenues are less than the proposed budget, the clerk shall increase all fees, service charges, and any other court-related clerk fees and charges to the maximum amounts specified by law or the amount necessary to resolve the deficit, whichever is less.

(2)(3) Each proposed budget shall further conform to the following requirements clerk shall include in his or her budget request the number of personnel and the proposed budget for each of the following core services:

Page 19 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

505l

- (a) On or before June 1 of each fiscal year, the proposed budget shall be prepared, summarized, and submitted by the clerk in each county to the corporation in the manner and form prescribed by the corporation. The proposed budget must provide detailed information on the anticipated revenues available and expenditures necessary for the performance of the standard list of court-related functions of the clerk's office developed pursuant to s. 28.35(3)(a) for the county fiscal year beginning the next October 1.
- (b) The proposed budget must be balanced, such that the total of the estimated revenues available must equal or exceed the total of the anticipated expenditures. Such revenues include cash balances brought forward from the previous fiscal period; revenue projected to be received from fees, services charges, court costs, and fines for court-related functions during the fiscal period covered by the budget; and supplemental revenue that may be requested pursuant to subsection (4). The anticipated expenditures must be itemized as required by the corporation, pursuant to contract with the Chief Financial Officer.
- (c) The proposed budget may include a contingency reserve not to exceed 10 percent of the total budget, provided that, in the aggregate, the proposed budget does not exceed the limits prescribed in paragraph (4)(b).
 - (a) Circuit criminal.
 - (b) County criminal.
 - (c) Juvenile delinquency.
 - (d) Criminal traffic.

Page 20 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

(e) Circuit civil.

(f) County civil.

(q) Civil traffic.

(h) Probate.

565 (i) Family.

(j) Juvenile dependency.

Central administrative costs shall be allocated among the coreservices categories.

(3) (4) If a clerk of the court estimates that available funds plus projected revenues from fines, fees, service charges, and costs for court-related services are insufficient to meet the anticipated expenditures for the standard list of court-related functions in s. 28.35(3)(a) performed by his or her office, the clerk must report the revenue deficit to the corporation in the manner and form prescribed by the corporation pursuant to contract with the Chief Financial Officer. The corporation shall verify that the proposed budget is limited to the standard list of court-related functions in s. 28.35(3)(a).

(a) If the corporation verifies that the proposed budget is limited to the standard list of court-related functions in s. 28.35(3)(a) and a revenue deficit is projected, a clerk seeking to retain revenues pursuant to this subsection shall increase all fees and service charges and any other court-related clerk fees and charges to the maximum amounts specified by law or the amount necessary to resolve the deficit, whichever is less. If, after increasing fees, service charges, and other court-related clerk fees and charges to the maximum amounts specified by law,

Page 21 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

a revenue deficit is still projected, the corporation shall, pursuant to the terms of the contract with the Chief Financial Officer, certify a revenue deficit and notify the Department of Revenue that the clerk is authorized to retain revenues, in an amount necessary to fully fund the projected revenue deficit, which he or she would otherwise be required to remit to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the department's Clerks of the Court Trust Fund pursuant to s. 28.37. If a revenue deficit is projected for that clerk after retaining all of the projected collections from the court-related fines, fees, service charges, and costs, the Department of Revenue shall certify the amount of the revenue deficit to the Executive Office of the Governor and request release authority for funds appropriated for this purpose from the department's Clerks of the Court Trust Fund. Notwithstanding the provisions of s. 216.192 relating to the release of funds, the Executive Office of the Governor may approve the release of funds appropriated to resolve projected revenue deficits in accordance with the notice, review, and objection procedures set forth in s. 216.177 and shall provide notice to the Chief Financial Officer. The Department of Revenue shall request monthly distributions from the Chief Financial Officer in equal amounts to each clerk certified to have a revenue deficit, in accordance with the releases approved by the Governor.

(b) If the Chief Financial Officer finds the court-related budget proposed by a clerk includes functions not included in the standard list of court-related functions in s. 28.35(3)(a), the Chief Financial Officer shall notify the clerk of the amount

Page 22 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

of the proposed budget not eligible to be funded from fines, fees, service charges, and costs for court-related functions and shall identify appropriate corrective measures to ensure budget integrity. The clerk shall immediately discontinue all ineligible expenditures of court-related funds for non-court-related functions, reimburse the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund for any previously ineligible expenditures made for non-court-related functions, and implement any corrective actions identified by the Chief Financial Officer The budget request must identify the service units to be provided within each core service. The service units shall be developed by the corporation, in consultation with the Supreme Court, the Chief Financial Officer, and the appropriations committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

- (4)(a)(5) The Legislative Budget Commission may approve increases to the maximum annual budgets approved for individual clerks of the court pursuant to this section for court-related functions, if:
- 1. The additional funding is necessary to pay the cost of performing new or additional functions required by changes in law or court rule. Before the Legislative Budget Commission may approve an increase in the maximum annual budget of any clerk under this subparagraph, the corporation must provide the Legislative Budget Commission with a statement of the impact of the proposed budget changes on state revenues and evidence that the respective clerk of the court is meeting or exceeding the established performance standards for measures on the fiscal management, operational efficiency, and effective collection of

Page 23 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

fines, fees, services charges, and court costs; or

- 2. The additional funding is necessary to pay the cost of supporting increases in the number of judges or magistrates authorized by the Legislature. Before the Legislative Budget Commission may approve an increase in the maximum annual budget of any clerk under this subparagraph, the corporation must provide the Legislative Budget Commission with a statement of the impact of the proposed budget changes on state revenues; evidence that the respective clerk of the court is meeting or exceeding the established performance standards for measures on the fiscal management, operational efficiency, and effective collection of fines, fees, service charges, and court costs; and a proposed staffing model, including the cost and number of staff necessary to support each new judge or magistrate.
- (b) The total amount of increases approved by the Legislative Budget Commission for each county fiscal year may not exceed an amount equal to 1 percent of the maximum annual budgets approved pursuant to this section for all clerks, in the aggregate, for the same county fiscal year The budget request must propose a unit cost for each service unit. The corporation shall provide a copy of each clerk's budget request to the Supreme Court.
- (5)(6) The corporation may submit proposed legislation to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives no later than November 1 in any year for approval of clerk budget request amounts exceeding the restrictions in this section for the next October 1. If proposed legislation is recommended, the corporation shall also submit

Page 24 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

supporting documentation with sufficient detail to identify the specific proposed expenditures that would cause the limitations to be exceeded for each affected clerk and the estimated fiscal impact on state revenues shall review each individual clerk's prior-year expenditures, projected revenue, proposed unit costs, and the proposed budget for each of the core-services categories. The corporation shall compare each clerk's prioryear expenditures and unit costs for core services with a peer group of clerks' offices having a population of a similar size and a similar number of case filings. If the corporation finds that the expenditures, unit costs, or proposed budget of a clerk is significantly higher than those of clerks in that clerk's peer group, the corporation shall require the clerk to submit documentation justifying the difference in each core-services category. Justification for higher expenditures may include, but is not limited to, collective bargaining agreements, county civil service agreements, and the number and distribution of courthouses served by the clerk. If the expenditures and unit costs are not justified, the corporation shall recommend a reduction in the funding for that core-services category in the budget request to an amount similar to the peer group of clerks or to an amount that the corporation determines is justified.

(7) The corporation shall complete its review and adjustments to the clerks' budget requests and make its recommendations to the Legislature and the Supreme Court by December 1 each year.

(8) The Chief Financial Officer shall review the proposed unit costs associated with each clerk of court's budget request

Page 25 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

and make recommendations to the Legislature. The Chief Financial Officer may conduct any audit of the corporation or a clerk of court as authorized by law. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court may request an audit of the corporation or any clerk of court by the Chief Financial Officer.

(9) The Legislature shall appropriate the total amount for the budgets of the clerks in the General Appropriations Act. The Legislature may reject or modify any or all of the unit costs recommended by the corporation. If the Legislature does not specify the unit costs in the General Appropriations Act or other law, the unit costs recommended by the corporation shall be the official unit costs for that budget period.

(10) (a) Beginning in the 2010-2011 fiscal year, the corporation shall release appropriations to each clerk quarterly. If funds in the Clerks of Court Trust Fund are insufficient to provide a release in a quarter in a single release, the corporation may release partial amounts for that quarter so long as the total of those partial amounts does not exceed that quarter's release. If funds in the Clerks of Court Trust Fund are insufficient for the first quarter release, the corporation may make a request to the Governor for a trust fund loan pursuant to chapter 215. The amount of the first three releases shall be based on one quarter of the estimated budget for each clerk as identified in the General Appropriations Act.

(b) The corporation shall estimate the fourth quarter's number of units to be performed by each clerk. The amount of the fourth-quarter release shall be based on the approved unit cost times the estimated number of units of the fourth quarter with

Page 26 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

the following adjustment: the fourth-quarter release shall be adjusted based on the first three quarter's actual number of service units provided as reported to the corporation by each elerk. If the clerk has performed fewer service units in the first three quarters of the year compared to three quarters of the estimated number of service units in the General Appropriations Act, the corporation shall decrease the fourth-quarter release. The amount of the decrease shall equal the amount of the difference between the estimated number of service units for the first three quarters and the actual number of service units provided in the first three quarters times the approved unit cost.

(c) No adjustment for the fourth-quarter release shall be made if the clerk has performed more units than the estimate for the first three quarters.

(d) If the clerk performs fewer units in the fourth quarter than estimated by the corporation, the corporation shall decrease the first-quarter release for the clerk in the next fiscal year by the amount of the difference between the estimated number of service units for the fourth quarter and the actual number of service units performed in that quarter times the approved unit cost.

(e) The total of all releases to the clerks of court may not exceed the amount appropriated in the General Appropriations Act. If, during the year, the corporation determines that the projected releases of appropriations for service units will exceed the estimate used in the General Appropriations Act and result in statewide expenditures greater than the amount

Page 27 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

appropriated by law, the corporation shall reduce all service unit costs of all clerks by the amount necessary to ensure that service units are funded within the total amount appropriated to the clerks of court. If such action is necessary, the corporation shall notify the Legislative Budget Commission. If the Legislative Budget Commission objects to the adjustments, the Legislative Budget Commission shall adjust all service unit costs by the amount necessary to ensure that projected units of service are funded within the total amount appropriated to the clerks of court at its next scheduled meeting.

(11) The corporation may submit proposed legislation to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives relating to the preparation of budget requests of the clerks of court.

Section 8. Section 28.365, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

28.365 Procurement.—The clerks of the court are subject to the procurement requirements and limitations of chapter 287 for expenditures made pursuant to the budget provided for in ss. 28.35 and 28.36.

Section 9. Section 28.37, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 28.37 Fines, fees, service charges, and costs remitted to the state.—
- (1) Pursuant to s. 14(b), Art. V of the State

 Constitution, selected salaries, costs, and expenses of the

 state courts system and court-related functions shall be funded

 from a portion of the revenues derived from statutory fines,

Page 28 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

fees, service charges, and costs collected by the clerks of the court.

- (2) Beginning July 1, 2012, except as otherwise provided in ss. 28.241 and 34.041, one-third of all fines, fees, service charges, and court costs collected by the clerks of the court each month shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund, unless the remaining two-thirds of such fines, fees, service charges, and court costs collected is less than one-twelfth of the clerks' total budget. If the collections are insufficient to remit onethird to the department, the clerks shall submit that portion of one-third of the collections that is in excess of one-twelfth of the clerks' total budget for the performance of court-related functions to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the department's Clerks of the Court Trust Fund. Such collections do not include funding received for the operation of the Title IV-D child support collections and disbursement program. The clerk of the court shall remit the revenues collected during the previous month due to the state on or before the 10th day of each month. The Department of Revenue shall make a monthly transfer to the General Revenue Fund of the funds in the department's Clerks of the Court Trust Fund that are not needed to resolve clerk of the court revenue deficits, as specified in s. 28.36.
- (3) Beginning January 1, 2013, and each January 1 thereafter for the previous county fiscal year, the clerk of the court shall remit to the Department of Revenue for deposit in the General Revenue Fund the cumulative excess of all fines, fees, service charges, and court costs retained by the clerks of

Page 29 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

785

786

787

788 789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

the court, plus any funds received by the clerks of the court from the department's Clerks of the Court Trust Fund under s. 28.36(4)(a), that exceed the amount needed to meet the approved budget amounts established under s. 28.36.

- (4) The Department of Revenue shall collect any funds that the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation determines upon investigation were due on January 1 but not remitted to the department.
- (5)(2) Except as otherwise provided in ss. 28.241 and 34.041, all court-related fines, fees, service charges, and costs are considered state funds and shall be remitted by the clerk to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Department of Revenue Justice Administrative Commission. However, 10 percent of all court-related fines collected by the clerk shall be deposited into the clerk's Public Records Modernization Trust Fund to be used exclusively for additional clerk court-related operational needs and program enhancements.

Section 10. Subsection (1) of section 28.43, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 28.43 Adoption of rules relating to ss. 28.35, 28.36, and 28.37.
- (1) The Department of Revenue may adopt rules necessary to carry out its responsibilities in ss. 28.35, 28.36, and 28.37. The rules shall include forms and procedures for transferring funds from the clerks of the court to the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the <u>Department of Revenue</u> Justice Administrative Commission.

Page 30 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

Section 11. Paragraph (b) of subsection (1) of section 34.041, Florida Statutes, is amended, and paragraph (a) of that subsection is published, to read:

34.041 Filing fees.

841

842

843

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857

858

859

860 861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

- (1)(a) Upon the institution of any civil action, suit, or proceeding in county court, the party shall pay the following filing fee, not to exceed:
 - 1. For all claims less than \$100 \$50.
 - 2. For all claims of \$100 or more but not more than \$500 \$75.
- 3. For all claims of more than \$500 but not more than \$2,500 \$170.
 - 4. For all claims of more than \$2,500 \$295.
- 5. In addition, for all proceedings of garnishment, attachment, replevin, and distress \$85.
- 6. Notwithstanding subparagraphs 3. and 5., for all claims of not more than \$1,000 filed simultaneously with an action for replevin of property that is the subject of the claim \$125.
 - 7. For removal of tenant action \$180.

The filing fee in subparagraph 6. is the total fee due under this paragraph for that type of filing, and no other filing fee under this paragraph may be assessed against such a filing.

(b) The first \$80 of the filing fee collected under subparagraph (a) 4. shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund. The next \$15 of the filing fee collected under subparagraph (a) 4., and the first \$10 of the filing fee collected under subparagraph (a) 7.,

Page 31 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

shall be deposited in the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund. Onethird of any filing fees collected by the clerk each month under this section in excess of the first \$95 collected under subparagraph (a) 4. shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the department's Clerks of the Court Trust Fund, unless the remaining two-thirds of the filing fees collected is less than one-twelfth of the clerk's total budget. If the filing fees collected are insufficient to remit one-third to the department, the clerk shall submit that portion of onethird of the fees collected that is in excess of one-twelfth of the clerk's total budget for the performance of court-related functions to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the department's Clerks of the Court Trust Fund. An additional filing fee of \$4 shall be paid to the clerk. The clerk shall transfer \$3.50 to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Court Education Trust Fund and shall transfer 50 cents to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the department's Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission to fund clerk education. Postal charges incurred by the clerk of the county court in making service by mail on defendants or other parties shall be paid by the party at whose instance service is made. Except as provided in this section herein, filing fees and service charges for performing duties of the clerk relating to the county court shall be as provided in ss. 28.24 and 28.241. Except as otherwise provided in this section herein, all filing fees shall be retained as fee income of the office of the clerk of the circuit court remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of the Court

Page 32 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

869 l

870

871

872

873

874

875

876

877

878

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission. Filing fees imposed by this section may not be added to any penalty imposed by chapter 316 or chapter 318.

Section 12. Subsection (5) of section 43.16, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 43.16 Justice Administrative Commission; membership, powers and duties.—
- (5) The duties of the commission shall include, but <u>are</u> not be limited to, the following:
- (a) The maintenance of a central state office for administrative services and assistance when possible to and on behalf of the state attorneys and public defenders of Florida, the capital collateral regional counsel of Florida, the criminal conflict and civil regional counsel, <u>and</u> the Guardian Ad Litem Program, and the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation.
- (b) Each state attorney, public defender, and criminal conflict and civil regional counsel and, the Guardian Ad Litem Program, and the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation shall continue to prepare necessary budgets, vouchers that represent valid claims for reimbursement by the state for authorized expenses, and other things incidental to the proper administrative operation of the office, such as revenue transmittals to the Chief Financial Officer and automated systems plans, but will forward such items same to the commission for recording and submission to the proper state officer. However, when requested by a state attorney, a public defender, a criminal conflict and civil regional counsel, or the Guardian Ad Litem Program, the commission will either assist in

Page 33 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

the preparation of budget requests, voucher schedules, and other forms and reports or accomplish the entire project involved.

Section 13. Paragraph (x) of subsection (2) of section 110.205, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

110.205 Career service; exemptions.-

- (2) EXEMPT POSITIONS.—The exempt positions that are not covered by this part include the following:
- (x) All officers and employees of the Justice
 Administrative Commission, Office of the State Attorney, Office
 of the Public Defender, regional offices of capital collateral
 counsel, offices of criminal conflict and civil regional
 counsel, and Statewide Guardian Ad Litem Office, including the
 circuit guardian ad litem programs and the Florida Clerks of
 Court Operations Corporation.

Section 14. Subsections (2) and (3) of section 142.01, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

- 142.01 Fine and forfeiture fund; disposition of revenue; clerk of the circuit court.—
- (2) All revenues received by the clerk in the fine and forfeiture fund from court-related fees, fines, costs, and service charges are considered state funds and shall be remitted monthly to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission.
- (2) (3) Notwithstanding the provisions of this section, all fines and forfeitures arising from operation of the provisions of s. 318.1215 shall be disbursed in accordance with that section.

Page 34 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

Section 15. Section 213.131, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

213.131 Clerks of the Court Trust Fund within the Department of Revenue Justice Administrative Commission.—The Clerks of the Court Trust Fund is created within the Department of Revenue Justice Administrative Commission. Funds received by the department from the clerks of the court shall be credited to the trust fund as provided in chapter 2001-122, Laws of Florida, to be used for the purposes set forth in that act.

Section 16. Paragraph (qq) of subsection (1) of section 216.011, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

216.011 Definitions.-

- (1) For the purpose of fiscal affairs of the state, appropriations acts, legislative budgets, and approved budgets, each of the following terms has the meaning indicated:
- (qq) "State agency" or "agency" means any official, officer, commission, board, authority, council, committee, or department of the executive branch of state government. For purposes of this chapter and chapter 215, "state agency" or "agency" includes, but is not limited to, state attorneys, public defenders, criminal conflict and civil regional counsel, capital collateral regional counsel, the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation, the Justice Administrative Commission, the Florida Housing Finance Corporation, and the Florida Public Service Commission. Solely for the purposes of implementing s. 19(h), Art. III of the State Constitution, the terms "state agency" or "agency" include the judicial branch.

Section 17. For the period of July 1, 2012, through
September 30, 2012, the approved budget for the clerks of the
circuit court shall be \$111,673,799. The Florida Clerks of Court
Operations Corporation shall determine budget amounts for the
individual clerks.

Section 18. This act shall take effect July 1, 2012.

Page 36 of 36

PCB JUAS 12-03.docx

980

981

982

983

984

985

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES TRUST FUND RE-CREATION STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

PCB JUAS 12-04 Capital Collateral Regional Counsel Trust Fund

SPONSOR(S): Justice Appropriations Subcommittee

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Orig. Comm.: Justice Appropriations Subcommittee		Miller	Jones Darity 14 Davity

I. SUMMARY

The Capital Collateral Regional Counsel Trust Fund, FLAIR number 21-2-073, is administered by the Justice Administrative Commission. This fund was created effective July 1, 2009, by chapter 2009-62, Laws of Florida. This legislation re-creates the Capital Collateral Regional Counsel Trust Fund without modification, effective July 1, 2012, provided that it is enacted by three-fifths of the membership of both houses of the Legislature. This bill also repeals the provision for the scheduled termination of the trust fund.

DATE: 1/19/2012

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. PRESENT SITUATION:

1. MAJOR STATUTES THAT CONTROL THE TRUST FUND:

Section 19(f), Article III of the State Constitution requires that every trust fund be created or recreated by a three-fifths vote of the membership in each house of the Legislature in a separate bill for the sole purpose of creating that trust fund. The Constitution also provides that all newly created trust funds terminate not more than four years after the initial creation unless re-created. The Capital Collateral Regional Counsel Trust Fund was created in the Justice Administrative Commission effective July 1, 2009, by chapter 2009-62, Laws of Florida, in section 27.715, Florida Statutes and is scheduled to terminate on July 1, 2013.

2. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FUND'S USES OR PURPOSES:

This trust fund is used for the operational expenditures related to the Capital Collateral Regional Counsel.

3. MAJOR SOURCES OF REVENUE FOR THE FUND:

Moneys in the trust fund consist of federal reimbursements for representation of indigent persons in federal court by attorneys of the Capital Collateral Regional Counsel. The Capital Collateral Regional Counsel is required to seek such reimbursements pursuant to s. 27.702(3)(a), F.S.

4. TOTAL PROJECTED RECEIPTS INTO THE FUND AND CURRENT YEAR APPROPRIATIONS FROM THE FUND:

The total projected receipts into this fund for the current year are \$361,796 and current year appropriations from the fund are \$400,000.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

This legislation re-creates the Capital Collateral Regional Counsel Trust Fund without modification, effective July 1, 2012. This bill also repeals the provision for the scheduled termination of the trust fund.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

This legislation has no fiscal impact on state agencies or state funds, on local governments as a whole or on the private sector. It simply re-creates, without modification, an existing state trust fund and continues the current use of the fund.

IV. COMMENTS

V. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

DATE: 1/19/2012

STORAGE NAME: pcb04.JUAS.DOCX

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to trust funds; re-creating the Capital Collateral Regional Counsel Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission without modification; amending s. 27.715, F.S.; abrogating provisions relating to the termination of the trust fund to conform; providing an effective date.

WHEREAS, the Legislature wishes to extend the life of the Capital Collateral Regional Counsel Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission, which is otherwise scheduled to be terminated pursuant to constitutional mandate, and

WHEREAS, the Legislature has reviewed the trust fund before its scheduled termination date and has found that it continues to meet an important public purpose, and

WHEREAS, the Legislature has found that existing public policy concerning the trust fund sets adequate parameters for its use, NOW, THEREFORE,

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. The Capital Collateral Regional Counsel Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission, FLAIR number 21-2-073, which is to be terminated pursuant to Section 19(f), Article III of the State Constitution on July 1, 2013, is recreated.

Section 2. Section 27.715, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

Page 1 of 2

PCB JUAS 12-04.docx

27.715 Capital Collateral Regional Counsel Trust Fund.—
(1) The Capital Collateral Regional Counsel Trust Fund is created within the Justice Administrative Commission. Moneys credited to the trust fund shall be used for the purpose of funding the activities of the capital collateral regional counsel.

(2) In accordance with s. 19(f)(2), Art. III of the State Constitution, the Capital Collateral Regional Counsel Trust Fund shall, unless terminated sooner, be terminated on July 1, 2013. Before its scheduled termination, the trust fund shall be reviewed as provided in s. 215.3206(1) and (2).

Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2012.

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: PCB JUAS 12-05 Correctional Privatization

SPONSOR(S): Justice Appropriations Subcommittee

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE ACTION ANALYST STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF

Orig. Comm.: Justice Appropriations
Subcommittee

McAuliffe

Jones Darity

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The Fiscal Year 2011-2012 General Appropriations Act contained proviso to privatize all Department of Corrections (DOC) facilities in South Florida. The proviso required the DOC to issue a request for proposals (RFP) for the operation of those facilities and bring the successful bid before the Legislative Budget Commission for approval of the contract. The proviso was subsequently challenged in court.

On September 30, 2011, the circuit court ruled that the proviso relating to privatization to be unconstitutional in violation of Article III, Sections 6 and 12 of the Florida Constitution. The court held that the proviso changed the statutory process for privatizing prison facilities set forth in s. 944.105, F.S. and other sections of statute. The order enjoined the department "from taking further steps to contract under the proviso or otherwise implement the privatization of the state correctional facilities in the 18 counties pursuant to proviso or otherwise." On October 31, 2011, the Attorney General filed a Notice of Appeal. The bill:

- Requires the Department of Management Services to competitively procure by single or multiple solicitations for the operation of correctional facilities and assigned correctional units in Manatee, Hardee, Indian River, Okeechobee, Highlands, St. Lucie, DeSoto, Sarasota, Charlotte, Glades, Martin, Palm Beach, Hendry, Lee, Collier, Broward, Miami-Dade and Monroe Counties, and provides that the contract must require a seven percent savings compared to Fiscal Year 2010-2011 costs. The procurement may not include the South Florida Reception Center which will continue to be operated by DOC, or the inmate health services in the South Florida institutions.
- Requires the Department of Management Services to issue the competitive solicitation no later than 60 days after the effective date of this act, and that proposals must be submitted no later than 60 days after the issuance of the solicitation.
- Includes numerous performance measures which must be included in the solicitation documents.
- Requires DOC to develop and remit a transition plan and recommended revisions to its operating budget to the Legislative Budget Commission. DOC also must submit a cost-benefit analysis which delineates their current costs of providing the services and the savings that would be generated by the transition plan yielding a minimum annual savings of seven percent.
- Requires that any contract between DMS and a contractor for the operation of prisons in South Florida must specifically provide that the contract is contingent upon approval of the Legislative Budget Commission.
- Provides that if DMS determines that the process has yielded responses that meet all the requirements
 of the bill, DMS may execute the contract. The contract may commence only after the contract has
 been reviewed and approved by the Legislative Budget Commission.
- Provides that current DOC employees affected by the privatization must be given first preference for employment by the selected contractor, and DOC must make reasonable efforts to find suitable job placements for those employees that wish to continue to be employed by the state.

Operating costs in Fiscal Year 2010-2011 for DOC in the affected South Florida Counties was \$418.7 million. However, that number has not been adjusted for prison closures, inmate health services procurement, state employee retirement contributions, and reductions to DOC's budget that have occurred since 2010. The total cost savings from privatization cannot be determined definitively until the adjustments to the 2010-2011 operating costs have been made by DOC and a vendor has been selected and the vendor costs are known. See "FISCAL COMMENTS."

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: pcb05.JUAS.DOCX

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background

South Florida Region

DOC currently operates 11 major institutions as well as six work camps, one reentry center, and three road prisons in South Florida, formerly referred to as Region IV. The DOC has approximately 3,800 employees in this region, with total operating costs of \$418.7 million for Fiscal Year 2010-2011. Each major institution in this region is listed below, together with the type of inmates housed in each, the general custody level served, and the 2010-2011 reported average inmate population for each facility. Correctional Institutions (includes annexes):

- Broward Correctional Institution (female; close custody; 701 inmates)
- Charlotte Correctional Institution (male; close custody; 838 inmates)
- Dade Correctional Institution (male; close custody; 1,526 inmates)
- Desoto Correctional Institution (male; close custody; 1,844 inmates)
- Everglades Correctional Institution (male; close custody; 1,593 inmates)
- Hardee Correctional Institution (male; close custody; 1,889 inmates)
- Homestead Correctional Institution (female; close custody; 672 inmates)
- Indian River Correctional Institution (male youth; close custody; 489 inmates)
- Martin Correctional Institution (male; close custody; 1,496 inmates)
- Okeechobee Correctional Institution (male; close custody; 1,619 inmates)
- South Florida Reception Center (male; close custody; 2,235)

The DOC plans on closing Broward and Indian River Correctional Institutions as part of the department's prison consolidation plan.

Department of Management Services

Chapter 957, F.S., charges the Department of Management Services, Bureau of Private Prison Monitoring (Bureau) with issuing contracts, establishing operating standards, and monitoring compliance of the state's private prisons. The Bureau is responsible for entering into contracts for the design, construction, and operation of privately operated correctional facilities. The Bureau may not enter into a contract unless it determines that the contract or series of contracts in total for the facility will result in cost savings to the state of at least seven percent under the DOC's costs. Once the savings is determined, the Bureau enters into a contract with a private vendor to operate the facility for an agreed daily per diem. The per diem includes the cost of all facility operations and the cost of the contract manager employed by DMS. The Bureau currently oversees the operational contracts for seven facilities: Bay, Blackwater River, Gadsden, Graceville, Lake City, Moore Haven, and South Bay correctional facilities.

Section 957.04(1) and (2), F.S., provides that all contracts entered into for the operation of private correctional facilities must maximize the cost savings of such facilities and also must:

- Be negotiated with the firm found most qualified.
- Indemnify the state and the department, including their officials and agents, against any and all liability, including, but not limited to, civil rights liability.
- Require that the contractor seek, obtain, and maintain accreditation by the American Correctional Association for the facility under that contract.
- Require that the proposed facilities and the management plans for the inmates meet applicable American Correctional Association standards and the requirements of all applicable court orders and state law.
- Establish operations standards for correctional facilities subject to the contract.

STORAGE NAME: pcb05.JUAS.DOCX DATE: 1/23/2012

- Require the contractor to be responsible for a range of dental, medical, and psychological services; diet; education; and work programs at least equal to those provided by the department in comparable facilities.
- Require the selection and appointment of a full-time contract monitor. The contract monitor must be
 appointed and supervised by the Department of Management Services. The contractor is required to
 reimburse the Department of Management Services for the salary and expenses of the contract
 monitor
- Be for a period of three years and may be renewed for successive two-year periods thereafter.
 However, the state is not obligated for any payments to the contractor beyond current annual appropriations.

Section 957.05, F.S., provides that each contractor operating private correctional facilities is liable in tort with respect to the care and custody of inmates under its supervision and for any breach of contract, and sovereign immunity may not be raised by a contractor.

The section also provides that employees of such contractors must meet or exceed the requirements for DOC employees or the training requirement of the American Correctional Association. Correctional officers employed by DOC are all certified by the Criminal Justice Standards and Training Commission.

OPPAGA Private Prison Review

Florida's seven existing private prisons contracts and former contracts were procured for their ability to achieve and maintain costs at least seven percent below DOC's average per diem cost. Florida law requires the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability (OPPAGA) to evaluate private vendors' performance in operating the state's privately operated prisons. For those vendors contracted by DMS, s. 957.11, F.S., directs OPPAGA to evaluate the performance of the private contractor at the end of the contract, and make recommendations to the Legislature on whether to continue the contract.

In a study conducted by OPPAGA in 2010¹ on the performance of the contracts for Bay, Moore Haven, Graceville, and Gadsden private prisons, each with contract terms expiring on June 30, 2010, OPPAGA noted the following cost savings:

- Bay Correctional Institution 7.5 percent
- Moore Haven Correctional Institution 12.8 percent
- Graceville Correctional Institution 22.1 percent
- Gadsden Correctional Institution 28.3 percent

In the OPPAGA study conducted in 2009² on contract performance for South Bay and Lake City private prisons, the following cost savings were reported:

- South Bay Correctional Institution 14 percent
- Lake City Correctional Institution 11 percent

In each of the OPPAGA studies on the private prisons, contract performance was determined to be satisfactory. Both reports noted three areas that contributed to the cost savings achieved by the private prisons: reduced retirement benefits paid to private correctional officers, lower administrative costs, and lower costs for inmate rehabilitative programs such as adult education, vocational training and substance abuse treatment.

2011-2012 Privatization of Region IV

STORAGE NAME: pcb05.JUAS.DOCX

¹ Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability Research Memorandum: Private Prisons Exceed Savings Requirements, April 20, 2010.

² Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability Research Memorandum: Private Prisons Exceed Savings Requirements; Need to Improve Prison Security and Inmate Family Contact Practices, April 17, 2009.

The Fiscal Year 2011-2012 General Appropriations Act contained proviso to privatize all Department of Corrections (DOC) facilities in South Florida. The proviso required:

- Adherence to all applicable federal, state and local laws and DOC rules;
- DOC to continue to classify inmates;
- Each facility's average daily population and medical and psychological grade population percentages will remain the same as 2009-10;
- A contract commencement date of January 1, 2012;
- The contract to specify performance measures and levels of expected performance by the contractor;
- DOC to remit a transition plan and recommended revisions to its operating budget to the Legislative Budget Commission by December 1, 2011;
- DOC to submit a cost-benefit analysis showing a savings of at least seven percent.

The proviso provided that upon approval by the Legislative Budget Commission DOC could award the contract.

On July 13, 2011 a complaint for declaratory judgment and injunctive relief was filed in the Second Judicial Circuit. ³ The complaint alleged that the proviso relating to privatization violated Article III, Section 12 of the Florida Constitution which provides that "[l]aws making appropriations for salaries of public officers and other current expenses of the state shall contain provisions on no other subject." According to the complaint, "[c]ontrary to Florida Constitutional prohibitions against the enactment and change of substantive law by way of an appropriations act, the subject proviso language attempts to enact or alter substantive law and legal standards that control or should control the privatization of any state correctional facility."

On September 30, 2011, the court issued a final declaratory and injunctive judgment which declared the proviso relating to privatization to be unconstitutional in violation of Article III, Sections 6⁴ and 12 of the Florida Constitution. The court held that the proviso changed the statutory process for privatizing prison facilities set forth in s. 944.105, F.S. and other sections of statute. The order enjoined the department "from taking further steps to contract under the proviso or otherwise implement the privatization of the state correctional facilities in the 18 counties pursuant to proviso or otherwise." On October 31, 2011, the Attorney General filed a Notice of Appeal.

Effect of the Bill

The bill provides that the Department of Management Services (DMS) in consultation with the Department of Corrections must competitively procure by single or multiple solicitations, the management and operation, exclusive of inmate health services⁵, of the correctional facilities and assigned correctional units, including annexes, work camps, road prisons and work release centers currently operated by the Department of Corrections in Manatee, Hardee, Indian River, Okeechobee, Highlands, St. Lucie, DeSoto, Sarasota, Charlotte, Glades, Martin, Palm Beach, Hendry, Lee, Collier, Broward, Miami-Dade and Monroe Counties, excluding the South Florida Reception Center and any correctional facility or assigned correctional unit that has been closed or scheduled for closure before June 30, 2012. The DOC will continue to operate the South Florida Reception Center.

The bill requires DMS to issue competitive procurement or competitive procurements no later than 60 days after the effective date of this act which is July 1, 2012. DMS must require that any proposal submitted in response to a such procurements must be submitted no later than 60 days after the issuance of the competitive procurement.

³ James Baiardi, John McKenna, Shanea Maycock and Florida Police Benevolent Association, Inc. v. Edwin Buss, In His Capacity as The Secretary of the Department of Corrections. Case No: 2011-CA-1838

⁴ Article III, Section 6 provides in part that "[e]very law shall embrace but one subject and matter properly connected therewith".

⁵ DOC has issued a request for proposals to privatize inmate medical services statewide including Region IV.

The bill provides that, notwithstanding s. 957.07, F.S., 6 each procurement which is issued by DMS must provide that the total cost of a responsive proposal to the department during the first year of the contract must result in actual cost savings to the state of at least seven percent of the costs incurred by the state for the 2010-2011 fiscal year for the provision of the services at the correctional facilities and assigned correctional units included in the procurement document. The total costs to be incurred by the state in the second or subsequent years of the contract resulting from the procurements may increase by not more than the percentage increase in the per diem of state operated facilities; however, any such increase is contingent upon appropriation by the Legislature.

For each correctional facility and assigned correctional unit included in the procurement documents, the Department of Management Services must determine the costs incurred by the state for the 2010-2011 fiscal year using the average daily population of each correctional facility and assigned correctional unit during the 2010-2011 fiscal year and the direct and distributed inmate per diem cost for each correctional facility and assigned correctional unit during the 2010-2011 fiscal year, reduced for the three percent retirement contribution now required by state employees under chapter 121, by inmate medical costs, by the department's direct and personnel costs associated with inmate classification, and by \$57,223,895 (Incorrect estimate. Please see DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS) which is the South Florida facilities percentage share of the pertinent 2011-2012 fiscal year reductions to DOC.

At a minimum, the contract must require adherence to all applicable federal, state and local laws, as well as all rules adopted by DOC. The contract must also specifically provide that the contract is contingent upon approval of the Legislative Budget Commission.

The bill provides the privatized facilities must continue to operate at capacities set forth in s. 944.023, F.S.⁷ Funds received for these institutions from canteens, subsistence payments, and any other participation accounts will continue to be remitted to the General Revenue Fund. All activities regarding the classification of inmates will remain under the Department of Correction's supervision and direction as required by current law. Each facility's average daily population (ADP), as well as medical and psychological grade population percentages, must remain substantially unchanged from the ADP calculated for Fiscal Year 2010-2011.

The bill includes numerous performance measures which must be included in the procurement documents, and requires the contractor to provide the department with information concerning each performance measure for each separate correctional facility and assigned correctional unit for each month, calendar quarter, and year during the term of the contract, in the format specified by the department.

If after engaging in the competitive solicitation process, DMS determines that the process has yielded responses that meet all the requirements of the bill, DMS may execute the contract, which is contingent upon approval of the Legislative Budget Commission. The contract may commence only after the contract has been reviewed and approved by the Legislative Budget Commission.

The bill also provides DOC must develop and remit a transition plan and recommended revisions to its operating budget to the Legislative Budget Commission. The department also must submit a cost-benefit analysis which delineates the department's current costs of providing the services and the savings that would be generated by the transition plan yielding a minimum annual savings of seven percent. Additional budget amendments may be submitted during the 2012-2013 fiscal year as necessary for the proper alignment of budget and positions.

The bill provides that current employees of the DOC affected by the privatization must be given first preference for employment by the selected contractor, and DOC must make reasonable efforts to find suitable job placements for those employees that wish to continue to be employed by the state.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

⁷ Section 944.023, F.S., provides detailed design capacity factors that DOC must comply with.

STORAGE NAME: pcb05.JUAS.DOCX

⁶ Section 957.07, F.S., provides that contracts for the private operation of a prison must save at least seven percent over the public provision of a similar facility, and such savings must be certified by the Auditor General. Since DOC's costs to operate Region IV in 2010-11 are known the seven percent savings can be objectively determined making certification unnecessary.

Section 1. Provides for the privatization of prisons in certain South Florida Counties.

Section 2. Provides an effective date of July 1, 2012.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

See FISCAL COMMENTS.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

This bill will create opportunities for private prison providers to expand their current business in Florida.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

Operating costs in Fiscal Year 2010-2011 for DOC in the affected South Florida Counties was \$418.7 million. However, that number has not been adjusted for prison closures, inmate health services procurement, state employee retirement contributions, and reductions to DOC's budget that have occurred since 2010.

Savings from privatization of the South Florida region can only be determined using the true costs of DOC to operate those facilities. In order to have operational costs that reflect the costs for DOC, the regions proportional share of the state employee retirement contribution, and the 2011-2012 reductions to DOC's budget would have to be determined. In the 2010-2011 fiscal year, DOC closed three prisons (Glades, Hendry and Brevard) in the region, and plans to close more this fiscal year (Broward and Indian River). The DOC's 2010-2011 operating budget will have to be adjusted to reflect those closings. Further, DOC is currently in the process of accepting bids from vendors to provide inmate health services in the South Florida Region (in addition to the rest of the state prison system). Until that procurement is concluded, the vendors bidding on the operation of the facilities in that region must provide a bid that does not include providing inmate health services. Therefore, the operating budget for the region will have to be adjusted to back out the costs of providing inmate health services.

The following is an estimation of the adjusted costs of DOC to operate the South Florida region:

STORAGE NAME: pcb05.JUAS.DOCX

2010-2011 DOC South Florida Operation Costs	\$ 418,713,514	
Deductions		Comments
Health Services Costs	(89,712,272)	Actual Health Services costs for the
rieditii Services Costs	(69,712,272)	region
Retirement Contribution	(11,679,672)	Actual 3% Retirement Contribution from
Retirement Contribution		the region
Classification in Region 4	(2 E1E E61)	Actual DOC costs for classification for
Classification in Region 4	(3,515,561)	the region
		Actual operating costs for SFRC. The
South Florida Reception Center	(40,083,073)	bill provides DOC will continue to
•	(11,111,111,111,111,111,111,111,111,111	operate.
		•
Brevard	(12,948,955)	
Hendry	(7,672,730)	Actual facility operating costs for closed
Glades	(23,437,041)	or closing facilities
Indian River	(8,027,931)	· ·
Broward	(2,523,371)	
Proportional Share of 11-12 DOC Reductions	(12,541,920)	Estimation of the regions share of 2011- 12 reductions, less health services. The Region represent 22% of state operation costs. This calculation is 22% of the relevent reductions. This is an estimate that will need to be refined.
Adjusted Operation Costs	\$ 206,570,388	

These calculations are only an approximation of the adjusted costs for operating the South Florida region facilities and will need to be refined. These adjustments would be reflected in DOC's Fiscal Year 2011-2012 budget, however the bill requires the use of Fiscal Year 2010-2011 budget because those numbers are known. Using the above approximation, seven percent saving to the state would be \$14.5 million. However, the total cost savings from privatization cannot be determined definitively until a vendor has been selected and the vendor costs are known.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill does not appear to create a need for rulemaking authority.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

An error was made calculating the South Florida institutions estimated proportional share of the 2011-2012 fiscal year reductions to the DOC. The revised estimate is \$12.5 million. The estimate will require further analysis to determine with specificity how reductions were enacted through DOC by region. The most accurate analysis would be completed at the conclusion of the fiscal year.

V. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: pcb05.JUAS

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to correctional privatization; creating s. 957.17, F.S.; requiring the Department of Management Services, in consultation with the Department of Corrections, to competitively procure management and operation of the correctional facilities and assigned correctional units for certain counties; providing an exception; specifying time periods; requiring responsive proposals to include specified cost savings; requiring adherence to specified laws; providing for operational capacities; specifying disposition of certain funds; providing for inmate classification; providing requirements for certain population figures; providing for specific performance measures and levels of expected performance for a contractor; requiring reports by the Department of Corrections; requiring development and submission of a transition plan and recommended operating budget revisions and a cost-benefit analysis to the Legislative Budget Commission by a specified date; providing requirements for such submissions; providing an employment preference for current employees of affected facilities; requiring the Department of Corrections to make reasonable efforts to find suitable job placements for certain of those employees; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Page 1 of 8

PCB JUAS 12-05.docx

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Section 1. Section 957.17, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

957.17 South Florida Region; privatization of correctional facilities.—

(1) (a) The Department of Management Services, in consultation with the Department of Corrections, shall competitively procure by single or multiple solicitations the management and operation, exclusive of inmate health services, of the correctional facilities and assigned correctional units, including annexes, work camps, road prisons, reentry centers, and work release centers, currently operated by the Department of Corrections in Manatee, Hardee, Indian River, Okeechobee, Highlands, St. Lucie, DeSoto, Sarasota, Charlotte, Glades, Martin, Palm Beach, Hendry, Lee, Collier, Broward, Miami-Dade, and Monroe Counties, excluding the South Florida Reception

Center and any correctional facility or assigned correctional

unit that has been closed or scheduled for closure before June

30, 2012. The Department of Corrections shall continue to

operate the South Florida Reception Center.

(b) The Department of Management Services shall issue its competitive solicitation or competitive solicitations no later than 60 days after the effective date of this act. The Department of Management Services shall require that any proposal submitted in response to a competitive solicitation be submitted no later than 60 days after the issuance of the competitive solicitation.

Notwithstanding s. 957.07, the competitive solicitation document issued by the Department of Management Services must provide that the total cost of a responsive proposal to the Department of Management Services during the first year of the contract must result in actual cost savings to the state of at least 7 percent of the costs incurred by the state for the 2010-2011 fiscal year for the provision of the services at the correctional facilities and assigned correctional units included in the competitive solicitation. For each correctional facility and assigned correctional unit included in the competitive solicitation, the Department of Management Services shall determine the costs incurred by the state for the 2010-2011 fiscal year using the average daily population of each correctional facility and assigned correctional unit during the 2010-2011 fiscal year and the direct and distributed inmate per diem cost for each correctional facility and assigned correctional unit during the 2010-2011 fiscal year, reduced for the 3 percent retirement contribution now required by state employees under chapter 121, by inmate medical costs, by the Department of Corrections' direct and personnel costs associated with inmate classification, and by \$57,223,895, which is the South Florida facilities' share of the pertinent 2011-2012 fiscal year reductions to the Department of Corrections. The total costs to be incurred by the state in the second or subsequent years of the contract resulting from the competitive procurement may increase by not more than the percentage increase in the per

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

.72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

diem of state-operated facilities; however, any such increase is contingent upon appropriation by the Legislature.

- (3) (a) At a minimum, except as provided in this section, the contract must require adherence to all applicable federal, state, and local laws, as well as all rules adopted by the Department of Corrections. The contract must also specifically provide that the contract is contingent upon Legislative Budget Commission approval.
- (b) Facilities operated under contract as provided in this section shall continue to operate at capacities set forth in s. 944.023.
- (c) Funds received for facilities operated under contract as provided in this section from canteens, subsistence payments, and any other participation accounts shall continue to be remitted to the General Revenue Fund.
- (d) All activities regarding the classification of inmates shall remain under the supervision and direction of the Department of Corrections as required by current law.
- (e) Each facility's average daily population (ADP), as well as medical and psychological grade population percentages, shall remain substantially unchanged from the ADP calculated for the 2010-2011 fiscal year.
- (4) Any contract resulting from a competitive solicitation must include specific performance measures and levels of expected performance for the contractor in order to ensure contractor performance and accountability, and require the contractor to provide the Department of Management Services with information concerning each performance measure for each

Page 4 of 8

PCB JUAS 12-05.docx

- separate correctional facility and assigned correctional unit

 for each month, calendar quarter, and year during the term of
 the contract in the format specified by that department.
 - (a) The required performance measures must include, but are not limited to:
 - 1. The number of batteries committed by inmates on one or more persons.
 - 2. The number of reportable incidents sent to the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Corrections for investigation.
 - 3. The number and percent of random inmate drug tests that are negative.
 - 4. The percent of inmate work squad slots vacant.
 - 5. The number of escapes.
 - 6. The number of inmates assessed as needing substance abuse treatment.
 - 7. The number of transition checklists completed.
 - 8. The number of release plans completed, including confirmed placement of released inmates.
 - 9. The number of appropriately assessed inmates enrolled in substance abuse treatment.
 - 10. The number of inmates who successfully complete drug abuse education or treatment programs.
- 134 <u>11. The number of inmates enrolled in substance abuse</u> 135 programs.
- 136 <u>12. The number of appropriately assessed inmates enrolled</u> 137 in literacy programs.
 - 13. The number of inmates who successfully complete

Page 5 of 8

PCB JUAS 12-05.docx

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

138

139	li	tera	CV 1	prod	rams	

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

- 14. The number of appropriately assessed inmates enrolled in General Education Development (GED) programs.
 - 15. The number of inmates receiving a GED.
- 16. The number of appropriately assessed inmates enrolled in special education programs.
 - 17. The number of appropriately assessed inmates enrolled in vocational education programs.
 - 18. The number of inmates completing vocational education programs.
 - 19. The average increase in grade level achieved by inmates participating in education programs per 3-month instructional period.
 - 20. The average increase in grade level achieved by inmates participating in educational programs per 3-month instructional period.
 - 21. The number of inmates released who completed the required 100-hour transition course.
 - (b) For work release centers, the required performance measures must also include, but are not limited to:
 - 1. The percent of employment of inmates.
 - 2. The illegal substance use by inmates.
 - 3. The victim restitution paid by inmates.
 - 4. Compliance by inmates without contact orders.
- 163 (5) The Department of Corrections shall provide reports to
 164 the chairs of the legislative appropriations committees
 165 regarding the performance of each contractor which include, but
 166 are not limited to, information regarding each required

Page 6 of 8

PCB JUAS 12-05.docx

performance measure in each contract resulting from the competitive solicitation for each contractor and for each correctional facility and assigned correctional unit.

- (6) If after engaging in the competitive solicitation process the Department of Management Services determines that the process has yielded responses that meet all the requirements of this section, the department may execute the contract. The contract must specifically provide that the contract is contingent upon Legislative Budget Commission approval. The Department of Corrections shall develop and submit a transition plan and recommended revisions to its operating budget to the Legislative Budget Commission. The Department of Corrections must also submit a cost-benefit analysis that delineates its current costs of providing the services and the savings that would be generated by the transition plan yielding a minimum annual savings of 7 percent. Upon approval by the Legislative Budget Commission, any contract previously executed by the Department of Management Services pursuant to this section shall commence as provided in the contract. Additional budget amendments may be submitted during the 2012-2013 fiscal year as necessary for the proper alignment of budget and positions.
- (7) Each current employee of the Department of Corrections at the designated correctional facility and assigned correctional unit who is affected by the privatization must be given first preference for continued employment by the contractor selected as a result of a competitive solicitation. The Department of Corrections shall make reasonable efforts to

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

find suitable job placements for those employees who wish to continue to be employed by the state.

196 Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2012.

Page 8 of 8

PCB JUAS 12-05.docx

PCB Name: PCB JUAS 12-05 (2012)

Amendment No. 1

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE	ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	

Committee/Subcommittee hearing PCB: Justice Appropriations Subcommittee

Representative McBurney offered the following:

Amendment

Remove lines 44-48 and insert:

and Monroe Counties, excluding any correctional facility or
assigned correctional unit that has been closed or scheduled for
closure before June 30, 2012. The procurement shall exclude the
South Florida Reception Center and the South Florida Reception
Center South Unit. The procurement shall include all other
correctional units assigned to the South Florida Reception

14

13

Center.

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

PCB Name: PCB JUAS 12-05 (2012)

Amendment No. 2

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTE	EE ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN _	(Y/N)
OTHER _	

Committee/Subcommittee hearing PCB: Justice Appropriations Subcommittee

Representative McBurney offered the following:

5 Amendment.

Remove lines 56-79 and insert:

(2) Notwithstanding s. 957. 07, any contract awarded shall include pricing that achieves no less than a 7 percent savings for all operations and maintenance of each correctional facility and assigned correctional units, excluding inmate health services, from the 2011-2012 fiscal year expenditures for said facility or facilities. Prior to the issuance of an intent to award, the Auditor General shall certify that the successful bidder or bidders has achieved the savings set forth in this section. No contract shall be awarded absent such certification. The total costs to

17

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

PCB Name: PCB JUAS 12-05 (2012)

Amendment No. 3

1 2

3 4

7

8

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMIT	TTEE ACTION			
ADOPTED	(Y/N)			
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)			
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)			
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)			
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)			
OTHER				
Committee/Subcommittee hearing PCB: Justice Appropriations				
Subcommittee				
Representative McBurney offered the following:				
Amendment				
Remove lines 101-104 and insert:				
(e) Each facility's medical and psychological grade				
population percentages shall remain substantially unchanged from				
those calculated for the	those calculated for the 2011-2012 fiscal year.			