

Civil Justice Subcommittee

Tuesday, March 25, 2014 11:30 AM 404 HOB

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

CS/HB 617

Towing of Vehicles & Vessels

SPONSOR(S): Transportation & Highway Safety Subcommittee; Wood

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: CS/SB 974

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Transportation & Highway Safety Subcommittee	14 Y, 0 N, As CS	Davy	Miller
2) Civil Justice Subcommittee		Ward W	Bond NR
3) Economic Affairs Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Current law provides certain requirements for proper posted notice before an owner or lessee of real property may have a vehicle or vessel removed from the property without the owner of the vehicle or vessel's consent. These include the location of the notice, the graphics of the notice, and the length of time the notice has been posted.

The bill creates an alternative procedure for towing vehicles and vessels from private property. It provides that the owner, lessee, or agent of the owner or lessee of real property may have a vehicle or vessel that has been parked or stored on private property for a period exceeding 10 days removed by a towing company upon signing an order that the vehicle or vessel be removed without a posted tow-away zone sign. The 10 day period after which the owner or lessee, or agent of the owner or lessee, of the real property may have the vehicle or vessel removed without tow-away zone signage does not begin until a notice that the vehicle or vessel will be removed from the property is attached to the vehicle or vessel with adhesive material.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on the state or local governments.

The bill provides that the act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background

Posting Requirements for Towing

Currently the owner or lessee of real property may cause any vehicle or vessel parked on such property without permission to be removed by towing without liability for the cost, storage, damage or transportation associated with the towing by following the notice requirements in the statute.¹

The statute provides that the owner or lessee must post a notice meeting the following requirements²:

- The notice must be prominently placed at each driveway access or curb cut allowing vehicular
 access to the property, within 5 feet from the public right-of-way line. If there are no curbs or
 access barriers, the signs must be posted not less than one sign for each 25 feet of lot
 frontage.
- The notice must clearly indicate, in not less than 2-inch high, light-reflective letters on a contrasting background, that unauthorized vehicles will be towed away at the owner's expense. The words "tow-away zone" must be included on the sign in not less than 4-inch high letters.
- The notice must also provide the name and current telephone number of the person or firm towing or removing the vehicles or vessels.
- The sign structure containing the required notices must be permanently installed with the words "tow-away zone" not less than 3 feet and not more than 6 feet above ground level and must be continuously maintained on the property for at least 24 hours prior to the towing or removal of any vehicles or vessels.
- The local government may require permitting and inspection of these signs prior to any towing or removal of vehicles or vessels being authorized.
- A business with 20 or fewer parking spaces satisfies the notice requirements of this subparagraph by prominently displaying a sign stating "Reserved Parking for Customers Only Unauthorized Vehicles or Vessels Will be Towed Away At the Owner's Expense" in not less than 4-inch high, light-reflective letters on a contrasting background.
- A property owner towing or removing vessels from real property must post notice consistent
 with the all other notice requirements that unauthorized vehicles or vessels will be towed away
 at the owner's expense.

Exceptions to Posting Requirements

Lawful towing or removal of any vehicle without posted notice or the consent of the registered owner may be effected when: ³

- The property belongs to and is obviously a part of a single-family residence;
- When notice is personally given to the owner or other legally authorized person in control of the
 vehicle or vessel that the area in which that vehicle or vessel is parked is reserved or otherwise
 unavailable for unauthorized vehicles or vessels and that the vehicle or vessel is subject to
 being removed at the owner's or operator's expense;

DATE: 3/21/2014

¹ Section 715.07(2), F.S.

² Section 715.07(2)(a)5., F.S.

³ Section 715.07(2)(a)5., F.S. STORAGE NAME: h0617b,CJS.DOCX

- The vehicle or vessel is parked in such a manner that restricts the normal operation of business;
- If a vehicle or vessel parked on a public right-of way obstructs access to a private driveway.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill provides an additional exception for towing a vehicle or vessel without the posted notice requirements. It provides that the owner, lessee, or agent of the owner or lessee of real property may have a vehicle or vessel that has been parked without permission on private property for a period exceeding 10 days removed by a towing company. The owner must provide the towing company with a signed order that the vehicle or vessel be removed without a posted tow-away zone sign. The 10-day period does not begin until a notice that the vehicle or vessel will be removed from the property is attached to the vehicle or vessel with adhesive material. The notice must:

- Be at least 8 1/2 by 11 inches in size;
- Be attached to the vehicle's windshield or, in the case of a vessel, to the registration number on the left side; and
- Clearly indicate the date posted; and clearly indicate in bold letters that the vehicle or vessel will be towed or removed 10 days from the posted date.

The bill further specifies that towing without the consent provisions of s. 715.07, F.S., applies to the designated representative of the cooperative association if the real property is a cooperative, or the designated representative of the homeowners' association if the real property is owned by a homeowners' association.

The bill makes other technical and grammatical changes to the statute.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 amends s. 715.07, F.S., relating to vehicles or vessels parked on private property; towing.

Section 2 provides that the act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on state revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on state expenditures.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The added exemption may provide private property owners with greater ease in having abandoned vehicles towed from their properties. Owners and lessees of real property could avoid the cost of posting tow-away zone signage when a vehicle or vessel has been parked or stored on the property for more than 10 days.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill does not appear to create a need for rulemaking or rulemaking authority.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

There may be concern from the towing industry about liability incurred regarding the verifiability that the notice has in fact been attached to a vehicle for 10 days.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

On March 18, 2014, the Transportation & Highway Safety Subcommittee adopted two amendments to HB 617 and reported the bill favorably as a committee substitute. The amendments provided:

- The 10 day period after which the owner or lessee, or agent of the owner or lessee, of the real property may have the vehicle or vessel removed without tow-away zone signage does not begin until a notice that the vehicle or vessel will be removed from the property is attached to the vehicle or vessel with adhesive material; and
- The towing without consent provisions of s. 715.07, F.S., apply to the designated representative of the cooperative association if the real property is a cooperative, or the designated representative of the homeowners' association if the real property is owned by a homeowners' association.

This analysis is drafted to the committee substitute as reported by the Transportation & Highway Safety Subcommittee.

STORAGE NAME: h0617b,CJS,DOCX

DATE: 3/21/2014

1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to towing of vehicles and vessels;
3	amending s. 715.07, F.S.; providing for removal of a
4	vehicle or vessel by a cooperative association or a
5	homeowners' association; authorizing an owner or
6	lessee of real property to have a vehicle or vessel
7	removed from the property without certain signage
8	under certain circumstances; requiring a notice to be
9	attached to the vehicle or vessel and providing
10	requirements therefor; providing an effective date.
11	
12	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
13	
14	Section 1. Section 715.07, Florida Statutes, is amended to
15	read:
16	715.07 Vehicles or vessels parked on private property;
17	towing.—
18	(1) As used in this section, the term:
19	(a) "Vehicle" means \underline{a} any mobile item \underline{that} which normally
20	uses wheels, whether motorized or not.
21	(b) "Vessel" means every description of watercraft, barge,
22	and airboat used or capable of being used as a means of
23	transportation on water, other than a seaplane or a "documented
24	vessel" as defined in s. 327.02(9).
25	(2) The owner or lessee of real property, or \underline{a} any person
26	authorized by the owner or lessee, which person may be the

Page 1 of 10

designated representative of the condominium association if the real property is a condominium, the designated representative of the cooperative association if the real property is a cooperative, or the designated representative of the homeowners' association if the real property is owned by a homeowners' association, may cause a any vehicle or vessel parked on such property without her or his permission to be removed by a person regularly engaged in the business of towing vehicles or vessels, without liability for the costs of removal, transportation, or storage or damages caused by such removal, transportation, or storage, under any of the following circumstances:

- (a) The towing or removal of \underline{a} any vehicle or vessel from private property without the consent of the registered owner or other legally authorized person in control of that vehicle or vessel is subject to strict compliance with the following conditions and restrictions:
- 1.a. A Any towed or removed vehicle or vessel must be stored at a site within a 10-mile radius of the point of removal in a any county with a population of 500,000 population or more or, and within a 15-mile radius of the point of removal in a any county with a population of less than 500,000 population. That site must be open for the purpose of redemption of vehicles from 8 a.m. to 6 p.m. on any day that the person or firm towing such vehicle or vessel is open for towing purposes, from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., and, when closed, shall have prominently posted a sign indicating a telephone number where the operator of the

Page 2 of 10

site can be reached at all times. Upon receipt of a telephoned request to open the site to redeem a vehicle or vessel, the operator <u>must shall</u> return to the site within 1 hour or she or he will be in violation of this section.

- b. If no towing business providing such service is located within the area of towing limitations <u>under</u> set forth in subsubparagraph a., the following limitations apply: <u>a any</u> towed or removed vehicle or vessel must be stored at a site within a 20-mile radius of the point of removal in <u>a any</u> county <u>with a population</u> of 500,000 <u>population</u> or more <u>or</u>, and within a 30-mile radius of the point of removal in <u>a any</u> county <u>with a population</u> of less than 500,000 population.
- 2. Within 30 minutes after completion of the towing or removal, the person or firm that towed or removed towing or removing the vehicle or vessel must shall, within 30 minutes after completion of such towing or removal, notify the municipal police department or, in an unincorporated area, the sheriff, of: the such towing or removal; the storage site; the time the vehicle or vessel was towed or removed; and the make, model, color, and license plate number of the vehicle or description and registration number of the vessel. The person or firm and shall note on the trip record obtain the name of the person at that department to whom such information was reported and note that name on the trip record.
- 3. A person in the process of towing or removing a vehicle or vessel from the premises or parking lot in which the vehicle

Page 3 of 10

79l

or vessel is not lawfully parked must stop when a person seeks the return of the vehicle or vessel. The vehicle or vessel must be returned upon the payment of a reasonable service fee of not more than one-half of the posted rate for the towing or removal service as provided in subparagraph 7. 6. The vehicle or vessel may be towed or removed if, after a reasonable opportunity, the owner or legally authorized person in control of the vehicle or vessel is unable to pay the service fee. If the vehicle or vessel is redeemed, a detailed signed receipt must be given to the person redeeming the vehicle or vessel.

- 4. A person may not pay or accept money or other valuable consideration for the privilege of towing or removing vehicles or vessels from a particular location.
- 5. Except when the for property is appurtenant to and obviously a part of a single-family residence or, and except for instances when notice is personally given to the owner or other legally authorized person in control of the vehicle or vessel that the area in which that vehicle or vessel is parked is reserved or otherwise unavailable for unauthorized vehicles or vessels and that the vehicle or vessel is subject to being removed at the owner's or operator's expense, before towing or removing a vehicle or vessel from private property without the consent of the owner or other legally authorized person in control of that vehicle or vessel, a any property owner or lessee, or person authorized by the property owner or lessee, prior to towing or removing any vehicle or vessel from private

Page 4 of 10

CS/HB 617 2014

property without the consent of the owner or other legally authorized person in control of that vehicle or vessel, must post a notice subject to meeting the following requirements:

The notice must:

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

- Be prominently placed at each driveway access or curb (I) cut allowing vehicular access to the property, within 5 feet from the public right-of-way line. If there are no curbs or access barriers, the signs must be posted not less than one sign for each 25 feet of lot frontage.
- (II) b. The notice must Clearly indicate, in not less than 2-inch high, light-reflective letters on a contrasting background, that unauthorized vehicles will be towed away at the owner's expense. The words "tow-away zone" must be included on the sign in not less than 4-inch high letters.
- (III) c. The notice must also Provide the name and current telephone number of the person or firm towing or removing the vehicles or vessels.
- b.d. The sign structure containing the required notices must be permanently installed with the words "tow-away zone" at least not less than 3 feet but no and not more than 6 feet above ground level and must be continuously maintained on the property for at least not less than 24 hours before prior to the towing or removing a vehicle or vessel removal of any vehicles or vessels.
- The local government may require permitting and inspection of such these signs before prior to any towing or

Page 5 of 10

removing a vehicle or vessel is removal of vehicles or vessels being authorized.

- c.f. A business with 20 or fewer parking spaces satisfies the notice requirements of this subparagraph by prominently displaying a sign stating "Reserved Parking for Customers Only Unauthorized Vehicles or Vessels Will be Towed Away At the Owner's Expense" in not less than 4-inch high, light-reflective letters on a contrasting background.
- $\underline{\text{d.g.}}$ A property owner towing or removing vessels from real property must post notice, consistent with the requirements in sub-subparagraphs $\underline{\text{a.-c.}}$ $\underline{\text{a.-f.}}$, which apply to vehicles, that unauthorized vehicles or vessels will be towed away at the owner's expense.
- 6. Notwithstanding subparagraph 5., a business owner or lessee may authorize the removal of a vehicle or vessel by a towing company when a the vehicle or vessel is parked in such a manner that restricts the normal operation of business; is and if a vehicle or vessel parked on a public right-of-way in a manner that obstructs access to a private driveway; or has been parked or stored on private property for a period exceeding 10 days, the owner or, lessee, or agent of the owner or lessee, of the real property may have the vehicle or vessel removed by a towing company upon signing an order that the vehicle or vessel be removed without a posted tow-away zone sign. However, the 10-day period after which the owner or lessee, or agent of the owner or lessee, of the real property may have the vehicle or

Page 6 of 10

vessel removed without tow-away zone signage does not begin until such owner, lessee, or agent attaches to the vehicle or vessel with adhesive material a notice that the vehicle or vessel will be towed or removed from the property. The notice must:

157 l

- a. In the case of a vehicle, be attached to the vehicle's windshield.
- b. In the case of a vessel, be attached adjacent to the vessel registration number on the left or port side of the vessel.
 - c. Be at least 8 1/2 inches by 11 inches in size.
- d. Clearly indicate the date on which the notice was posted.
- e. Clearly indicate in bold letters that the vehicle or vessel will be towed or removed from the real property 10 days after the date on which the notice was posted.
- 7.6. A Any person or firm that tows or removes vehicles or vessels and proposes to require an owner, operator, or person in control of a vehicle or vessel to pay the costs of towing and storage before prior to redemption of the vehicle or vessel must file and keep on record with the local law enforcement agency a complete copy of the current rates to be charged for such services and post at the storage site an identical rate schedule and any written contracts with property owners, lessees, or persons in control of property which authorize such person or firm to remove vehicles or vessels as provided in this section.

Page 7 of 10

8.7. A Any person or firm towing or removing any vehicles or vessels from private property without the consent of the owner or other legally authorized person in control of the vehicles or vessels shall, on any trucks, wreckers as defined in s. 713.78(1)(c), or other vehicles used in the towing or removal, have the name, address, and telephone number of the company performing such service clearly printed in contrasting colors on the driver and passenger sides of the vehicle. The name shall be in at least 3-inch, permanently affixed letters, and the address and telephone number shall be in at least 1-inch, permanently affixed letters.

9.8. Vehicle entry for the purpose of removing the vehicle or vessel shall be allowed with reasonable care on the part of the person or firm towing the vehicle or vessel. Such person or firm shall be liable for any damage occasioned to the vehicle or vessel if such entry is not in accordance with the standard of reasonable care.

10.9. When a vehicle or vessel has been towed or removed pursuant to this section, it must be released to its owner or custodian within 1 one hour after requested. A Any vehicle or vessel owner or agent of the owner may shall have the right to inspect the vehicle or vessel before accepting its return. Ar and no release or waiver of any kind which would release the person or firm towing the vehicle or vessel from liability for damages noted by the owner or other legally authorized person at the time of the redemption may not be required from a any

Page 8 of 10

vehicle or vessel owner <u>or</u> custodian, or agent <u>of the owner or custodian</u> as a condition of release of the vehicle or vessel to its owner. A detailed, signed receipt showing the legal name of the company or person towing or removing the vehicle or vessel must be given to the person paying towing or storage charges at the time of payment, whether requested or not.

209l

- (b) The These requirements of this subsection are minimum standards and do not preclude enactment of additional regulations by a any municipality or county including the right to regulate rates when vehicles or vessels are towed from private property.
- (3) This section does not apply to law enforcement, firefighting, rescue squad, ambulance, or other emergency vehicles or vessels that are marked as such or to property owned by a any governmental entity.
- (4) When a person improperly causes a vehicle or vessel to be removed, such person shall be liable to the owner or lessee of the vehicle or vessel for the cost of removal, transportation, and storage; any damages resulting from the removal, transportation, or storage of the vehicle or vessel; attorney's fees; and court costs.
- (5)(a) A Any person who violates subparagraph (2)(a)2. or subparagraph (2)(a)7. (2)(a)6. commits a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082 or s. 775.083.
 - (b) $\underline{\underline{A}}$ Any person who violates subparagraph (2)(a)1.,

Page 9 of 10

235	subparagraph (2)(a)3., subparagraph (2)(a)4., subparagraph
236	(2)(a)8. $(2)(a)7.$, or subparagraph $(2)(a)10.$ $(2)(a)9.$ commits a
237	felony of the third degree, punishable as provided in s.
238	775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.
239	Section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 10 of 10

CODING: Words $\underline{\text{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\text{underlined}}$ are additions.



Bill No. CS/HB 617 (2014)

Amendment No. 1

	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION				
	ADOPTED (Y/N)				
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)				
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)				
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)				
	WITHDRAWN (Y/N)				
	OTHER				
1	Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee				
2	Representative Wood offered the following:				
3					
4	Amendment				
5	Remove line 172 and insert:				
6	after the date on which the notice was posted, or date received				
7	by the proposed towing company, whichever is later.				
8	f. Be provided simultaneously by any means designed to				
9	create a dated transmittal to the proposed towing company.				
10	g. Provide the name and phone number of the proposed				
11	towing company.				
12					
12					

13

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

ACTION

BILL #:

HB 781

Legal Notices

SPONSOR(S): Powell

REFERENCE

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

CS/SB 834

ANALYST

STAFF DIRECTOR or

BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF

1) Civil Justice Subcommittee

Bond

2) Judiciary Committee

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The publication of legal notices in newspapers is a long established practice for giving notice to the general public of matters such as public sales, pending estates, or businesses' fictitious names, and for service of process upon absent, unknown, or unreachable parties to an action. In most civil cases, when it is required, notice must be published in a newspaper in the county where the lawsuit is filed once a week for four consecutive weeks. Current law provides that a newspaper's website must include the same legal notices that appear in print. A newspaper's legal notice webpage must be clearly titled and free of charge. The Florida Press Association maintains a statewide website for legal notices as a repository for all published notices. The bill:

- Adds that legal notices must be posted on the date that the printed newspaper notice appears in a separate web page title "Legal Advertisements;"
- Provides that each Clerk of Court may, but is not required to, provide a web link to legal notices published on a newspaper's website:
- Provides that no fee may be charged nor may registration be required for viewing or searching legal notices on the statewide site:
- Requires that a legal notice placed on the statewide website must be searchable by party or case number, be posted for 90 days, and retained for 18 months;
- Provides that in the event of a difference between the newspaper publication and the electronic publication, the newspaper publication will be controlling for purposes of determining whether legal requirements of notice have been met;
- Provides that substantive rights affecting relief from judicial sale, based upon an error or omission in a notice placed in either a newspaper or on the statewide website, are not affected; and
- Provides that the newspaper's web pages that contain legal notices must present the legal notices as the dominant and leading subject matter of those pages.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on the state or local governments.

The bill is effective October 1, 2014.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME: h0781.CJS.DOCX

DATE: 3/20/2014

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The publication of legal notices in newspapers is a long established practice. Legal notices and publication in newspapers occur for a variety of cases, such as including notice of a proposed government action,¹ or when a plaintiff has not been able to serve a defendant.² Other examples of legal notices include registration of a fictitious name, ³ notice to creditors⁴ or notice of unclaimed property⁵ in a probate estate, In general, laws addressing constructive service of process by publication are located in ch. 49, F.S., while the laws governing how publication is effected are in ch. 50, F.S.

In civil cases requiring it, publication of a legal notice must be made in a newspaper in the county where the action is filed. All legal notices, unless otherwise specified, are published once a week for four consecutive weeks.⁶ Foreclosure proceedings are published once a week for two weeks.⁷ Publication must be in a newspaper that is printed and published at least once a week and that contains at least 25 percent of its words in the English language.⁸ The newspaper must qualify or be entered to qualify as a periodical at the post office in the county where it is published, and be generally available to the public for the purpose of publication of notices.⁹

Legal notices must be placed on a newspaper's website on the same day the notice appears in print and the front page of a newspaper's website must have a link to the legal notices webpage. ¹⁰ The legal notices webpage must be searchable and free to the public. ¹¹ Fees for placement of official notice and legal advertisement are set forth in the statutes. ¹²

A newspaper is also required to place a legal notice on a statewide website maintained by the Florida Press Association.¹³ Any error in the legal notice published on a newspaper's webpage or the statewide website is considered harmless if the printed legal notice was correct.¹⁴

The bill:

- Adds that legal notices must be posted on the date that the printed newspaper notice appears in a separate web page title "Legal Advertisements;"
- Provides that each Clerk of Court may, but is not required to, provide a web link to legal notices published on a newspaper's website;
- Provides that no fee may be charged nor may registration be required for viewing or searching legal notices on the statewide site;
- Requires the legal notice placed on the statewide website to:
 - Be accessible and searchable by party name(s) and case number;

¹ See, eg., s. 45.031(2), F.S.

² Section 49.021, F.S.

³ Section 865.09 (3), F.S.

Section 733.702(1), F.S.

⁵ Section 733.816(1)(b), F.S.

⁶ Section 49.10(1)(a), F.S.

⁷ Section 49.10(1)(c), F.S.

⁸ Section 50.011, F.S.

⁹ Id.

¹⁰ Section 50.0211(2) and (3), F.S.

¹¹ Section 50.0211(2), F.S.

¹² Section 50.061, F.S.

¹³ Section 50.0211(3), F.S.

¹⁴ Section 50.0211(5), F.S.

- Be posted for a period of at least 90 consecutive days following the first day of posting publication, and
- Be maintained in a searchable archive on the website for 18 months;
- Provides that in the event of a difference between the newspaper publication and the electronic publication, the newspaper publication will be controlling for purposes of determining whether legal requirements of notice have been met;
- Provides that substantive rights affecting relief from judicial sale, and based upon an error or omission in a notice placed in either a newspaper or on the statewide website, shall not be affected; and
- Provides that the newspaper's web pages that contain legal notices shall present the legal notices as the dominant and leading subject matter of those pages.

The proposed changes to s. 50.061, F.S., clarify payment language without a change in substance.

The bill provides an effective date of October 1, 2014.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 amends s. 50.0211, F.S., relating to internet website publication.

Section 2 amends s. 50.061, F.S., relating to amounts chargeable.

Section 3 provides an effective date of October 1, 2014.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on state revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on state expenditures.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The proposed changes to ss. 50.0211 and 50.061, F.S., may have a minimal fiscal impact upon the newspaper industry. The industry will be required to provide a searchable database of legal notices at no cost to the public and at no additional cost to those parties who seek the legal publications. The Florida Press Association estimates that the cost associated with making changes to their website to conform to this bill is \$3,600, but may increase. The Florida Press Association did not have an estimate

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to legal notices; amending s. 50.0211, F.S.; revising the period for which website legal notices are required to be published; authorizes clerks of court to provide links to legal notices web pages; specifying that no viewing or registration fee may be charged for viewing online legal notices published in a newspaper; requiring that website legal notices be archived for a specified period; requiring that legal notices placed on the statewide website must be accurate; amending s. 50.061, F.S.; clarifying payment provisions; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 50.0211, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

50.0211 Internet website publication.-

- (1) This section applies to legal notices that must be published in accordance with this chapter unless otherwise specified.
- (2) Each legal notice must be posted placed on the newspaper's website on the same day that the printed notice appears in the newspaper, at no additional charge, in a separate web page titled "Legal Advertisements." A link to the legal notices web page shall be provided on the front page of the

Page 1 of 4

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

4142

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

newspaper's website that provides access to the legal notices without charge. A clerk of court may provide a link to a newspaper's legal notices web page but is not required to do so. Furthermore, a clerk of court is not required to provide a link to any newspaper that publishes legal notices if the clerk's web page where such links are posted identifies the links as a nonexhaustive list of links to legal notices. If there is a specified size and placement required for a printed legal notice, the size and placement of the notice on the newspaper's website should optimize its online visibility in keeping with the print requirements. The newspaper's web pages that contain legal notices shall present the legal notices as the dominant and leading subject matter of those pages. The newspaper's website shall contain a search function to facilitate searching the legal notices. A fee may not be charged, and registration may not be required, for viewing or searching legal notices on a newspaper's website if the legal notice was published in that newspaper. This subsection shall take effect July 1, 2013.

- (3) (a) If a legal notice is published in a newspaper, the newspaper publishing the notice shall place the notice on the website established and maintained as an initiative of the Florida Press Association as a repository for such notices located at the following address: www.floridapublicnotices.com.
- (b) A legal notice placed on the statewide website created under this subsection must be:
 - 1. Accessible and searchable by party name and case Page 2 of 4

number.

53 l

- 2. Posted for a period of at least 90 consecutive days after the first day of posting.
- (c) The statewide website created under this subsection shall maintain a searchable archive of all legal notices posted on the publicly accessible website on or after October 1, 2014, for 18 months after the first day of posting. This searchable archive shall be provided and accessible to the general public without charge.
- (4) Newspapers that publish legal notices shall, upon request, provide e-mail notification of new legal notices when they are printed in the newspaper and added to the newspaper's website. Such e-mail notification shall be provided without charge, and notification for such an e-mail registry shall be available on the front page of the legal notices section of the newspaper's website. This subsection shall take effect July 1, 2013.
- notice printed in a newspaper and the version posted on the statewide website, the version printed in the newspaper shall be used for purposes of meeting legal requirements. However, this subsection does not affect the right of a person to relief from a judicial sale based upon an error or omission in a legal notice printed in a newspaper or posted on the statewide website An error in the notice placed on the newspaper or statewide website shall be considered a harmless error and proper legal

Page 3 of 4

notice requirements shall be considered met if the notice published in the newspaper is correct.

Section 2. Subsections (2) and (3) of section 50.061, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

50.061 Amounts chargeable.-

- (2) The charge for publishing each such official public notice or legal advertisement shall be 70 cents per square inch for the first insertion and 40 cents per square inch for each subsequent insertion, except that government notices required to be published more than once, the cost of which whose cost is paid for by the government and not paid in advance by or allowed to be recouped from private parties, may not be charged for the second and successive insertions at a rate greater than 85 percent of the original rate.
- per square inch of the newspaper publishing such official public notices or legal advertisements is in excess of the rate herein stipulated, said minimum commercial rate per square inch may be charged for all such legal advertisements or official public notices for each insertion, except that government notices required to be published more than once, the cost of which whose cost is paid for by the government and not paid in advance by or allowed to be recouped from private parties, may not be charged for the second and successive insertions at a rate greater than 85 percent of the original rate.
 - Section 3. This act shall take effect October 1, 2014.

Page 4 of 4



Bill No. HB 781

(2014)

Amendment No. 1

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE	ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee Representative Powell offered the following:

Amendment (with title amendment)

Remove lines 25-77 and insert:

web page titled "Legal Notices," "Legal Advertising," or comparable identifying language. A link to the legal notices web page shall be provided on the front page of the newspaper's website that provides access to the legal notices without charge. If there is a specified size and placement required for a printed legal notice, the size and placement of the notice on the newspaper's website must should optimize its online visibility in keeping with the print requirements. The newspaper's web pages that contain legal notices must shall present the legal notices as the dominant and leading subject matter of those pages. The newspaper's website must shall contain a search function to facilitate searching the legal

819499 - h0781-line0025.docx

Published On: 3/24/2014 5:50:11 PM



Bill No. HB 781 (2014)

Amendment No. 1

notices. A fee may not be charged, and registration may not be required, for viewing or searching legal notices on a newspaper's website if the legal notice is published in a newspaper This subsection shall take effect July 1, 2013.

- (3) (a) If a legal notice is published in a newspaper, the newspaper publishing the notice shall place the notice on the statewide website established and maintained as an initiative of the Florida Press Association as a repository for such notices located at the following address: www.floridapublicnotices.com.
- (b) A legal notice placed on the statewide website created under this subsection must be:
- 1. Accessible and searchable by party name and case number.
- 2. Posted for a period of at least 90 consecutive days after the first day of posting.
- (c) The statewide website created under this subsection shall maintain a searchable archive of all legal notices posted on the publicly accessible website on or after October 1, 2014, for 18 months after the first day of posting. Such searchable archive shall be provided and accessible to the general public without charge.
- (4) Newspapers that publish legal notices shall, upon request, provide e-mail notification of new legal notices when they are printed in the newspaper and added to the newspaper's website. Such e-mail notification shall be provided without charge, and notification for such an e-mail registry shall be

819499 - h0781-line0025.docx

Published On: 3/24/2014 5:50:11 PM



Bill No. HB 781 (2014)

Amendment No. 1

available on the front page of the legal notices section of the newspaper's website. This subsection shall take effect July 1, 2013.

(5) An error in the notice placed on the newspaper or statewide

TITLE AMENDMENT

Remove lines 3-11 and insert:

F.S.; requiring legal notices to be posted on a newspaper's website on web pages with specified titles; prohibiting charging a fee or requiring registration for viewing online legal notices; establishing the period for which legal notices are required to be published on the statewide website; requiring that legal notices be archived on the statewide website for a specified period; deleting a provision relating to harmless error; amending s. 50.061, F.S.; clarifying

819499 - h0781-line0025.docx

Published On: 3/24/2014 5:50:11 PM

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 1397

Florida Uniform Collaborative Law Act

SPONSOR(S): La Rosa

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1190

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Civil Justice Subcommittee		Cary JM	Bond YIB
2) Judiciary Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The Uniform Law Commission (ULC) provides model statutes that are designed to be consistent from state to state. The ULC develops model statutes in many different areas of law to create uniformity in the law between jurisdictions. One such model statute is the Uniform Collaborative Law Act of 2009 (amended in 2010), which regulates the use of collaborative law, a form of alternative dispute resolution.

Collaborative law is a non-adversarial alternative dispute resolution concept that, similar to mediation, promotes problem-solving and solutions in lieu of litigation. Collaborative law is entirely voluntary, and counsel retained for the purpose of collaborative law is only to be used in the collaborative law process. Should litigation ensue because the collaborative law process partially or completely failed to resolve the issues, the parties are required to retain different attorneys for litigation. The process is intended to promote full and open disclosure. The concept requires extensive confidentiality and privileges to be created by statute, while the courts must develop rules of practice and procedure to conform.

The bill creates the Florida Uniform Collaborative Law Act. The bill does not actually create a collaborative law process in Florida. Rather, it provides a framework that will become effective should the Supreme Court of Florida promulgate rules to enact a collaborative law process in Florida. The bill primarily serves to provide the necessary statutory privileges and confidentiality of communications required for the collaborative law process.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local government.

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2014.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background

The Uniform Law Commission (ULC) provides model statutes that are designed to be consistent from state to state. Florida's commissioners to the ULC are appointed to 4-year terms by the Governor and confirmed by the Senate. The ULC develops model statutes in many different areas of law to create uniformity in the law between jurisdictions.

One such model statute is the Uniform Collaborative Law Act of 2009 (amended in 2010), which regulates the use of collaborative law, a form of alternative dispute resolution. According to the ULC:

At its core Collaborative Law is a voluntary dispute-resolution process in which clients agree that, with respect to a particular matter in dispute, their named counsel will represent them solely for purposes of negotiation, and, if the matter is not settled out of court that new counsel will be retained for purposes of litigation. The parties and their lawyers work together to find an equitable resolution of a dispute, retaining experts as necessary. The process is intended to promote full and open disclosure, and, as is the case in mediation, information disclosed in a collaborative process is privileged against use in any subsequent litigation.

Collaborative Law is currently being practiced in all American jurisdictions as well as in a number of foreign countries. In the U.S., Collaborative Law is governed by a patchwork of state laws, state Supreme Court rules, local rules, and ethic opinions. The Uniform Collaborative Law Rules/Act ("UCLR/A") is intended to create a uniform national framework for the use of Collaborative Law—one which includes important consumer protections and enforceable privilege provisions. Collaborative Law under the ULCR/A is strictly voluntary. Attorneys are not required to offer collaborative services, and parties cannot be compelled to participate.²

Seven states³ plus Washington, D.C., have enacted the Uniform Collaborative Law Act, while bills are pending in six other states.⁴

Florida currently recognizes forms of alternative dispute resolution and is considered a leader among states in that regard.⁵ Florida public policy favors arbitration⁶ and "mediation and settlement of family law disputes is highly favored in Florida law."⁷

Collaborative law is a non-adversarial alternative dispute resolution concept similar to mediation, to promote problem-solving and solutions in lieu of litigation. Collaborative law is entirely voluntary, and counsel retained for the purpose of collaborative law is only to be used in the collaborative law process. Should litigation ensue because the collaborative law process partially or completely failed to resolve

¹ Section 11.249, F.S.

² Uniform Law Commission, Uniform Collaborative Law Rules/Act Short Summary. Found at http://www.uniformlaws.org/Shared/Docs/Collaborative_Law/UCLA%20Short%20Summary.pdf (last viewed March 20, 2014).

³ Washington, Nevada, Utah, Texas, Hawaii, Alabama, and Ohio.

⁴ Illinois, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Jersey, Oklahoma, and South Carolina.

⁵ Fran L. Tetunic, *Demystifying Florida Mediator Ethics: the Good, the Bad, and the Unseemly*, 32 Nova L. Rev. 205, 244 (Fall, 2007).

⁶ Shotts v. OP Winter Haven, Inc., 86 So.3d 456 (Fla. 2011).

⁷ Griffith v. Griffith, 860 So.2d 1069, 1073 (Fla. 1st DCA 2003).

the issues, the parties are required to retain different attorneys for litigation. The process is intended to promote full and open disclosure, so extensive confidentiality and privileges are created by statute, while the courts develop rules of practice and procedure.⁸

Effect of the Bill

The bill creates s. 90.5022(1), F.S., the Florida Uniform Collaborative Law Act and s. 90.5022(2), F.S., to provide definitions. The bill does not actually create a collaborative law process in Florida. Rather, it provides a framework that will become effective should the Supreme Court of Florida promulgate rules in accordance with Section 3 of the bill. More specifically, the bill becomes effective 30 days after the Supreme Court approves and publishes Rules of Professional Conduct, governing:

- Required elements of a collaborative law participation agreement;
- The mandatory disqualification of a collaborative attorney and other attorneys in the same firm from appearing before a tribunal in a proceeding relating to the same matter as the collaborative law matter;
- Limited exemptions to mandatory disqualification to seek emergency orders in certain limited circumstances;
- A mandate for timely, full, candid, and informal disclosure of information without formal discovery; and
- Required assessment of the appropriateness of collaborative law in a given situation and disclosure of risk and benefits to the client.

and approves and publishes Family Law Rules of Procedure, governing:

- The commencement, conclusion, and termination of the collaborative law process;
- The stay of ongoing proceedings upon referral to a collaborative law process and related status reports;
- The issuance of emergency orders by a court;
- Approval of collaborative law agreements by a court; and
- Procedures for identifying and addressing violent or coercive relationships and, where appropriate, not using collaborative law in those contexts.

The Legislature may not create rules or procedures relating to litigation, as this would violate the separation of powers and the Court's exclusive right to "adopt rules for the practice and procedure in all courts . . ." However, should the Court decide to promulgate rules consistent with this bill and the uniform act, this bill provides substantive privileges and confidentiality for parties and nonparties involved in a collaborative law process. See the Constitutional Issues section below for a more detailed discussion.

Confidentiality of Collaborative Law Communication

The bill creates s. 90.5022(3), F.S., to provide that a collaborative law communication is confidential to the extent agreed upon by the parties in a signed record or as otherwise provided by law. This provision would appear to allow the parties broad authority over confidentiality of communications relating to the collaborative law process.

Privilege Against Disclosure for Collaborative Law Communications

The bill creates s. 90.5022(4), F.S., to provide a privilege against disclosure for collaborative law communications, within limits provided in the bill. A collaborative law communication is not subject to

Art. V, s. 2, FLA. CONST.

STORAGE NAME: h1397.CJS.DOCX

DATE: 3/21/2014

⁸ See the Uniform Law Commission Collaborative Law Summary website for more information at http://www.uniformlaws.org/ActSummary.aspx?title=Collaborative Law Act (last viewed March 20, 2014).

discovery or admissible in evidence in a proceeding before a tribunal. Each party (including a party's attorney during the collaborative law process) has a privilege to refuse to disclose a collaborative law communication, and to prevent any other person from disclosing a communication. A nonparty to the collaborative law process (which is anybody other than the party or the party's attorney, in this context) may also refuse to disclose any communication or may prevent any other person from disclosing the nonparty's communication. Therefore, a party has an absolute privilege as to all communications, while the nonparty has a privilege for his or her own communications. However, evidence that would otherwise be admissible does not become inadmissible or protected from discovery solely because it may have been a communication during a collaborative law process. The privilege does not apply if the parties agree in advance in a signed record or if all parties agree in a proceeding that all or part of a collaborative law process is not privileged, as long as the parties had actual notice before the communication was made.

Waiver and Preclusion of Privilege

The bill creates s. 90.5022(5), F.S., to provide that a privilege may be expressly waived either orally or in writing during a proceeding if all the parties agree. If a nonparty has a privilege, the nonparty must also agree to waive the privilege. However, if a person makes a disclosure or representation about a collaborative law communication that prejudices another person during a proceeding before a tribunal, that person may not assert a privilege to the extent that it is necessary for the prejudiced person to respond.

Limits of Privilege

The bill creates s. 90.5022(6), F.S., to provide that a privilege does not apply to a collaborative law communication that is:

- Available to the public under Florida's Public Records statutes in ch. 119, F.S.;
- Made during a collaborative law session that is open to the public or required by law to be open to the public;
- A threat or statement of a plan to inflict bodily injury or commit a crime of violence;
- Intentionally used to plan or commit a crime, or conceal an ongoing crime or ongoing criminal activity; or
- In an agreement resulting from the collaborative process if there is a record memorializing the agreement, signed by all of the parties.

A privilege does not apply to the extent that the communication is sought or offered to prove or disprove:

- A claim or complaint of professional misconduct or malpractice arising from or related to a collaborative law process; or
- Abuse, neglect, abandonment, or exploitation of a child or adult, unless the Florida Department of Children and Families is a party or otherwise participates in the collaborative law process.

Only the portion of the communication needed for proof or disproof may be disclosed or admitted.

There are other limited circumstances where a privilege does not apply that requires the discretion of the judge or tribunal (hereinafter, judge). A party seeking discovery or a proponent of certain evidence may show that the evidence is not otherwise available, the need for the evidence substantially outweighs the interest in protecting confidentiality, and the communication is either in a court proceeding involving a felony or a proceeding seeking rescission or reformation of a contract arising out of the collaborative law process or where a defense is asserted to avoid liability on the contract. Only the portion of the communication needed for evidence may be disclosed or admitted.

STORAGE NAME: h1397.CJS.DOCX

DATE: 3/21/2014

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 contains legislative findings and declarations.

Section 2 creates s. 90.5022, F.S., relating to collaborative law communications privilege.

Section 3 directs that the portions of the bill containing privileges is not effective until 30 days after approval and publication of rules by the Supreme Court.

Section 4 contains an effective date of July 1, 2014, except as provided in Section 3.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on state revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on state expenditures.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The bill does not appear to have any direct economic impact on the private sector.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

Article V, s. 2 of the Florida Constitution provides the Supreme Court with rulemaking authority for practice and procedure in all courts. This bill appears to present the Court with the opportunity to make rules to carry out the purpose of the bill. The bill does not direct the Court to make rules. The privileges and confidentiality portions of the bill appear to be substantive as they create rights that do not currently exist in the law.

STORAGE NAME: h1397.CJS.DOCX DATE: 3/21/2014

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill does not appear to create a need for rulemaking or rulemaking authority, as rulemaking authority is an inherent power of the Supreme Court of Florida under art. V, s. 2 of the Florida Constitution. However, the bill does appear to "invite" the court to create rules to carry out the purpose of the bill by enacting a collaborative law process.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

Lines 109-112 of the bill appear to allow the parties broad authority over confidentiality of communications relating to the collaborative law process. This subsection could be interpreted as to allow parties to contract privileges that would bar certain testimony from court, even where the party could currently waive the privilege.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

n/a

STORAGE NAME: h1397.CJS.DOCX DATE: 3/21/2014

HB 1397 2014

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to the Florida Uniform Collaborative

Law Act; providing legislative findings and purpose;

Law Act; providing legislative findings and purpose; creating s. 90.5022, F.S.; providing a short title; providing definitions; providing for confidentiality of communications made during the collaborative process and related privilege against disclosure; providing exceptions; providing that the effective

date of specified provisions are contingent upon approval and publication of court rules governing

specified subjects; providing effective dates.

12 13

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

14 15

Section 1. The Legislature finds and declares that the purpose of this act is to:

17 18

19

16

(1) Create a uniform system of practice for the collaborative process in proceedings under chapter 61 and chapter 742, Florida Statutes.

2021

(2) Encourage the peaceful resolution of disputes and the early settlement of pending litigation through voluntary settlement procedures.

23

22

(3) Preserve the working relationship between parties to a dispute through a nonadversarial method that reduces the emotional and financial toll of litigation.

2425

26

Section 2. Section 90.5022, Florida Statutes, is created

Page 1 of 10

27	to read:
28	90.5022 Collaborative law communications privilege
29	(1) SHORT TITLE.—This section may be cited as the "Florida
30	Uniform Collaborative Law Act."
31	(2) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section, the term:
32	(a) "Collaborative attorney" means an attorney who
33	represents a party in a collaborative law process.
34	(b) "Collaborative law communication" means a statement,
35	whether oral or in a record, or verbal or nonverbal, that:
36	1. Is made to conduct, participate in, continue, or
37	reconvene a collaborative law process.
38	2. Occurs after the parties sign a collaborative law
39	participation agreement and before the collaborative law process
40	is concluded.
41	(c) "Collaborative law participation agreement" means an
42	agreement by persons to participate in a collaborative law
43	process.
44	(d) "Collaborative law process" means a procedure intended
45	to resolve a collaborative matter without intervention by a
46	tribunal in which persons:
47	1. Sign a collaborative law participation agreement.
48	2. Are represented by collaborative attorneys.
49	(e) "Collaborative matter" means a dispute, transaction,
50	claim, problem, or issue for resolution including a dispute,
51	claim, or issue in a proceeding that is described in a
52	collaborative law participation agreement and arises under

Page 2 of 10

53 l chapter 61 or chapter 742, including, but not limited to: 54 1. Marriage, divorce, dissolution, annulment, and marital 55 property distribution. 56 2. Child custody, visitation, parenting plans, and parenting time. 57 3. Alimony, maintenance, and child support. 58 59 4. Parental relocation with a child. 60 5. Parentage. 61 6. Premarital, marital, and postmarital agreements. (f) "Law firm" means: 62 63 1. Attorneys who practice law together in a partnership, 64 professional corporation, sole proprietorship, limited liability 65 company, or association; or 66 2. Attorneys employed in a legal services organization, 67 the legal department of a corporation or other organization, or 68 the legal department of a government or governmental 69 subdivision, agency, or instrumentality. 70 (g) "Nonparty participant" means a person, other than a 71 party and the party's collaborative attorney, who participates 72 in a collaborative law process. 73 "Party" means a person who signs a collaborative law participation agreement and whose consent is necessary to 74 75 resolve a collaborative matter. 76 (i) "Person" means an individual; corporation; business 77 trust; estate; trust; partnership; limited liability company;

Page 3 of 10

association; joint venture; public corporation; government or

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

78

HB 1397 2014

791 governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality; or any other legal or commercial entity.

(j) "Proceeding" means:

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

102

- 1. A judicial, administrative, arbitral, or other adjudicative process before a tribunal, including related prehearing and posthearing motions, conferences, and discovery; or
 - 2. A legislative hearing or similar process.
- (k) "Prospective party" means a person who discusses with a prospective collaborative attorney the possibility of signing a collaborative law participation agreement.
- (1) "Record" means information that is inscribed on a tangible medium or that is stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form.
- "Related to a collaborative matter" means involving (m) the same parties, transaction or occurrence, nucleus of operative fact, dispute, claim, or issue as the collaborative matter.
- (n) "Sign" means, with present intent to authenticate or adopt a record:
 - 1. To execute or adopt a tangible symbol; or
- 100 2. To attach to or logically associate with the record an 101 electronic symbol, sound, or process.
 - (o) "Tribunal" means:
- 103 1. A court, arbitrator, administrative agency, or other 104 body acting in an adjudicative capacity that, after presentation

Page 4 of 10

102	or evidence of legal argument, has jurisdiction to render a
106	decision affecting a party's interests in a matter; or
107	2. A legislative body conducting a hearing or similar
108	process.
109	(3) CONFIDENTIALITY OF COLLABORATIVE LAW COMMUNICATIONA
110	collaborative law communication is confidential to the extent
111	agreed by the parties in a signed record or as provided by law
112	of this state other than this section.
113	(4) PRIVILEGE AGAINST DISCLOSURE FOR COLLABORATIVE LAW
114	COMMUNICATION; ADMISSIBILITY; DISCOVERY
115	(a) Subject to subsections (5) and (6), a collaborative
116	law communication is privileged under paragraph (b), is not
117	subject to discovery, and is not admissible in evidence.
118	(b) In a proceeding, the following privileges apply:
119	1. A party may refuse to disclose, and may prevent any
120	other person from disclosing, a collaborative law communication.
121	2. A nonparty participant may refuse to disclose, and may
122	prevent any other person from disclosing, a collaborative law
123	communication of the nonparty participant.
124	(c) Evidence or information that is otherwise admissible
125	or subject to discovery does not become inadmissible or
L26	protected from discovery solely because of its disclosure or use
127	in a collaborative law process.
128	(5) WAIVER AND PRECLUSION OF PRIVILEGE.
129	(a) A privilege under subsection (4) may be waived in a
130	record or orally during a proceeding if it is expressly waived

Page 5 of 10

131	by all parties and, in the case of the privilege of a nonparty
132	participant, it is also expressly waived by the nonparty
133	participant.
134	(b) A person who makes a disclosure or representation
135	about a collaborative law communication that prejudices another
136	person in a proceeding may not assert a privilege under
137	subsection (4). This preclusion applies only to the extent
138	necessary for the person prejudiced to respond to the disclosure
139	or representation.
140	(6) LIMITS OF PRIVILEGE
141	(a) A privilege under subsection (4) does not apply for a
142	collaborative law communication that is:
143	1. Available to the public under chapter 119 or made
144	during a session of a collaborative law process that is open, or
145	is required by law to be open, to the public;
146	2. A threat or statement of a plan to inflict bodily
147	injury or commit a crime of violence;
148	3. Intentionally used to plan a crime, commit or attempt
149	to commit a crime, or conceal an ongoing crime or ongoing
150	criminal activity; or
151	4. In an agreement resulting from the collaborative law
152	process, evidenced by a record signed by all parties to the
153	agreement.
154	(b) The privilege under subsection (4) for a collaborative
155	law communication does not apply to the extent that a
156	communication is:

Page 6 of 10

1. Sought or offered to prove or disprove a claim or complaint of professional misconduct or malpractice arising from or related to a collaborative law process; or

- 2. Sought or offered to prove or disprove abuse, neglect, abandonment, or exploitation of a child or adult, unless the Department of Children and Families is a party to or otherwise participates in the process.
- (c) A privilege under subsection (4) does not apply if a tribunal finds, after a hearing in camera, that the party seeking discovery or the proponent of the evidence has shown that the evidence is not otherwise available, the need for the evidence substantially outweighs the interest in protecting confidentiality, and the collaborative law communication is sought or offered in:
 - 1. A court proceeding involving a felony; or
- 2. A proceeding seeking rescission or reformation of a contract arising out of the collaborative law process or in which a defense is asserted to avoid liability on the contract.
- (d) If a collaborative law communication is subject to an exception under paragraph (b) or paragraph (c), only the part of the communication necessary for the application of the exception may be disclosed or admitted.
- (e) Disclosure or admission of evidence excepted from the privilege under paragraph (b) or paragraph (c) does not make the evidence or any other collaborative law communication discoverable or admissible for any other purpose.

Page 7 of 10

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202203

204

205

206

207

208

(f) A privilege under subsection (4) does not apply if the parties agree in advance in a signed record, or if a record of a proceeding reflects agreement by the parties, that all or part of a collaborative law process is not privileged. This subsection does not apply to a collaborative law communication made by a person who did not receive actual notice of the agreement before the communication was made. (7) UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION.—In applying and construing this uniform act, consideration must be given to the need to promote uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it. (8) RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT.-This section modifies, limits, and supersedes the federal Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, 15 U.S.C. ss. 7001 et seq. (2009), but does not modify, limit, or supersede s. 101(c) of that act, 15 U.S.C. s. 7001(c), or authorize electronic delivery of any of the notices described in s. 103(b) of that act, 15 U.S.C. s. 7003(b). Section 3. <u>Subsections (4)</u>, (5), and (6) of s. 90.5022, Florida Statutes, as created by this act, establishing a privilege for collaborative communications, shall not take effect until 30 days after approval and publication by the Supreme Court of: (1) Rules of Professional Conduct, governing:

(a) Required elements of a collaborative law participation

Page 8 of 10

209	agreement.
210	(b) The mandatory disqualification of a collaborative
211	attorney, and attorneys in the same firm, from appearing before
212	a tribunal to represent a party to a collaborative law process.
213	in a proceeding related to the collaborative law matter.
214	(c) Limited exceptions to mandatory disqualification to
215	seek emergency orders for the protection of the health, safety,
216	welfare, or interest of a party until such time as a successor
217	attorney is available and for continued representation of
218	government entities, subject to certain conditions.
219	(d) A mandate for timely, full, candid, and informal
220	disclosure of information related to the collaborative matter
221	without formal discovery and prompt update of materially changed
222	information.
223	(e) Required assessment of the appropriateness of
224	collaborative law under the applicable facts and client
225	disclosure concerning the risks and benefits of collaborative
226	law, including, in particular, the effect of mandatory
227	disqualification.
228	(2) Family Law Rules of Procedure, governing:
229	(a) The commencement, conclusion, and termination of the
230	collaborative law process.

Page 9 of 10

The issuance of emergency orders by a court.

collaborative law process and related status reports.

The stay of ongoing proceedings upon referral to a

Approval of collaborative law agreements by a court.

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

231

232

233

234

(d)

235	(e) Procedures for identifying and addressing violent or
236	coercive relationships and, where appropriate, not using
237	collaborative law in those contexts.
238	Section 4. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this
239	act, this act shall take effect July 1, 2014.

Page 10 of 10



Bill No. HB 1397 (2014)

Amendment No. 1

	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION
	ADOPTED $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ (Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN (Y/N)
	OTHER
1	Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee
2	Representative La Rosa offered the following:
3	
4	Amendment (with title amendment)
5	Remove everything after the enacting clause and insert:
6	Section 1. The Legislature finds and declares that the
7	purpose of this act is to:
8	(1) Create a uniform system of practice of a collaborative
9	law process for proceedings under chapters 61 and 742, Florida
10	Statutes.
11	(2) Encourage the peaceful resolution of disputes and the
12	early settlement of pending litigation through voluntary
13	settlement procedures.
14	(3) Preserve the working relationship between parties to a
15	dispute through a nonadversarial method that reduces the
16	emotional and financial toll of litigation.

154071 - h1397-strike.docx



Bill No. HB 1397 (2014)

Amendment No. 1

Section	2.	Sections	44.51-44	.54	may	be	known	by	the
popular name	the	"Collabor	ative La	w A	ct."				

Section 3. Section 44.51, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

44.51 Purpose.—The general purpose of this part is to create a uniform system of practice for the collaborative law process in this state. It is the policy of this state to encourage the peaceful resolution of disputes and the early settlement of pending litigation through a voluntary settlement process. The collaborative law process is a unique nonadversarial process that preserves a working relationship between the parties and reduces the emotional and financial toll of litigation.

Section 4. Section 44.52, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

- 44.52 Definitions.—As used in this part, the term:
- (1) "Collaborative attorney" means an attorney who represents a party in a collaborative law process.
- (2) "Collaborative law communication" means an oral or written statement, whether in a record, verbal, or nonverbal, which:
- (a) Is made in the conduct of or in the course of participating in, continuing, or reconvening a collaborative law process.

154071 - h1397-strike.docx



Bill No. HB 1397 (2014)

Amendment No. 1

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

61

62

63 64

	(b)	Occurs	after	the p	parties	sign	ı a	collaborat	ive :	<u>law</u>
part:	icipat	ion agr	reement	and	before	the	co]	laborative	law	process
is co	onclud	led.								

- (3) "Collaborative law participation agreement" means an agreement by persons to participate in a collaborative law process.
- (4) "Collaborative law process" means a process intended to resolve a collaborative matter without intervention by a tribunal in which persons sign a collaborative law participation agreement and are represented by collaborative attorneys.
- (5) "Collaborative matter" means a dispute, transaction, claim, problem, or issue for resolution including a dispute, claim, or issue in a proceeding that is described in a collaborative law participation agreement and arises under chapter 61 or chapter 742, including, but not limited to:
- (a) Marriage, divorce, dissolution, annulment, and marital property distribution.
- (b) Child custody, visitation, parenting plans, and parenting time.
 - (c) Alimony, maintenance, and child support.
 - (d) Parental relocation with a child.
 - (e) Parentage.
 - (f) Premarital, marital, and postmarital agreements.
 - (6) "Law firm" means:

154071 - h1397-strike.docx



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT Bill No. HB 1397 (2014)

Amendment No. 1

	<u>(a)</u>	An	atto	rney	or	atto	neys	who	pract	tice	law	<u>in</u>	a
partr	ners.	hip,	prof	essi	onal	corp	orat	ion,	sole	prop	riet	ors	hip,
limit	ed	liabi	ility	comp	any	, or	asso	ciat:	ion;	or			

- (b) An attorney or attorneys employed in a legal services organization, the legal department of a corporation or other organization, or the legal department of a governmental entity, subdivision, agency, or instrumentality.
- (7) "Nonparty participant" means a person, other than a party and the party's collaborative attorney, who participates in a collaborative law process.
- (8) "Party" means a person who signs a collaborative law participation agreement and whose consent is necessary to resolve a collaborative matter.
- (9) "Person" means an individual; corporation; business trust; estate; trust; partnership; limited liability company; association; joint venture; public corporation; government or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality; or any other legal or commercial entity.
- (10) "Proceeding" means a judicial, administrative, arbitral, or other adjudicative process before a tribunal, including related prehearing and posthearing motions, conferences, and discovery.
- (11) "Prospective party" means a person who discusses with a prospective collaborative attorney the possibility of signing a collaborative law participation agreement.

154071 - h1397-strike.docx



Bill No. HB 1397 (2014)

Amendment No. 1

<u>(12)</u>	"Reco	ord"	mean	s :	informat	ior	ı th	<u>at is</u>	insc	ribe	ed or	<u>ı</u> a
tangible	medium	or	that	is	stored	in	an	elect	ronic	or	othe	<u>r</u>
medium ar	nd is re	etri	.evabl	.e :	in perce	eiva	able	form	l .			

- (13) "Related to a collaborative matter" means involving the same parties, transaction or occurrence, nucleus of operative fact, dispute, claim, or issue as the collaborative matter.
- (14) "Sign" means, with present intent to authenticate or adopt a record:
 - (a) To execute or adopt a tangible symbol; or
- (b) To attach to or logically associate with the record an electronic symbol, sound, or process.
- (15) "Tribunal" means a court, arbitrator, administrative agency, or other body acting in an adjudicative capacity that, after presentation of evidence or legal argument, has jurisdiction to render a decision affecting a party's interests in a matter.
- Section 5. Section 44.53, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
- 44.53 Beginning and concluding a collaborative law process.—
- (1) The collaborative process commences, regardless of whether a legal proceeding is pending, when the parties enter into a collaborative participation agreement.
- 114 (2) A tribunal may nor order a party to participate in a
 115 collaborative law process over that party's objection.

154071 - h1397-strike.docx



Bill No. HB 1397 (2014)

Amendment No. 1

116	(3) A collaborative law process is concluded by a:
117	(a) Resolution of a collaborative matter as evidenced by a
118	signed record;
119	(b) Resolution of a part of the collaborative matter,
120	evidenced by a signed record, in which the parties agree that
121	the remaining parts of the matter will not be resolved in the
122	process; or
123	(c) Termination of the process.
124	(4) A collaborative law process terminates when a party:
125	(a) Gives notice to other parties in a record that the
126	process is ended;
127	(b) Begins a proceeding related to a collaborative matter
128	without the agreement of all parties;
129	(c) Initiates a pleading, motion, order to show cause, or
130	request for a conference with a tribunal in a pending proceeding
131	related to the matter;
132	(d) Requests that the proceeding be put on the tribunal's
133	active calendar in a pending proceeding related to the matter;
134	(e) Takes similar action requiring notice to be sent to
135	the parties in a pending proceeding related to the matter; or
136	(f) Discharges a collaborative lawyer or a collaborative
137	lawyer withdraws from further representation of a party, except
138	as otherwise provided by subsection (7).
139	(5) A party's collaborative lawyer shall give prompt
140	notice to all other parties in a record of a discharge or

154071 - h1397-strike.docx

withdrawal.

141



Bill No. HB 1397 (2014)

Amendment No. 1

142	(6)	Α	party	may	terminate	a	collaborative	law	process	with
143	or withou	t c	cause.							

- (7) Notwithstanding the discharge or withdrawal of a collaborative lawyer, a collaborative law process continues, if not later than 30 days after the date that the notice of the discharge or withdrawal of a collaborative lawyer required by subsection (5) is sent to the parties:
- (a) The unrepresented party engages a successor collaborative lawyer;
- (b) The parties consent to continue the process by reaffirming the collaborative law participation agreement in a signed record;
- (c) The agreement is amended to identify the successor collaborative lawyer in a signed record; and
- (d) The successor collaborative lawyer confirms the lawyer's representation of a party in the collaborative in a signed record.
- (8) A collaborative law process does not conclude if, with the consent of the parties, a party requests a tribunal to approve a resolution of the collaborative matter or any part thereof as evidenced by a signed record.
- (9) A collaborative law participation agreement may provide additional methods of concluding a collaborative law process.
- Section 6. Section 44.54, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

154071 - h1397-strike.docx



Bill No. HB 1397 (2014)

Amendment No. 1

	44.5	54 C	onfiden	tialit	y of a	col	labor	ative	law		
comm	unica	ation	Excep	t as p	rovide	ed in	this	sect	ion,	a	
coll	abora	ative	law co	mmunic	ation	is c	confid	lentia	1 to	the	extent
agre	ed by	/ the	partie	s in a	signe	ed re	cord	or as	oth	erwi	<u>se</u>
prov	ided	by la	aw.								

- (1) PRIVILEGE AGAINST DISCLOSURE FOR COLLABORATIVE LAW COMMUNICATION; ADMISSIBILITY; DISCOVERY.—
- (a) Subject to subsections (2) and (3), a collaborative law communication is privileged as provided under paragraph (b), is not subject to discovery, and is not admissible in evidence.
 - (b) In a proceeding, the following privileges apply:
- 1. A party may refuse to disclose, and may prevent another person from disclosing, a collaborative law communication.
- 2. A nonparty participant may refuse to disclose, and may prevent any other person from disclosing, a collaborative law communication of the nonparty participant.
- (c) Evidence or information that is otherwise admissible or subject to discovery does not become inadmissible or protected from discovery solely because of its disclosure or use in a collaborative law process.
 - (2) WAIVER AND PRECLUSION OF PRIVILEGE.-
- (a) A privilege under subsection (1) may be waived in a record or orally during a proceeding if it is expressly waived by all parties and, in the case of the privilege of a nonparty participant, if it is also expressly waived by the nonparty participant.

154071 - h1397-strike.docx



Bill No. HB 1397 (2014)

Amendment No. 1

(b) A person who makes a disclosure or representation
about a collaborative law communication that prejudices another
person in a proceeding may not assert a privilege under
subsection (1). This preclusion applies only to the extent
necessary for the person prejudiced to respond to the disclosure
or representation.

- (3) LIMITS OF PRIVILEGE. -
- (a) A privilege under subsection (1) does not apply for a collaborative law communication that is:
- 1. Available to the public under chapter 119 or made during a session of a collaborative law process that is open, or is required by law to be open, to the public;
- 2. A threat or statement of a plan to inflict bodily injury or commit a crime of violence;
- 3. Intentionally used to plan a crime, commit or attempt to commit a crime, or conceal an ongoing crime or ongoing criminal activity; or
- 4. In an agreement resulting from the collaborative law process, evidenced by a record signed by all parties to the agreement.
- (b) The privilege under subsection (1) for a collaborative law communication does not apply to the extent that a communication is:
- 217 <u>1. Sought or offered to prove or disprove a claim or</u>
 218 <u>complaint of professional misconduct or malpractice arising from</u>
 219 <u>or related to a collaborative law process; or</u>

154071 - h1397-strike.docx



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT Bill No. HB 1397 (2014)

Amendment No. 1

- 2. Sought or offered to prove or disprove abuse, neglect, abandonment, or exploitation of a child or adult, unless the Department of Children and Families is a party to or otherwise participates in the process.
- (c) A privilege under subsection (1) does not apply if a tribunal finds, after a hearing in camera, that the party seeking discovery or the proponent of the evidence has shown that the evidence is not otherwise available, the need for the evidence substantially outweighs the interest in protecting confidentiality, and the collaborative law communication is sought or offered in:
 - 1. A court proceeding involving a felony; or
- 2. A proceeding seeking rescission or reformation of a contract arising out of the collaborative law process or in which a defense is asserted to avoid liability on the contract.
- (d) If a collaborative law communication is subject to an exception under paragraph (b) or paragraph (c), only the part of the communication necessary for the application of the exception may be disclosed or admitted.
- (e) Disclosure or admission of evidence excepted from the privilege under paragraph (b) or paragraph (c) does not make the evidence or any other collaborative law communication discoverable or admissible for any other purpose.
- (f) The privilege under subsection (1) does not apply if the parties agree in advance in a signed record, or if a record of a proceeding reflects agreement by the parties, that all or

154071 - h1397-strike.docx



Bill No. HB 1397 (2014)

Amendment No. 1

part of a	collaborat	ive law	process	is not j	privile	ged. Th	<u>is</u>
subsection	n does not	apply to	a colla	borativ	e law c	ommunic	ation
made by a	person who	did not	receive	actual	notice	of the	<u>!</u>
agreement	before the	communi	cation w	as made	•		

- Section 7. Sections 44.51-44.54, Florida Statutes, as created by this act, shall not take effect until 30 days after approval and publication by the Supreme Court of:
 - (1) Rules of Professional Conduct, governing:
- (a) The mandatory disqualification of a collaborative attorney, and attorneys in the same firm, from appearing before a tribunal to represent a party to a collaborative law process in a proceeding related to the collaborative law matter.
- (b) Limited exceptions to mandatory disqualification to seek emergency orders for the protection of the health, safety, welfare, or interest of a party until such time as a successor attorney is available and for continued representation of government entities, subject to certain conditions.
 - (2) Family Law Rules of Procedure, governing:
- (a) Required elements of a collaborative law participation agreement defining the commencement, procedures, and termination of the collaborative law process.
- (b) The stay of ongoing proceedings upon referral to a collaborative law process and related status reports.
- Section 8. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this act, this act shall take effect July 1, 2014.

154071 - h1397-strike.docx



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT Bill No. HB 1397 (2014)

Amendment No. 1

TITLE AMENDMENT

Remove everything before the enacting clause and insert:
An act relating to family law; creating the "Collaborative Law
Act"; creating s. 44.51, F.S.; declaring the purpose of the act;
creating s. 44.52, F.S.; defining terms; creating s. 44.53,
F.S.; declaring that a collaborative process commences when the
parties enter into a collaborative participation agreement;
providing that a tribunal may not order a party to participate
in a collaborative law process over the party's objection;
providing conditions under which a collaborative law process is
concluded; creating s. 44.54, F.S.; providing for
confidentiality of communications made during the collaborative
law process; providing exceptions; providing that the effective
date of specified provisions are contingent upon approval and
publication of court rules governing specified subjects;
providing effective dates.

154071 - h1397-strike.docx



STORAGE NAME:

h3519.CJS.DOCX

DATE: 3/19/2014

Florida House of Representatives Summary Claim Bill Report

Bill #: HB 3519; Relief/Monica Cantillo Acosta & Luis Alberto Cantillo Acosta/Miami-Dade County

Sponsor: Representative Santiago Companion Bill: SB 52 by Senator Legg

Special Master: Tom Thomas

Basic Information:

Claimants:

Monica Cantillo Acosta and Luis Alberto Cantillo Acosta

Respondent:

Miami-Dade County

Amount Requested:

\$940,000

Type of Claim:

Local equitable claim; result of a settlement agreement.

Respondent's Position:

Miami-Dade County supports the claim bill in the amount of

\$940,000.

Collateral Sources:

None reported.

Attorney's/Lobbying Fees:

The claimant's attorney provided an affidavit stating that the attorney's fees will be capped at 25% of the total claim award in accordance with s. 768.28(8), F.S., and that the lobbyist's fees, if any, will be included in the 25% fee cap.

Notwithstanding the attorney's affidavit, the bill specifically provides that the total amount paid for attorney fees, lobbying fees, costs, and similar expenses relating to the claim may not exceed 15% of the total awarded under the bill.

Prior Legislative History:

House Bill 1075 by Representative Steube and Senate Bill 60 by Senator Bogdanoff were filed during the 2011 Legislative Session. Neither bill was ever heard in any

committee.

House Bill 1485 by Representative Steube and Senate Bill

50 by Senator Bogdanoff were filed during the 2012

Legislative Session. The House Bill passed its committees

of reference (Civil Justice and Judiciary), passed the full House, passed the Senate as amended, and passed the House again, but died in Messages. The Senate Bill passed its only committee of reference (Rules), and was laid on the table in lieu of the House Bill.

House Bill 1413 by Representative Santiago and Senate Bill 188 by Senator Legg were filed during the 2013 Legislative Session. The House Bill passed its committees of reference (Select Committee on Claim Bills and Judiciary) but died on the House Calendar. The Senate Bill was never considered in its committees of reference.

Procedural Summary: A civil suit was filed in the Eleventh Judicial Circuit in and for Miami-Dade County. After trial, the jury returned a verdict in favor of the plaintiffs on November 5, 2007, finding Miami-Dade County bus driver 100 percent negligent and responsible for the wrongful death of Nhora Acosta, and determined the damages of Monica Cantillo Acosta and Luis Alberto Cantillo Acosta to be \$3 million each. The defendant appealed the jury verdict, however, the parties entered into a settlement agreement while the appeal was pending. The settlement calls for \$200,000 to be paid immediately in accordance with the statutory limits of liability in s. 768.28, Florida Statutes, and support for a claim bill in the amount of \$940,000.

Facts of Case: On November 12, 2004, at approximately 4:16 p.m. in Miami-Dade County, Nhora Acosta entered Miami-Dade County bus #04142 at a stop on S.W. 8th Street in Miami, Florida, paid the driver, and was trying to find a seat on the crowded bus. While Ms. Acosta walked toward the rear of the bus in search of a seat, the bus driver accelerated in order to avoid a collision with another vehicle. The driver then hit the brakes, causing Ms. Acosta to fall and strike her head on an interior portion of the bus. Because of the force upon which Ms. Acosta struck her head within the bus interior, she suffered a severe closed head injury and massive brain damage, including a right subdural hemorrhage, a left dural hemorrhage, diffused cerebral edema, and basilar herniations. Ms. Acosta was rushed to the trauma resuscitation bay at Jackson Memorial Hospital in a comatose state, was placed on a ventilator, underwent various procedures to no avail, and was pronounced dead at 2:05 p.m. the next day.

Ms. Acosta was a 54-year-old single mother of two children, Monica Cantillo Acosta and Luis Alberto Cantillo Acosta, who were raised exclusively by their mother. At the time of the accident, Monica was 21 years old and Luis was 16 years old.

Recommendation: I respectfully recommend House Bill 3519 be reported FAVORABLY.

Tom Thomas, Special Master

Date: March 21, 2014

cc: Representative Santiago, House Sponsor

Senator Legg, Senate Sponsor

HB 3519

2014

1 2

3 4 5

6 7 8

10

9

1112

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

2021

2223

24

25

A bill to be entitled

An act for the relief of Monica Cantillo Acosta and Luis Alberto Cantillo Acosta, surviving children of Nhora Acosta, by Miami-Dade County; providing for an appropriation to compensate them for the wrongful death of their mother, Nhora Acosta, due to injuries sustained as a result of the negligence of a Miami-Dade County bus driver; providing a limitation on the payment of fees and costs; providing an effective date.

WHEREAS, on November 12, 2004, at approximately 4:16 p.m., Nhora Acosta entered Miami-Dade County bus number 04142 at a stop on S.W. 8th Street in Miami, paid the driver, and tried to find a seat on the crowded bus, and

WHEREAS, while Nhora Acosta walked toward the rear of the bus in search of a seat, the bus driver, ignoring her safety and failing to appropriately anticipate the stop-and-go traffic patterns on the busy street, accelerated so quickly that, in order to avoid a collision with another vehicle, he suddenly slammed on the brakes, and

WHEREAS, the sudden change in velocity caused Nhora Acosta to fall and strike her head on an interior portion of the bus, and

WHEREAS, as a result of the fall, Nhora Acosta suffered a

Page 1 of 4

HB 3519 2014

severe closed head injury and massive brain damage, including a right subdural hemorrhage, a left dural hemorrhage, diffused cerebral edema, and basilar herniations, and

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

50

WHEREAS, Nhora Acosta was rushed to the trauma resuscitation bay at Jackson Memorial Hospital in a comatose state, was placed on a ventilator, underwent various procedures to no avail, and was pronounced dead at 2:05 p.m. the next day, and

WHEREAS, Nhora Acosta was a 54-year-old single mother of two children, Monica Cantillo Acosta and Luis Alberto Cantillo Acosta, who had been raised exclusively by their mother, and because of her death, her children were left orphaned, and

WHEREAS, Monica Cantillo Acosta and Luis Alberto Cantillo Acosta loved their mother and only parent dearly and have suffered intense mental pain due to their mother's untimely death, and

WHEREAS, Monica Cantillo Acosta and Luis Alberto Cantillo Acosta have also lost the support, love, and guidance of their only parent, Nhora Acosta, as a result of the negligence of the Miami-Dade bus driver, and

WHEREAS, on November 5, 2007, a Miami-Dade County jury rendered a verdict and found the Miami-Dade County bus driver 100 percent negligent and responsible for the wrongful death of Nhora Acosta, and determined the damages of Monica Cantillo Acosta and Luis Alberto Cantillo Acosta to be \$3 million each,

Page 2 of 4

HB 3519 2014

51 and

WHEREAS, the parties have subsequently settled this matter for \$1,140,000, and Miami-Dade County has paid the claimants \$200,000 under the statutory limits of liability set forth in s. 768.28, Florida Statutes, NOW, THEREFORE,

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. The facts stated in the preamble to this act are found and declared to be true.

Section 2. Miami-Dade County is authorized and directed to appropriate from funds of the county not otherwise appropriated and to draw a warrant in the sum of \$470,000, payable to Monica Cantillo Acosta, and a warrant in the sum of \$470,000, payable to Louis Alberto Cantillo Acosta, as compensation for the wrongful death of their mother, Nhora Acosta.

Section 3. The amount paid by the Miami-Dade County pursuant to s. 768.28, Florida Statutes, and the amounts awarded under this act are intended to provide the sole compensation for all present and future claims arising out of the factual situation described in this act which resulted in the death of Nhora Acosta. The total amount paid for attorney fees, lobbying fees, costs, and other similar expenses relating to this claim may not exceed 25 percent of the total amount awarded under this act.

Page 3 of 4

HB 3519 2014

76 Section 4. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 4 of 4



STORAGE NAME:

h3529.CJS.DOCX

DATE: 3/19/2014

Florida House of Representatives Summary Claim Bill Report

Bill #: HB 3529; Relief/Carl Abbott/Palm Beach County School District

Sponsor: Representative Raburn

Companion Bill: SB 56 by Senator Legg

Special Master: Tom Thomas

Basic Information:

Claimants:

David Abbott, guardian of Carl Abbott

Respondent:

Palm Beach County School Board

Amount Requested:

\$1,900,000; to be made in payments of \$211,111.11 each fiscal year beginning in 2014 through 2021, inclusive, and

\$211,111.12 in the 2022-2023 fiscal year.

Type of Claim:

Local equitable claim; result of a settlement agreement.

Respondent's Position:

The Palm Beach County School Board does not oppose the

enactment of this claim bill.

Collateral Sources:

None reported.

Attorney's/Lobbying Fees:

The claimant's attorney provided an affidavit stating that the attorney's fees will be capped at 25% of the total claim award in accordance with s. 768.28(8), F.S., and that the lobbyist's fees, if any, will be included in the 25% fee cap.

Notwithstanding the attorney's affidavit, the bill specifically provides that the total amount paid for attorney fees, lobbying fees, costs, and similar expenses relating to the claim may not exceed 25% of the total awarded under the

bill.

Prior Legislative History:

House Bill 1487 by Representative Workman and Senate Bill 70 by Senator Negron were filed during the 2011 Legislative Session. The House Bill passed its only committee of reference (Civil Justice), passed the full House, but died in Messages. The Senate Bill passed its only committee of reference (Rules) but died on the Calendar.

House Bill 855 by Representative Workman and Senate Bill 54 by Senator Negron were filed during the 2012 Legislative Session. The House Bill passed its committees of reference (Civil Justice and Judiciary), passed the full House, passed the Senate as amended, and passed the House again, but died in Messages. The Senate Bill passed its only committee of reference (Rules), and was laid on the table in lieu of the House Bill.

House Bill 1167 by Representative Raburn and Senate Bill 22 by Senator Negron were filed during the 2013 Legislative Session. The House Bill passed its committees of reference (Select Committee on Claim Bills and Judiciary) but died on the House Calendar. The Senate Bill was never considered in its committees of reference.

Procedural Summary: David Abbott, the son and guardian of Carl Abbott, brought suit in 2008 claiming negligence against the School Board of Palm Beach County. The action was filed in the 15th Judicial Circuit Court, in and for Palm Beach County, Florida.

Prior to trial, the parties came to an agreement through mediation to settle the case for \$2 million, \$100,000 of which the School Board has already paid. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, the \$1.9 million balance will be paid in eight yearly installments of \$211,111.11, plus a ninth and final annual payment of \$211,111.12. These yearly payments will commence on the effective date of the claim bill, and continue for nine years, or until Mr. Abbott's death, whichever first occurs. The School Board has agreed, however, to make at least three years' worth of payments, guaranteeing a minimum payout of \$633.333.33. Out of the \$100,000 settlement proceeds he has already received, Mr. Abbott paid \$25,000 in attorney's fees and, after paying some expenses, netted \$51,905.65.

Facts of Case: On June 30, 2008, at about 2:00 p.m., Carl Abbott, then 68 years old, started to walk across U.S. Highway 1 at the intersection with South Anchorage Drive in North Palm Beach, Florida. Mr. Abbott was heading west from the northeast quadrant of the intersection, toward the intersection's northwest quadrant. To get to the other side of U. S. Highway 1, which runs north and south, Mr. Abbott needed to cross the highway's three northbound lanes, a median, the southbound left turn lane, and the three southbound travel lanes. Mr. Abbott remained within the marked pedestrian crosswalk.

At the time Mr. Abbott began to cross U.S. Highway 1, a school bus was idling in the eastbound left-turn lane on South Anchorage Drive, waiting for the green light. The bus driver, Generia Bedford, intended to turn left and proceed north on U.S. Highway 1. When the light changed, Ms. Bedford drove the bus eastward through the intersection and turned left, as planned, heading northward. She did not see Mr. Abbott, who was in the center northbound lane of U.S. Highway 1, until it was too late. The school bus struck Mr. Abbott and knocked him to the ground. He sustained a serious, traumatic brain injury in the accident.

Mr. Abbott received cardiopulmonary resuscitation at the scene and was rushed to St. Mary's Medical Center, where he was placed on a ventilator. A cerebral shunt was placed to decrease intracranial pressure. After two months, Mr. Abbott was discharged with the following diagnoses: traumatic brain injury, pulmonary contusions, intracranial hemorrhage, subdural hematoma, and paralysis.

SPECIAL MASTER'S SUMMARY REPORT--Page 3

Mr. Abbott presently resides in a nursing home. As a result of the brain injury, he is unable to talk, walk, or take care of himself. He is alert but has significant cognitive impairments. Mr. Abbott has neurogenic bladder and bowels and hence is incontinent. He cannot perform any activities of daily living and needs constant, total care. His condition is not expected to improve.

Based on the Life Care Plan prepared by Stuart B. Krost, M.D., Mr. Abbott's future medical needs, assuming a life expectancy of 78 years, are projected to cost about \$4 million, before a reduction to present value. The school Board is self-insured and will pay the balance of the agreed sum out of its General Fund, which was the source of revenue used to satisfy the initial commitment of \$100,000.

Recommendation: I respectfully recommend House Bill 3529 be reported FAVORABLY.

Date: March 21, 2014

CC:

Representative Raburn, House Sponsor

Senator Legg, Senate Sponsor

HB 3529 2014

110 302

A bill to be entitled

An act for the relief of Carl Abbott by the Palm Beach County School Board; providing for an appropriation to compensate Carl Abbott for injuries sustained as a result of the negligence of the Palm Beach County School District; providing a limitation on the payment of fees and costs; providing an effective date.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 l

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

WHEREAS, on June 30, 2008, 67-year-old Carl Abbott was struck by a school bus driven by an employee of the Palm Beach County School District while Mr. Abbott was crossing the street in a designated crosswalk at the intersection of South Anchorage Drive and U.S. 1 in Palm Beach County, and

WHEREAS, as a result of the accident, Carl Abbott suffered a closed-head injury, traumatic brain injury, subdural hematoma, and subarachnoid hemorrhage, and

WHEREAS, as a result of his injuries, Carl Abbott must now reside in a nursing home, suffers from loss of cognitive function, right-sided paralysis, immobility, urinary incontinence, bowel incontinence, delirium, and an inability to speak, and must obtain nutrition through a feeding tube, and

WHEREAS, the Palm Beach County School Board unanimously passed a resolution in support of settling the lawsuit that was filed in this case, tendered payment of \$100,000 to Carl Abbott, in accordance with the statutory limits of liability set forth

Page 1 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb3529-00

HB 3529 2014

in s. 768.28, Florida Statutes, and does not oppose the passage of this claim bill in favor of Carl Abbott in the amount of \$1.9 million, as structured, NOW, THEREFORE,

2930

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

31

32

33

34

Section 1. The facts stated in the preamble to this act are found and declared to be true.

39

45

46

47 48

49

50

Section 2. The Palm Beach County School Board is authorized and directed to appropriate from funds of the school board not otherwise appropriated and to draw warrants in the

amount of \$211,111.11 each fiscal year beginning in 2014 through

2021, inclusive, and \$211,111.12 in the 2022-2023 fiscal year

for a total of \$1.9 million, payable to David Abbott, guardian

of Carl Abbott, as compensation for injuries and damages

sustained as a result of the negligence of an employee of the

Palm Beach County School District. The payments shall cease upon

the death of Carl Abbott if he dies before the last payment is

44 made. However, David Abbott, as guardian of Carl Abbott, shall

be guaranteed a minimum payment amount of \$633,333.33 if Carl

Abbott dies within 3 years after the effective date of this act.

This amount represents three annual payments and shall be

payable on the annual due dates.

Section 3. The amount paid by the Palm Beach County School Board pursuant to s. 768.28, Florida Statutes, and the amount

Page 2 of 3

HB 3529 2014

51 52

535455565758

59

awarded under this act are intended to provide the sole
compensation for all present and future claims against the Palm
Beach County School District arising out of the factual
situation that resulted in the injuries to Carl Abbott as
described in the preamble to this act. The total amount paid for
attorney fees, lobbying fees, costs, and other similar expenses
relating to this claim may not exceed 25 percent of the total
amount awarded under this act.

Section 4. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 3 of 3



STORAGE NAME:

h3531.CJS.DOCX

DATE: 3/19/2014

Florida House of Representatives Summary Claim Bill Report

Bill #: HB 3531; Relief/Ronald Miller/City of Hollywood

Sponsor: Representative Gibbons
Companion Bill: SB 54 by Senator Legg

Special Master: Tom Thomas

Basic Information:

Claimants:

Ronald Miller

Respondent:

City of Hollywood

Amount Requested:

\$100,000

Type of Claim:

Local equitable claim; result of a settlement agreement.

Respondent's Position:

Agrees that the settlement in this matter and the passage of

this claim bill are appropriate.

Collateral Sources:

None reported.

Attorney's/Lobbying Fees:

The claimant's attorney provided an affidavit stating that the attorney's fees will be capped at 25% of the total claim award in accordance with s. 768.28(8), F.S., and that the lobbyist's fees, if any, will be included in the 25% fee cap.

Notwithstanding the attorney's affidavit, the bill specifically provides that the total amount paid for attorney fees, lobbying fees, costs, and similar expenses relating to the claim may not exceed 25% of the total awarded under the

bill.

Prior Legislative History:

House Bill 191 by Representative Gibson and Senate Bill 60 by Senator Rich were filed during the 2009 Legislative Session. Neither of these bills received a hearing.

House Bill 519 by Representative Gibson and Senate Bill 44 by Senator Gelber were filed during the 2010 Legislative Session. Neither of these bills received a hearing.

House Bill 569 by Representative Cruz and Senate Bill 64 by

Senator Siplin were filed during the 2011 Legislative

Session. The House Bill passed its only committee of reference (Civil Justice) but died on the Calendar. The Senate Bill was never heard in any Committee.

House Bill 43 by Representative Jenne and Senate Bill 8 by Senator Sobel were filed during the 2012 Legislative Session. The House Bill passed its committees of reference (Civil Justice and Judiciary), passed the full House, but died in the Senate. The Senate Bill was never heard in any Committee.

House Bill 1415 by Representative Gibbons and Senate Bill 44 by Senator Sobel were filed during the 2013 Legislative Session. The House Bill passed its committees of reference (Select Committee on Claim Bills and Judiciary) but died on the House Calendar. The Senate Bill was never considered in its committees of reference.

Procedural Summary: In January 2005, Mr. Miller filed suit in the Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit in and for Broward County. After trial, the jury found in favor of Ronald Miller and a final judgment was entered in the amount of \$1,130,731.89, which included approximately \$75,000 for past medical bills and \$415,000 for future medical expenses, \$200,000 for past pain and suffering, and \$500,000 for future pain and suffering. A cost Judgment was entered in favor of Mr. Miller for \$17,257.82. The City of Hollywood appealed and the Fourth District Court of Appeal affirmed the judgment per curiam. The City has paid \$100,000 to Ronald Miller under the statutory limits of liability set forth in s. 768.28, F.S. The parties have now settled the matter and the City has agreed to pay Mr. Miller an additional \$100,000 to resolve this claim.

Facts of Case: This case arises out of a motor vehicle accident that occurred on July 30, 2002. Mr. Miller was traveling northbound in his pickup truck on North Federal Highway, just south of Sheridan Street in the City of Hollywood, Florida. At approximately 5:30 p.m., Mr. Miller entered the center lane, planning on turning left at Sherman Street, the westbound street immediately south of Sheridan Street, traveling at approximately 15 miles-per-hour. At the same time, Robert Mettler, an employee of the City of Hollywood driving a City utilities truck, was exiting a Burger King Restaurant immediately to the right (on the east side of North Federal Highway). Stopped northbound traffic on North Federal Highway parted to allow Mr. Mettler to drive across the two northbound lanes into the center lane. As Mr. Mettler entered the center lane, he turned left in order to merge onto southbound North Federal Highway where he collided head-on into Mr. Miller. Mr. Miller was wearing his seatbelt and did not seek medical treatment at the scene of the accident. Though belted, Mr. Miller later testified that he banged his knees on the dashboard of his truck as a result of the crash impact. Later that night, Mr. Miller went to the emergency room to seek medical treatment.

In March of 2003, Dr. Steven Wender, M.D., performed extensive knee surgery on Mr. Miller (a right knee partial medial and lateral menisectomy and tricompartmental chondroplasty, and a left knee lateral menisectomy and chondroplasty of the medial compartment and lateral compartmental and patella with synovectomy). Mr. Miller developed post-operative complications including pneumonia and deep vein thrombosis. Dr. Wender testified that Mr. Miller will need to have at least one bilateral knee replacement surgery in the future. Mr. Miller did have knee surgeries prior to the accident. The City's expert, Dr. Phillip Averbach, testified at trial that Mr. Miller did not sustain any

SPECIAL MASTER'S SUMMARY REPORT-Page 3

permanent orthopedic or neurological injuries related to the accident. Dr. Averbach also testified that he believed at least 90 percent of Mr. Miller's current complaints and injuries were pre-existing to the accident. While there is testimony on both sides of how extensively Mr. Miller was injured as a result of the accident, the parties have agreed to settle the matter.

Becommendation: Jrespectfully/recommend that House Bill 3531 be reported FAVORABLY.

Tom Thomas, Special Master

Date: March 21, 2014

cc: Representative Gibbons, House Sponsor

Senator Legg, Senate Sponsor

HB 3531 2014

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

A bill to be entitled

An act for the relief of Ronald Miller by the City of Hollywood; providing for an appropriation to compensate him for injuries sustained as a result of the negligence of an employee of the City of Hollywood; providing a limitation on the payment of fees and costs; providing an effective date.

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

24 25 WHEREAS, on July 30, 2002, Ronald Miller was driving his pickup truck home from work, northbound on Federal Highway in the left-turn lane, and

WHEREAS, at that time, a City of Hollywood employee, Robert Miller, who was driving a city utilities truck, cut across the lanes of northbound traffic and crashed head-on into Ronald Miller's vehicle, and

WHEREAS, the impact of the crash caused Mr. Miller to have corrective surgeries for damage to both knees, and

WHEREAS, the jury returned a verdict in favor of Ronald Miller, a final judgment was entered in the amount of \$1,130,731.89, and a cost judgment was entered in the amount of \$17,257.82, and

WHEREAS, the City of Hollywood has paid \$100,000 to Ronald Miller under the statutory limits of liability set forth in s. 768.28, Florida Statutes, and

WHEREAS, the parties have negotiated in good faith and have

Page 1 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb3531-00

HB 3531 2014

arrived at a stipulated resolution of this matter for the payment by the City of Hollywood of an additional \$100,000 to Ronald Miller, NOW, THEREFORE,

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. The facts stated in the preamble to this act are found and declared to be true.

Section 2. The City of Hollywood is authorized and directed to appropriate from funds of the city not otherwise appropriated and to draw a warrant, payable to Ronald Miller, for the total amount of \$100,000 as compensation for injuries and damages sustained as a result of the negligence of an employee of the City of Hollywood.

Section 3. The amount paid by the City of Hollywood pursuant to s. 768.28, Florida Statutes, and the amount awarded under this act are intended to provide the sole compensation for all present and future claims arising out of the factual situation described in this act which resulted in injuries to Ronald Miller. All expenses that constitute a part of Ronald Miller's judgments described in this claim shall be paid from the amount awarded under this act on a pro rata basis. The total amount paid for attorney fees, lobbying fees, costs, and other similar expenses relating to this claim may not exceed 25 percent of the amount awarded under this act.

Page 2 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

HB 3531 2014

51 Section 4. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 3 of 3

CODING: Words $\underline{\text{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\text{underlined}}$ are additions.



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 3531 (2014)

Amendment No. 1

	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION			
	ADOPTED $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ (Y/N)			
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)			
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)			
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)			
	WITHDRAWN (Y/N)			
	OTHER			
1	Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Civil Justice Subcommittee			
2	Representative Gibbons offered the following:			
3				
4	Amendment			
5	Remove line 13 and insert:			
6	Mettler, who was driving a city utilities truck, cut across the			
7				

923465 - h3531-line0013.docx

Published On: 3/24/2014 5:42:49 PM

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

PCB CJS 14-06 Arbitration

SPONSOR(S): Civil Justice Subcommittee

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1664

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Orig. Comm.: Civil Justice Subcommittee		Cary JMC	Bond \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

In 2013, the legislature passed, and the governor signed, the Revised Florida Arbitration Code. That bill appears to have contained a scrivener's error that contained incorrect verbiage to describe a correct numerical cross-reference. This bill corrects the apparent scrivener's error. The bill applies retroactively to the effective date of the Revised Florida Arbitration Code, July 1, 2013.

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local government.

The bill has an effective date of upon becoming a law.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: pcb06.CJS.DOCX

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background

In 2013, the legislature passed, and the governor signed, the Revised Florida Arbitration Code. The Revised Arbitration Code was based on the 2000 model act and was the first major upgrade to Florida's Arbitration Code since 1957.

Arbitration is a form of alternative dispute resolution, where an arbitrator, or a panel of arbitrators, hears a case instead of a court.² Generally, the agreement provides for terms of the arbitration, but the Arbitration Code provides some default rules where the agreement is silent.³ An arbitration clause is often included in contracts, and it is a well-established principle that arbitration is generally favored by the courts where agreed to by the parties.⁴ It is the public policy of both the federal⁵ and state⁶ governments to favor arbitration.

Arbitration generally occurs independent of the court system., however certain aspects of arbitration may require court action. For example, a party may need to go to court to compel or stay an arbitration proceeding. Also, after a decision is made in an arbitration to provide an award to a party to the arbitration, the award may be confirmed by the court to provide a legal effect.

Effect of the Bill

Parties may generally adopt rules and procedures by contract because the procedures contained in the Revised Arbitration Code serves as a gap filler. However, certain provisions may not be waived. The provisions that may not be waived are generally procedural requirements that would fundamentally undermine the arbitration agreement. One such provision in the current statute refers to the "remedies provided under s. 682.12," F.S.⁹ This appears to be a scrivener's error, as remedies are in s. 682.11, F.S., while 682.12, F.S., relates to the right to confirm an award. This bill amends s. 682.014(3)(f), F.S., to correct the scrivener's error by replacing "remedies" with the "right to confirmation of an award." This correction appears to be consistent with the apparent intent of the 2013 legislation and is remedial in nature.

The bill applies retroactively to July 1, 2013, which was the date that the Revised Florida Arbitration Code became a law.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 amends s. 682.014, F.S., relating to effect of an agreement to arbitrate and nonwaivable provisions.

¹ Chapter 2013-232, L.O.F.

² Black's Law Dictionary, 6th Ed., defines "arbitration" as "A process of dispute resolution in which a neutral third party (arbitrator) renders a decision after a hearing at which both parties have an opportunity to be heard."

For instance, if the agreement does not provide a method for picking the arbitrator(s), the court may appoint one or more arbitrators, in accordance with s. 682.04, F.S.

⁴ Roger E. Freilich, D.M.D., P.A. v. Shochet, 96 So.3d 1135 (Fla. 4th DCA 2012), citing Roe v. Amica Mut. Ins. Co., 533 So.2d 279, 281 (Fla. 1988).

⁵ See Gilmer v. Interstate/Johnson Lane Corp., 500 U.S. 20 (1991).

⁶ See Jackson v. Shakespeare Foundation, Inc., 2013 WL 362786 (Fla. 2013).

Section 682.03, F.S.

⁸ Section 682.12, F.S.

⁹ Section 682.014(3)(f), F.S. STORAGE NAME: pcb06.CJS.DOCX

Section 2 provides that the bill is retroactive to July 1, 2013.

Section 3 provides that the bill is effective upon becoming a law.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on state revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on state expenditures.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The bill does not appear to have any direct economic impact on the private sector.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

"A statute is presumed not to have retroactive application, but the presumption is rebuttable by clear evidence that the legislature intended that the statute be applied retroactively." The bill provides that some changes are intended to clarify existing law, are remedial in nature, and apply retroactively, making the legislative intent clear.

The Florida Constitution guarantees to all persons the right to acquire, possess and protect property. Article I, s. 9 provides that "[n]o person shall be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of law." "In determining whether a statute applies retroactively, we [the Supreme Court of

STORAGE NAME: pcb06.CJS.DOCX

¹⁰ Essex Ins. Co. v. Integrated Drainage Solutions, Inc., 124 So.3d 947, 951 (Fla. 2d DCA 2013).

¹ Art. I, s. 9, FLA. CONST.

Floridal consider two factors: (1) whether the statute itself expresses an intent that it apply retroactively; and, if so, (2) whether retroactive application is constitutional."¹²

The first prong of the test appears to clearly by met by section 2 of the bill, which contains an explicit statement of retroactivity. The second prong looks to see if a vested right is impaired.

A statute is not unconstitutionally retrospective in its operation unless it impairs a substantive, vested right. A substantive vested right is an immediate right of present enjoyment, or a present fixed right of future enjoyment. To be vested a right must be more than a mere expectation based on an anticipation of the continuance of an existing law; it must have become a title, legal or equitable, to the present or future enforcement of a demand. 13

"Remedial statutes or statutes relating to remedies or modes of procedure, which do not create new or take away vested rights, but only operate in furtherance of the remedy or confirmation of rights already existing, do not come within the legal conception of a retrospective law, or the general rule against retrospective operation of statutes"14

Thus, a retroactive law of this type should be upheld unless a court finds that a party had a substantive, vested right to a contract provision that allowed for another party to waive an award confirmation. 15

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill does not appear to create a need for rulemaking or rulemaking authority.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

n/a

¹⁵ In re Will of Martell, 457 So.2d 1064 (Fla. 2d DCA 1984).

¹² 10A Fla. Jur 2d Constitutional Law §394, citing Old Port Cove Holdings, Inc. v. Old Port Cove Condominium Ass'n One, Inc., 986 So.2d 1279 (Fla. 2008).

¹³ School Bd. Of Miami-Dade County v. Carralero, 992 So.2d 353 (Fla. 3d DCA 2008)(internal citations omitted). ¹⁴ City of Lakeland v. Catinella, 129 So.2d 133 (Fla. 1961).

PCB CJS 14-06

ORIGINAL

2014

1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to arbitration; amending s. 682.014,
3	F.S.; correcting the description of a cross-reference;
4	providing for retroactive application; providing an
5	effective date.
6	
7	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
8	
9	Section 1. Paragraph (f) of subsection (3) of section
10	682.014, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
11	682.014 Effect of agreement to arbitrate; nonwaivable
12	provisions.—
13	(3) A party to an agreement to arbitrate or arbitration
14	proceeding may not waive, or the parties may not vary the effect
15	of, the requirements in this section or:
16	(f) The right to confirmation of an award remedies
17	provided under s. 682.12;
18	Section 2. This act shall apply retroactively to July 1,
19	2013.
20	Section 3. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 1 of 1

PCB CJS 14-06.docx

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.