

Criminal Justice Subcommittee

Tuesday, February 2, 2016 1:30 PM - 3:30 PM Sumner Hall (404 HOB)

MEETING PACKET

Committee Meeting Notice HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Criminal Justice Subcommittee

Start Date and Time:

Tuesday, February 02, 2016 01:30 pm

End Date and Time:

Tuesday, February 02, 2016 03:30 pm

Location:

Sumner Hall (404 HOB)

Duration:

2.00 hrs

Consideration of the following proposed committee bill(s):

PCB CRJS 16-07 -- Sentencing for Capital Felonies

01/29/2016 4:25:15PM Leagis ® Page 1 of 1

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: PCB CRJS 16-07 Sentencing for Capital Felonies

SPONSOR(S): Criminal Justice Subcommittee
TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: None

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Orig. Comm.: Criminal Justice Subcommittee		Aziz PA	White TV

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Under current law, when a defendant is convicted of a capital offense, a separate sentencing proceeding is conducted before the trial jury to determine whether the defendant should be sentenced to death or life imprisonment. After hearing the evidence, the jury renders an advisory sentence to the judge based on whether sufficient aggravating circumstances exist, whether sufficient mitigating circumstances exist which outweigh the aggravating circumstances, and based on these considerations, whether the defendant should be sentenced to life imprisonment or death. A simple majority vote of the jury is necessary to recommend the death penalty. Juries are not required to list on the verdict aggravating and mitigating circumstances that the jury finds persuasive or to disclose the number of jurors making these findings.

The judge may sentence a defendant as recommended by the jury or may override the jury's recommendation. If the judge sentences a defendant to death, the judge must make written findings which indicate that there are sufficient aggravating circumstances and insufficient mitigating circumstances to outweigh the aggravating circumstances.

On January 12, 2016, the United States Supreme Court held Florida's capital sentencing scheme unconstitutional. The Court ruled that, under the Sixth Amendment of the United States Constitution, a jury, not a judge, must find each fact necessary to impose a sentence of death as a jury's "mere recommendation is not enough."

The bill amends Florida's capital sentencing scheme to comply with the United States Supreme Court's ruling. Under the new sentencing scheme, the jury will continue to determine whether an aggravating factor exists, but will be required to make that determination unanimously. If the jury:

- Does not unanimously find at least one aggravating factor, the jury may only recommend a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole.
- Unanimously finds one or more aggravating factors, the jury may recommend a sentence of death or a
 life imprisonment without the possibility of parole. To recommend a sentence of death, a minimum of
 nine jurors must concur in the recommendation. If fewer than nine jurors concur, a sentence of life
 imprisonment without the possibility of parole will be the jury's recommendation to the court.

If the jury recommends life imprisonment without the possibility of parole, the judge must impose the recommended sentence. If the jury recommends a sentence of death, the judge may impose a sentence of death or a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole after considering each aggravating factor found by the jury and all mitigating circumstances. The judge may only consider an aggravating factor that was unanimously found by the jury.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact.

The bill takes effect upon becoming law.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: pcb07.CRJS.DOCX

DATE: 1/31/2016

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Death Penalty - Background

In 1972, the United States Supreme Court decided Furman v. Georgia, which struck down all of the then-existing death penalty statutes in the United States on grounds that the imposition and carrying out of the death penalty constituted cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments.1

Florida was the first state to reenact a death penalty statute in the wake of Furman. This occurred in the fall of 1972, when House Bill 1-A was enacted during a Special Session of the Legislature. While many statutory changes have been made over the years, this legislation formed the basis for Florida's current capital sentencing proceedings.

Current Death Row Statistics

Florida is currently one of 31 states that impose the death penalty. As of January 31, 2016, there were 389 people on death row in Florida – more than any other state aside from California. 4 Of the 389 inmates on death row, 157 have been on death row for more than 20 years.⁵

Since 1976, Florida has executed 91 inmates. During the same period, Texas has executed 525 inmates, Oklahoma has executed 112 inmates, and Virginia has executed 110.7 Florida executed two death row inmates in 2015, and eight in 2014.8

Capital Sentencing Proceedings

Sections 921.141 and 921.142, F.S., govern Florida's death penalty. Under these sections, if a defendant is convicted of a capital felony, a separate sentencing proceeding is conducted before the trial jury or, if the defendant pled, before a jury impaneled for that purpose. During the sentencing proceeding, the jury must determine whether the defendant should be sentenced to death or to life imprisonment. 13

After hearing all the evidence, the jury is required to render an advisory sentence to the judge based on the following factors:

¹ Furman v. Georgia, 408 U.S. 238 (1972).

² The bill was signed by Governor Askew on December 8, 1972. Chapter 72-724, L.O.F. (1973).

³ The other states are Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Delaware, Georgia, Idaho, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nevada New Hampshire, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, Washington, Wyoming. Facts About the Death Penalty (updated June 2, 2015), Death Penalty Information Center, www.deathpenaltyinfo.org/FactSheet.pdf (last visited on January 31, 2016).

⁴ California has 746 inmates on death row. *Id. See* "Death Row Roster" Florida Department of Corrections, http://www.dc.state.fl.us/activeinmates/deathrowroster.asp (last visited on January 31, 2016). Id.

⁶ "Death Row" Florida Department of Corrections http://www.dc.state.fl.us/oth/deathrow/#Statistics (last visited January 31, 2016).

⁷ Facts About the Death Penalty (updated June 2, 2015), supra note 3.

⁸ "Death Row", supra note 6.

⁹ Section 921.142, F.S., addresses capital drug trafficking felonies specified in s. 893.135, F.S., and s. 921.141, F.S., addresses capital premeditated, felony, and other murder offenses. See ss. 782.04(1)(a), 782.09(1)(a), 790.161(4), and 790.166(2), F.S. (specifying capital murder offenses).

¹⁰ Section 893.135, F.S.

¹¹ ss. 921.141(1) and 921.142(2), F.S.

¹² A defendant may waive his or her right to a sentencing proceeding before a jury and, in such case, the judge determines the sentence by following the same process the judge must follow when determining the sentence to impose after receipt of a jury recommendation. Id.

- Whether sufficient aggravating circumstances¹⁴ exist;
- Whether sufficient mitigating circumstances exist which outweigh the aggravating circumstances found to exist; and
- Based on these considerations, whether the defendant should be sentenced to life imprisonment or death.¹⁵

A simple majority vote of the jury is necessary to recommend the death penalty. Juries are not required to list on the verdict aggravating and mitigating circumstances that the jury finds persuasive or to disclose the number of jurors making these findings.¹⁶ However, aggravating circumstances must be proven beyond a reasonable doubt.¹⁷

The aggravating circumstances that may be considered are limited by statute. Section 921.141(5), F.S., which addresses sentencing proceedings for capital murder offenses, provides for the following aggravating circumstances:

- The capital felony was committed by a person previously convicted of a felony and under sentence of imprisonment or placed on community control or on felony probation.
- The defendant was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to the person.
- The defendant knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.
- The capital felony was committed while the defendant was engaged, or was an accomplice, in the commission of, or an attempt to commit, or flight after committing or attempting to commit, any: robbery; sexual battery; aggravated child abuse; abuse of an elderly person or disabled adult resulting in great bodily harm, permanent disability, or permanent disfigurement; arson; burglary; kidnapping; aircraft piracy; or unlawful throwing, placing, or discharging of a destructive device or bomb.
- The capital felony was committed for the purpose of avoiding or preventing a lawful arrest or effecting an escape from custody.
- The capital felony was committed for pecuniary gain.
- The capital felony was committed to disrupt or hinder the lawful exercise of any governmental function or the enforcement of laws.
- The capital felony was especially heinous, atrocious, or cruel.
- The capital felony was a homicide and was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner without any pretense of moral or legal justification.
- The victim of the capital felony was a law enforcement officer engaged in the performance of his or her official duties.
- The victim of the capital felony was an elected or appointed public official engaged in the performance of his or her official duties if the motive for the capital felony was related, in whole or in part, to the victim's official capacity.
- The victim of the capital felony was a person less than 12 years of age.
- The victim of the capital felony was particularly vulnerable due to advanced age or disability, or because the defendant stood in a position of familial or custodial authority over the victim.

to advise and recommend to the court that it impose the death penalty

The capital felony was committed by a criminal gang member, as defined in s. 874.03. F.S.

A majority of the jury by a vote of

¹⁴ "An aggravating circumstance is a standard to guide the jury in making the choice between the alternative recommendations of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole or death. It is a statutorily enumerated circumstance which increases the gravity of a crime or the harm to a victim." Fla. Standard Jury Instructions, Criminal Cases, Penalty Proceedings Capital Cases, Instr. 7.11.

¹⁵ ss. 921.141(2) and 921.142(3), F.S.

¹⁶ "If a majority of the jury, seven or more, determine that (defendant) should be sentenced to death, your advisory sentence will be:

upon (defendant).

On the other hand, if by six or more votes the jury determines that (defendant) should not be sentenced to death, your advisory sentence will be:

The jury advises and recommends to the court that it impose a sentence of life imprisonment upon (defendant) without possibility of parole." Fla. Standard Jury Instructions, Criminal Cases, Penalty Proceedings Capital Cases, Instr. 7.11.

17 Id.

- The capital felony was committed by a person designated as a sexual predator pursuant to s. 775.21, F.S., or a person previously designated as a sexual predator who had the sexual predator designation removed.
- The capital felony was committed by a person subject to specified injunctions or foreign protection orders and was committed against the petitioner who obtained the injunction or protection order or any spouse, child, sibling, or parent of the petitioner.

Section 921.142(6), F.S., which addresses sentencing proceedings for capital drug trafficking offenses, provides for the following aggravating circumstances:

- The capital felony was committed by a person under a sentence of imprisonment.
- The defendant was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a state or federal offense involving the distribution of a controlled substance that is punishable by a sentence of at least one year of imprisonment.
- The defendant knowingly created grave risk of death to one or more persons such that participation in the offense constituted reckless indifference or disregard for human life.
- The defendant used a firearm or knowingly directed, advised, authorized, or assisted another to use a firearm to threaten, intimidate, assault, or injure a person in committing the offense or in furtherance of the offense.
- The offense involved the distribution of controlled substances to persons under the age of 18 years, the distribution of controlled substances within school zones, or the use or employment of persons under the age of 18 years in aid of distribution of controlled substances.
- The offense involved distribution of controlled substances known to contain a potentially lethal adulterant.
- The defendant intentionally killed the victim, intentionally inflicted serious bodily injury which resulted in the death of the victim, or intentionally engaged in conduct intending that the victim be killed or that lethal force be employed against the victim, which resulted in the death of the victim.
- The defendant committed the offense as consideration for the receipt, or in the expectation of the receipt, of anything of pecuniary value.
- The defendant committed the offense after planning and premeditation.
- The defendant committed the offense in a heinous, cruel, or depraved manner in that the offense involved torture or serious physical abuse to the victim.

Mitigating factors are not limited by statute. Sections 921.141(6) and (7), F.S., specify that mitigating circumstances for capital offenses shall include:

- The defendant has no significant history of prior criminal activity.
- The capital felony was committed while the defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional disturbance.
- The victim was a participant in the defendant's conduct or consented to the act.
- The defendant was an accomplice in the capital felony committed by another person and his or her participation was relatively minor.
- The defendant acted under extreme duress or under the substantial domination of another person.
- The capacity of the defendant to appreciate the criminality of his or her conduct or to conform his or her conduct to the requirements of law was substantially impaired.
- The age of the defendant at the time of the crime.
- The existence of any other factors in the defendant's background that would mitigate against imposition of the death penalty.

The following may also constitute a mitigating circumstance: (a) the victim was a participant in the defendant's conduct or consented to the act for a capital murder offense; 18 and (b) the defendant could

¹⁸ s. 921.141(6)(c), F.S.

DATE: 1/31/2016

STORAGE NAME: pcb07.CRJS.DOCX

not have reasonably foreseen that her or his conduct during the commission of the offense would cause or create a grave risk of death to one or more persons for a capital trafficking offense. 19

The judge is not required to sentence a defendant as recommended by the jury. The judge conducts an independent analysis of the aggravating and mitigating factors. The recommendation of the jury must be given great weight in the judge's decision-making process on the ultimate sentence rendered by the judge. 20 The judge may override the jury's decision. If the judge sentences a person to death, he or she must make written findings that there are sufficient aggravating circumstances and insufficient mitigating circumstances to outweigh the aggravating circumstances.²¹

In sum. Florida does not require a unanimous jury recommendation or a unanimous finding by the jury that any aggravating circumstance has been proved.²² A Florida jury may recommend a death sentence to the trial judge on a simple majority vote of the 12 jurors, and there is no special verdict required to reflect the vote on the aggravating circumstances. 23 From 2000-2012, only 20 percent of iury recommendations were unanimous.

			by	Caler			Dispos		by Flo	Death rida S			rt ²⁴			
Original Jury Vote	,00	'01	'02	'03	'04	'05	'06	'07	,08	,09	'10	'11	'12	Total	% ²⁵	Cum %
7-5	6	1	4	4	0	3	0	2	4	1	3	2	2	32	11%	11%
8-4	4	6	2	6	2	0	3	0	2	9	2	1	5	42	14%	25%
9-3	4	4	3	6	2	2	11	3	5	6	6	9	5	66	22%	47%
10-2	3	12	4	3	3	3	2	2	2	5	11	1	3	54	18%	66%
11-1	2	8	5	5	3	1	1	2	1	5	5	1	3	42	14%	80%
12-0	9	6	8	4	2	3	6	7	6	0	1	6	2	60	20%	100%
Subtotal	28	37	26	28	12	12	23	16	20	26	28	20	20	296	100%	
Other ²⁶	3	1	2	3	4	2	0	0	1	4	3	1	0	24]	
TOTAL	31	38	28	31	16	14	23	16	21	30	31	21	20	320		

Each sentence of death is subject to automatic review by the Supreme Court of Florida.²⁷ The sentence, and the reasons for it, must be reduced to writing so that the Florida Supreme Court can engage in meaningful review.²⁸ The Florida Supreme Court engages in a proportionality review in all cases in which the death penalty is handed down. Proportionality review is the comparison of one case in which the defendant was sentenced to death to other similar death sentence cases.

STORAGE NAME: pcb07.CRJS.DOCX

DATE: 1/31/2016

¹⁹ s. 921.142(7)(g), F.S.,

²⁰ What is referred to as the Tedder "Great Weight" Standard was announced by the Florida Supreme Court in Tedder v. State, 322 So.2d 908, 910 (Fla. 1975). In that case, the court determined that "[a] jury recommendation under our trifurcated death penalty statute should be given great weight. In order to sustain a sentence of death following a jury recommendation of life, the facts suggesting a sentence of death should be so clear and convincing that virtually no reasonable person could differ." ss. 921.141(3) and 921.142(4), F.S.

²² Even in 1976, Florida's capital sentencing scheme was particularly unique in that the jury only recommended a sentence, its recommendation need not be unanimous or by any particular numerical vote, and the trial judge was permitted to override the jury's sentencing vote, whether for a life or death sentence. See Proffitt v. Florida, 428 U.S. 242, 252 (1976); Spaziano v. Florida, 468 U.S. 447 (1984). See also that Wilcox v. State, 143 So. 3d 359, 389 (Fla. 2014)(Death sentence involving a seven-to-five jury recommendation was not unconstitutional on that basis); Kimbrough v. State, 125 So. 3d 752, 754 (Fla. 2013) and Mann v. State, 112 So. 3d 1158 (Fla. 2013) (Non-unanimous jury recommendations to impose sentence of death are not unconstitutional).

²³ ss. 921.141(2)-(3) and 921.142(3)-(4), F.S.; American Bar Association, Death Penalty Due Process Review Project Section of Individual Rights and Responsibilities, Report to the House of Delegates (108A);

http://www.americanbar.org/news/reporter resources/midyear-meeting-2015/house-of-delegates-resolutions/108a.html.

²⁴ Thirteen years of data compiled by the Supreme Court Clerk's Office. Fla. S. Comm. on Criminal Justice, SB 664 (2015) Staff Analysis 8 (March 9, 2015), available at http://www.flsenate.gov/Session/Bill/2015/0664/Analyses/2015s0664.pre.ci.PDF.

²⁵ Calculated percentage excludes the "other" category.

²⁶ Includes waiver of penalty phase, and judicial overrides from jury recommendation of life to judge imposing death.

²⁷ Section 921.141(4), F.S.

²⁸ State v. Dixon, 283 So.2d 1, 8 (Fla. 1973).

The Sixth Amendment, Ring, and Hurst

In the years following *Ring*, the Florida Supreme Court has repeatedly held that the state's capital sentencing scheme did not violate the Sixth Amendment under *Ring* since s. 921.141, F.S., is unique in allowing the jury to recommend death and the judge impose the sentence.³⁵

Hurst v. Florida

In this case, Timothy Lee Hurst was convicted of first-degree murder for fatally stabbing his co-worker in 1998 with a box cutter.³⁶ A jury recommended a sentence of death by a seven-to-five vote; thereafter, the trial court entered a sentence of death.³⁷ Hurst challenged his sentence arguing that the jury was required to find specific aggravators and to issue a unanimous advisory sentence recommendation.³⁸ The Florida Supreme Court denied Hurst's claims that his sentence violated *Ring* by adhering to Florida's precedent of not adopting *Ring* and citing to the Eleventh Circuit's recent approval of the capital sentencing scheme.³⁹ Hurst appealed this denial to the United States Supreme Court arguing that Florida's capital sentencing scheme violated *Ring* because the jury recommends the sentence with only a simple majority, the judge finds the facts necessary for imposition of the death penalty, and the judge imposes the death penalty.⁴⁰

On January 12, 2016, the United States Supreme Court held Florida's capital sentencing scheme unconstitutional in an eight-to-one opinion.⁴¹ The Court ruled that the Sixth Amendment requires a jury, not a judge, to find each fact necessary to impose a sentence of death as a jury's "mere recommendation is not enough."⁴² The Court compared Florida's sentencing scheme to Arizona's in *Ring* and found Florida's distinctive factor of the advisory jury verdict immaterial. Like the unconstitutional practice in *Ring*, the judge in *Hurst* performed her own fact finding and increased *Hurst's* authorized punishment, thereby violating the Sixth Amendment.⁴³ The Court also expressly

STORAGE NAME: pcb07.CRJS.DOCX

DATE: 1/31/2016

²⁹ U.S. CONST. Amend. VI.

³⁰ United States v. Gaudin, 515 U.S. 506, 510 (1995).

³¹ Apprendi v. New Jersey, 530 U.S. 466, 490 (2000).

³² Ring v. Arizona, 536 U.S. 584, 592 (2002).

³³ *Id.* at 609.

³⁴ Schriro v. Summerlin, 542 U.S. 348, 358 (2004).

³⁵ Bottoson v. Moore, 833 So.2d 693 (Fla. 2002) cert. denied, 537 U.S. 1070 (2002); King v. Moore, 831 So. 2d 143 (Fla. 2002) cert. denied, 537 U.S. 1067 (2002); and State v. Steele, 921 So. 2d 538, 548 (Fla. 2005).

³⁶ Hurst v. State, 147 So. 3d 435, 437 (Fla. 2014), rev'd and remanded, No. 14-7505, 2016 WL 112683 (U.S. Jan. 12, 2016).

³⁷ *Id*. at 440.

³⁸ *Id.* at 446.

³⁹ Id. at 446-447. See Evans v. Secretary, Fla. Dep't of Corrections, 699 F.3d 1249(11th Cir. 2012), cert. denied, 133 S.Ct. 2393 (2013)(Citing Hildwin v. Florida, 490 U.S. 638 (1989), where the United States Supreme Court upheld Florida capital sentencing scheme thirteen years before Ring).

⁴⁰ Brief for Petitioner at 17-52 Hurst v. Florida, 2016 WL 112683 (2016) (No. 14-7505), 2015 WL 3542784.

⁴¹ Hurst v. Florida, 2016 WL 112683, at *3 (2016).

⁴² *Id*. at *5.

⁴³ *Id*. at *6.

overruled its past decisions upholding Florida's capital sentencing scheme which were issued prior to *Ring*.⁴⁴

The Court's opinion did not address Hurst's contention that a jury's advisory verdict must be greater than a simple majority in order to comport with the Sixth and Eighth Amendments. Neither the United States Supreme Court nor the Florida Supreme Court has required unanimity in a jury's capital sentencing recommendation. Alabama's capital sentencing scheme allows the imposition of the death penalty with a 10-2 jury sentencing recommendation. Similarly, Delaware requires unanimity regarding the finding of aggravating factors, but does not require unanimity in a sentencing recommendation. Furthermore, in the 2006 Legislative Session, the Florida House of Representatives passed a resolution stating the House "believes that the public policy of this state should be that unanimous jury recommendations not be required in death penalty cases." The Resolution provided that requiring unanimity is inappropriate since it allows a "single juror the ability to override the reasoned judgment of all other jurors weighing and considering the same facts and circumstances." In support of a non-unanimous jury recommendation, the resolution states some of Florida's most notorious murderers were sentenced with a less than unanimous recommendation, such as Theodore Bundy and Ailen Wuornos.

Effect of the Bill

The bill amends ss. 921.141 and 921.142, F.S., to comply with the United States Supreme Court's holding that a jury, not a judge, must find each fact necessary to impose a sentence of death. Under the bill, the jury, after hearing all of the evidence presented on aggravating factors and mitigating circumstances, must determine if the state has proven, beyond a reasonable doubt, the existence of at least one aggravating factor and must return findings identifying each aggravating factor found. Such findings must be unanimous. If the jury:

- Does not unanimously find an aggravating factor, the defendant is ineligible for a sentence of death.
- Unanimously finds at least one aggravating factor, the defendant is eligible for a sentence of death and the jury must recommend to the court whether the defendant shall be sentenced to life imprisonment without the possibility of parole or death.

In making its recommendation, the jury must weigh the following:

- Whether sufficient aggravating factors exist.
- Whether sufficient mitigating circumstances exist that outweigh the aggravating factors found to exist.
- Based on these considerations, whether the defendant should be sentenced to life imprisonment without the possibility of parole or death.

To recommend a sentence of death, a minimum of nine jurors must concur in the recommendation. If fewer than nine jurors concur, a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole will be the jury's recommendation to the court.

If the jury recommends life imprisonment without the possibility of parole, the judge must impose the recommended sentence. If the jury recommends a sentence of death, the judge may impose a

⁴⁴ *Id*. at *7.

⁴⁵ ALA. CODE § 13A-5-46(f)("The decision of the jury to recommend a sentence of death must be based on a vote of at least 10 jurors.). See also Gobble v. State, 104 So. 3d 920, 977 (Ala. Crim. App. 2010)("Ring does not require a unanimous recommendation for the death penalty before a defendant may be sentenced to death.").

⁴⁶ DEL. CODE ANN. tit. 11, § 4209.

⁴⁷ Fla. HR 1627 (2006).

⁴⁸ Id

⁴⁹ *Id.* The Staff Analysis states Bundy, Wuornos, and Joe Nixon all received a 10-2 recommendation. Fla. H.R. Justice Council, HR 1627 (2006) Staff Analysis 6 (April 10, 2006) *available at*

 $[\]underline{http://www.myfloridahouse.gov/Sections/Documents/loaddoc.aspx?FileName=h1627b.JC.doc\&DocumentType=Analysis\&BillNumber=1627\&Session=2006\ .$

sentence of death or a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole. The judge may only consider an aggravating factor that was unanimously found by the jury. If the defendant waived his or her right to a sentencing proceeding by a jury, the court may impose a sentence of death or life imprisonment without the possibility of parole. The court, sitting without a jury, still must weigh aggravating factors against mitigating circumstances and may only impose death if the court finds at least one aggravating factor to have been proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

To impose the jury's recommendation, the judge must enter a written order imposing the sentence for the defendant. In writing the order, the judge must consider the records of the trial and sentencing proceedings and address the aggravating factors found to exist by the jury and mitigating circumstances reasonably established by the evidence. If the court does not issue its order requiring the death sentence within 30 days after the judgment and sentence were rendered, the court must impose a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole.

The bill also amends s. 775.082, F.S, relating to penalties, to reflect that a person who has been convicted of a capital felony will be punished by death if the capital punishment scheme in s. 921.141, F.S., results in a determination that the person will be punished by death. The bill also reenacts ss. 782.04, 794.011, and 893.135, F.S., to incorporate the amendments made by the bill.

The bill is effective upon becoming law.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 775.082, F.S., relating to penalties; applicability of sentencing structures; mandatory minimum sentences for certain reoffenders previously released from prison.

Section 2. Amends s. 921.141, F.S., relating to the sentence of death or life imprisonment for capital felonies.

Section 3. Amends s. 921.142, F.S., relating to the sentence of death or life imprisonment for capital drug trafficking felonies.

Section 4. Reenacts s. 782.04, F.S., relating to murder.

Section 5. Reenacts s. 794.011, F.S., relating to sexual battery.

Section 6. Reenacts s. 893.135, F.S., relating to trafficking; mandatory sentences; suspension or reduction of sentences; conspiracy to engage in trafficking.

Section 7. Provides the bill is effective upon becoming law.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on state revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on state expenditures.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

STORAGE NAME: pcb07.CRJS.DOCX

DATE: 1/31/2016

PAGE: 8

The bill does not appear to have any impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The bill does not appear to have any direct economic impact on the private sector.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

The bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

Retroactivity

Any decision of the United States Supreme Court which results in a "new rule" of constitutional law applies retroactively to all criminal cases still pending direct review.⁵⁰ It is likely the Court's ruling in *Hurst* will apply retroactively to pending or future appeals on direct review.⁵¹

Whether *Hurst* will apply retroactively to death row appeals on collateral review or inmates who have exhausted their appeals will depend on whether the holding in *Hurst* is determined to be substantive or a watershed rule of criminal procedure. For example, in *Schriro v. Summerlin*, the United States Supreme Court held that the *Ring* decision was not retroactive. In determining that *Ring's* holding was merely procedural, rather than substantive or a watershed rule, the Court stated: 14

Ring held that "a sentencing judge, sitting without a jury, [may not] find an aggravating circumstance necessary for imposition of the death penalty." 536 U.S., at 609. Rather, "the Sixth Amendment requires that [those circumstances] be found by a jury." *Ibid.* This holding did not alter the range of conduct Arizona law subjected to the death penalty. It could not have; it rested entirely on the Sixth Amendment's jury-trial guarantee, a provision that has nothing to do with

DATE: 1/31/2016

⁵⁰ Griffith v. Kentucky, 479 U.S. 314, 328 (1987)(holding that "a new rule for the conduct of criminal prosecutions is to be applied retroactively to all cases, state or federal, pending on direct review or not yet final, with no exception for cases in which the new rule constitutes a 'clear break' with the past); Schriro v. Summerlin, 542 U.S. 348, 351 (2004)(stating that "when a decision of this Court results in a 'new rule,' that rule applies to all criminal cases still pending on direct review."); Johnson v. State, 904 So. 2d 400, 407 (Fla. 2005)(stating "It is clear that new law announced by this Court or the United States Supreme Court applies to all non-final criminal cases – that is, to all cases involving convictions for which an appellate court mandate has not yet issued.").

⁵¹ Currently, there are 43 cases involving a sentence of death that is on direct review. E-mail from the Department of Legal Affairs dated January 27, 2016 (on file with the Criminal Justice Subcommittee).

⁵² Schriro v. Summerlin, 542 U.S. 348, 351 (2004). Retroactivity only applies to: (1) a substantive rule that "places certain kinds of primary, private individual conduct beyond the power of the criminal law-making authority to proscribe or if it prohibits a certain category of punishment for a class of defendants because of their status or offense"; and (2) a procedural rule which constitutes a watershed rule of criminal procedure implicating the fundamental fairness and accuracy of the criminal proceeding. Teague v. Lane, 498 U.S. 288, 310-13 (1989).

⁵³ Schriro, 542 U.S. at 358.

⁵⁴ *Id*.

the range of conduct a State may criminalize. Instead, *Ring* altered the range of permissible methods for determining whether a defendant's conduct is punishable by death, requiring that a jury rather than a judge find the essential facts bearing on punishment. Rules that allocate decisionmaking authority in this fashion are prototypical procedural rules.

Given this ruling by the Court and the fact that the holding in *Hurst* is effectively the same as the holding in *Ring*, it seems probable that the Florida Supreme Court, under the precedent of *Summerlin*, would find that *Hurst* does not meet the test for retroactivity on collateral review.⁵⁵

The Florida Supreme Court has scheduled oral arguments to address the applicability of *Hurst* to pending collateral appeals.⁵⁶

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill does not appear to create a need for rulemaking or rulemaking authority.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

N/A

STORAGE NAME: pcb07.CRJS.DOCX

DATE: 1/31/2016

⁵⁵ See Schiro, 542 U.S. at 358 ("The right to jury trial is fundamental to our system of criminal procedure, and States are bound to enforce the Sixth Amendment's guarantees as we interpret them. But it does not follow that, when a criminal defendant has had a full trial and one round of appeals in which the State faithfully applied the Constitution as we understood it at the time, he may nevertheless continue to litigate his claims indefinitely in hopes that we will one day have a change of heart. Ring announced a new procedural rule that does not apply retroactively to cases already final on direct review."). In 2005, the Florida Supreme Court held that Ring did not apply retroactively in Florida to defendants whose convictions were final when that decision was rendered. Johnson v. State, 904 So. 2d 400 (Fla. 2005).

⁵⁶ Lambrix v. Florida, Case No. SC16-8 & SC 16-56, Order Jan. 15, 2016 (available at https://efactssc-public.flcourts.org/casedocuments/2016/8/2016-8 order 208838.pdf).

PCB CRJS 16-07

ORIGINAL

2016

1 A bill to be entitled 2 An act relating to sentencing for capital felonies; amending s. 775.082, F.S.; conforming a provision to 3 changes made by the act; amending ss. 921.141 and 4 5 921.142, F.S.; deleting provisions relating to advisory sentencing by juries and findings by the 6 7 court in support of sentences of death; requiring 8 juries to find aggravating factors, if any, in the 9 penalty phase of capital cases; specifying a standard 10 of proof for such factors; requiring unanimity for such findings; requiring a jury to make a 11 recommendation to the court whether the defendant 12 shall be sentenced to life imprisonment or death; 13 specifying considerations for such a recommendation; 14 requiring a minimum number of jurors to support a 15 recommendation of a sentence of death; requiring a 16 17 sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole in certain circumstances; requiring the 18 19 court to enter an order meeting specified requirements 20 in each case in which it imposes a death sentence; 21 reenacting ss. 782.04(1)(b) and 794.011(2)(a), F.S., relating to murder and sexual battery, respectively, 22 23 for the purpose of incorporating amendments made by the act to s. 921.141, F.S., in references thereto; 24 reenacting s. 893.135(1)(b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), 25 26 (h), (i), (j), (k), and (l), F.S., relating to

Page 1 of 39

trafficking in controlled substances, for the purpose of incorporating amendments made by the act to s. 921.142, F.S., in references thereto; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section 775.082, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

775.082 Penalties; applicability of sentencing structures; mandatory minimum sentences for certain reoffenders previously released from prison.—

- (1)(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a person who has been convicted of a capital felony shall be punished by death if the proceeding held to determine sentence according to the procedure set forth in s. 921.141 results in a determination findings by the court that such person shall be punished by death, otherwise such person shall be punished by life imprisonment and shall be ineligible for parole.
- Section 2. Section 921.141, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 921.141 Sentence of death or life imprisonment for capital felonies; further proceedings to determine sentence.—
- (1) SEPARATE PROCEEDINGS ON ISSUE OF PENALTY.—Upon conviction or adjudication of guilt of a defendant of a capital felony, the court shall conduct a separate sentencing proceeding

Page 2 of 39

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

to determine whether the defendant should be sentenced to death or life imprisonment as authorized by s. 775.082. The proceeding shall be conducted by the trial judge before the trial jury as soon as practicable. If, through impossibility or inability, the trial jury is unable to reconvene for a hearing on the issue of penalty, having determined the guilt of the accused, the trial judge may summon a special juror or jurors as provided in chapter 913 to determine the issue of the imposition of the penalty. If the trial jury has been waived, or if the defendant pleaded quilty, the sentencing proceeding shall be conducted before a jury impaneled for that purpose, unless waived by the defendant. In the proceeding, evidence may be presented as to any matter that the court deems relevant to the nature of the crime and the character of the defendant and shall include matters relating to any of the aggravating factors or mitigating circumstances enumerated in subsections (5) and (6) and (7). Any such evidence which the court deems to have probative value may be received, regardless of its admissibility under the exclusionary rules of evidence, provided the defendant is accorded a fair opportunity to rebut any hearsay statements. However, this subsection shall not be construed to authorize the introduction of any evidence secured in violation of the Constitution of the United States or the Constitution of the State of Florida. The state and the defendant or the defendant's counsel shall be permitted to present argument for or against sentence of death.

Page 3 of 39

(2) FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDED SENTENCE BY THE JURY—This subsection applies only if the defendant has not waived his or her right to a sentencing proceeding by a jury.

- (a) After hearing all of the evidence presented in aggravation and mitigation, the jury shall deliberate and determine if the state has proven, beyond a reasonable doubt, the existence of at least one aggravating factor set forth in subsection (6).
- (b) The jury shall return findings identifying each aggravating factor found to exist. A finding that an aggravating factor exists must be unanimous. If the jury:
- 1. Does not unanimously find at least one aggravating factor, the defendant is ineligible for a sentence of death.
- 2. Unanimously finds at least one aggravating factor, the defendant is eligible for a sentence of death and the jury shall make a recommendation to the court as to whether the defendant shall be sentenced to life imprisonment without the possibility of parole or death. The recommendation shall be based on a weighing of the following:
 - a. Whether sufficient aggravating factors exist.
- b. Whether sufficient mitigating circumstances exist that outweigh the aggravating factors found to exist.
- c. Based on these considerations, whether the defendant should be sentenced to life imprisonment without the possibility of parole or death.
 - (c) If at least 9 jurors determine that the defendant

Page 4 of 39

should be sentenced to death, the jury's recommendation to the court shall be a sentence of death. If fewer than 9 jurors determine that the defendant should be sentenced to death, the jury's recommendation to the court shall be a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole.

(3) IMPOSITION OF LIFE OR DEATH SENTENCE.

- (a) If the jury has recommended a sentence of:
- 1. Life imprisonment without the possibility of parole, the court shall impose the recommended sentence.
- 2. Death, the court, after considering each aggravating factor found by the jury and all mitigating circumstances, may impose a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole or a sentence of death. The court may only consider an aggravating factor that was unanimously found by the jury to exist.
- (b) If the defendant waived his or her right to a sentencing proceeding by a jury, the court, after considering all aggravating factors and mitigating circumstances, may impose a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole or a sentence of death. The court may only impose a sentence of death if the court finds at least one aggravating factor has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt to exist.
- (4) ORDER OF THE COURT IN SUPPORT OF SENTENCE OF DEATH.—
 In each case in which the court imposes a death sentence, the court shall, considering the records of the trial and the sentencing proceedings, enter a written order addressing the

Page 5 of 39

ORIGINAL 2016 PCB CRJS 16-07

131	aggravating factors set forth in subsection (6) found to exist,
132	the mitigating circumstances in subsection (7) reasonably
133	established by the evidence, whether there are sufficient
134	aggravating factors to warrant the death penalty, and whether
135	the mitigating circumstances reasonably established by the
136	evidence outweigh the aggravating factors. If the court does not
137	issue its order requiring the death sentence within 30 days
138	after the rendition of the judgment and sentence, the court
139	shall impose a sentence of life imprisonment without the
140	possibility of parole in accordance with s. 775.082.
141	(2) ADVISORY SENTENCE BY THE JURY. After hearing all the
142	evidence, the jury shall deliberate and render an advisory
143	sentence to the court, based upon the following matters:
144	(a) Whether sufficient aggravating circumstances exist as
145	enumerated in subsection (5);
146	(b) Whether sufficient mitigating circumstances exist
147	which outweigh the aggravating circumstances found to exist; and
148	(c) Based on these considerations, whether the defendant
149	should be sentenced to life imprisonment or death.
150	(3) FINDINGS IN SUPPORT OF SENTENCE OF DEATH.
151	Notwithstanding the recommendation of a majority of the jury,
152	the court, after weighing the aggravating and mitigating
153	circumstances, shall enter a sentence of life imprisonment or
154	death, but if the court imposes a sentence of death, it shall
155	set forth in writing its findings upon which the sentence of
156	death is based as to the facts.

Page 6 of 39

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

(a) That sufficient aggravating circumstances exist as enumerated in subsection (5), and

(b) That there are insufficient mitigating circumstances to outweigh the aggravating circumstances.

In each case in which the court imposes the death sentence, the determination of the court shall be supported by specific written findings of fact based upon the circumstances in subsections (5) and (6) and upon the records of the trial and the sentencing proceedings. If the court does not make the findings requiring the death sentence within 30 days after the rendition of the judgment and sentence, the court shall impose sentence of life imprisonment in accordance with s. 775.082.

- (5)(4) REVIEW OF JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE.—The judgment of conviction and sentence of death shall be subject to automatic review by the Supreme Court of Florida and disposition rendered within 2 years after the filing of a notice of appeal. Such review by the Supreme Court shall have priority over all other cases and shall be heard in accordance with rules promulgated by the Supreme Court.
- (6) (5) AGGRAVATING FACTORS CIRCUMSTANCES.—Aggravating factors circumstances shall be limited to the following:
- (a) The capital felony was committed by a person previously convicted of a felony and under sentence of imprisonment or placed on community control or on felony probation.

Page 7 of 39

(b) The defendant was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a felony involving the use or threat of violence to the person.

- (c) The defendant knowingly created a great risk of death to many persons.
- (d) The capital felony was committed while the defendant was engaged, or was an accomplice, in the commission of, or an attempt to commit, or flight after committing or attempting to commit, any: robbery; sexual battery; aggravated child abuse; abuse of an elderly person or disabled adult resulting in great bodily harm, permanent disability, or permanent disfigurement; arson; burglary; kidnapping; aircraft piracy; or unlawful throwing, placing, or discharging of a destructive device or bomb.
- (e) The capital felony was committed for the purpose of avoiding or preventing a lawful arrest or effecting an escape from custody.
 - (f) The capital felony was committed for pecuniary gain.
- (g) The capital felony was committed to disrupt or hinder the lawful exercise of any governmental function or the enforcement of laws.
- (h) The capital felony was especially heinous, atrocious, or cruel.
- (i) The capital felony was a homicide and was committed in a cold, calculated, and premeditated manner without any pretense of moral or legal justification.

Page 8 of 39

(j) The victim of the capital felony was a law enforcement officer engaged in the performance of his or her official duties.

- (k) The victim of the capital felony was an elected or appointed public official engaged in the performance of his or her official duties if the motive for the capital felony was related, in whole or in part, to the victim's official capacity.
- (1) The victim of the capital felony was a person less than 12 years of age.
- (m) The victim of the capital felony was particularly vulnerable due to advanced age or disability, or because the defendant stood in a position of familial or custodial authority over the victim.
- (n) The capital felony was committed by a criminal gang member, as defined in s. 874.03.
- (o) The capital felony was committed by a person designated as a sexual predator pursuant to s. 775.21 or a person previously designated as a sexual predator who had the sexual predator designation removed.
- (p) The capital felony was committed by a person subject to an injunction issued pursuant to s. 741.30 or s. 784.046, or a foreign protection order accorded full faith and credit pursuant to s. 741.315, and was committed against the petitioner who obtained the injunction or protection order or any spouse, child, sibling, or parent of the petitioner.
 - (7) (6) MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.—Mitigating circumstances

Page 9 of 39

shall be the following:

- (a) The defendant has no significant history of prior criminal activity.
- (b) The capital felony was committed while the defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional disturbance.
- (c) The victim was a participant in the defendant's conduct or consented to the act.
- (d) The defendant was an accomplice in the capital felony committed by another person and his or her participation was relatively minor.
- (e) The defendant acted under extreme duress or under the substantial domination of another person.
- (f) The capacity of the defendant to appreciate the criminality of his or her conduct or to conform his or her conduct to the requirements of law was substantially impaired.
 - (q) The age of the defendant at the time of the crime.
- (h) The existence of any other factors in the defendant's background that would mitigate against imposition of the death penalty.
- (8)(7) VICTIM IMPACT EVIDENCE.—Once the prosecution has provided evidence of the existence of one or more aggravating factors circumstances as described in subsection (6)(5), the prosecution may introduce, and subsequently argue, victim impact evidence to the jury. Such evidence shall be designed to demonstrate the victim's uniqueness as an individual human being

Page 10 of 39

and the resultant loss to the community's members by the victim's death. Characterizations and opinions about the crime, the defendant, and the appropriate sentence shall not be permitted as a part of victim impact evidence.

- (9)(8) APPLICABILITY.—This section does not apply to a person convicted or adjudicated guilty of a capital drug trafficking felony under s. 893.135.
- Section 3. Section 921.142, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 921.142 Sentence of death or life imprisonment for capital drug trafficking felonies; further proceedings to determine sentence.—
- (1) FINDINGS.—The Legislature finds that trafficking in cocaine or opiates carries a grave risk of death or danger to the public; that a reckless disregard for human life is implicit in knowingly trafficking in cocaine or opiates; and that persons who traffic in cocaine or opiates may be determined by the trier of fact to have a culpable mental state of reckless indifference or disregard for human life.
- (2) SEPARATE PROCEEDINGS ON ISSUE OF PENALTY.—Upon conviction or adjudication of guilt of a defendant of a capital felony under s. 893.135, the court shall conduct a separate sentencing proceeding to determine whether the defendant should be sentenced to death or life imprisonment as authorized by s. 775.082. The proceeding shall be conducted by the trial judge before the trial jury as soon as practicable. If, through

Page 11 of 39

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

impossibility or inability, the trial jury is unable to reconvene for a hearing on the issue of penalty, having determined the guilt of the accused, the trial judge may summon a special juror or jurors as provided in chapter 913 to determine the issue of the imposition of the penalty. If the trial jury has been waived, or if the defendant pleaded guilty, the sentencing proceeding shall be conducted before a jury impaneled for that purpose, unless waived by the defendant. In the proceeding, evidence may be presented as to any matter that the court deems relevant to the nature of the crime and the character of the defendant and shall include matters relating to any of the aggravating factors or mitigating circumstances enumerated in subsections (6) and (7) and (8). Any such evidence which the court deems to have probative value may be received, regardless of its admissibility under the exclusionary rules of evidence, provided the defendant is accorded a fair opportunity to rebut any hearsay statements. However, this subsection shall not be construed to authorize the introduction of any evidence secured in violation of the Constitution of the United States or the Constitution of the State of Florida. The state and the defendant or the defendant's counsel shall be permitted to present argument for or against sentence of death.

- (3) FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDED SENTENCE BY THE JURY—This subsection applies only if the defendant has not waived his or her right to a sentencing proceeding by a jury.
 - (a) After hearing all of the evidence presented in

Page 12 of 39

aggravation and mitigation, the jury shall deliberate and determine if the state has proven, beyond a reasonable doubt, the existence of at least one aggravating factor set forth in subsection (7).

- (b) The jury shall return findings identifying each aggravating factor found to exist. A finding that an aggravating factor exists must be unanimous. If the jury:
- 1. Does not unanimously find at least one aggravating factor, the defendant is ineligible for a sentence of death.
- 2. Unanimously finds at least one aggravating factor, the defendant is eligible for a sentence of death and the jury shall make a recommendation to the court as to whether the defendant shall be sentenced to life imprisonment without the possibility of parole or death. The recommendation shall be based on a weighing of the following:
 - a. Whether sufficient aggravating factors exist.
- b. Whether sufficient mitigating circumstances exist that outweigh the aggravating factors found to exist.
- c. Based on these considerations, whether the defendant should be sentenced to life imprisonment without the possibility of parole or death.
- (c) If at least 9 jurors determine that the defendant should be sentenced to death, the jury's recommendation to the court shall be a sentence of death. If fewer than 9 jurors determine that the defendant should be sentenced to death, the jury's recommendation to the court shall be a sentence of life

Page 13 of 39

imprisonment without the possibility of parole.

- (4) IMPOSITION OF LIFE OR DEATH SENTENCE.-
- (a) If the jury has recommended a sentence of:
- 1. Life imprisonment without the possibility of parole, the court shall impose the recommended sentence.
- 2. Death, the court, after considering each aggravating factor found by the jury and all mitigating circumstances, may impose a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole or a sentence of death. The court may only consider an aggravating factor that was unanimously found by the jury to exist.
- (b) If the defendant waived his or her right to a sentencing proceeding by a jury, the court, after considering all aggravating factors and mitigating circumstances, may impose a sentence of life imprisonment without the possibility of parole or a sentence of death. The court may only impose a sentence of death if the court finds at least one aggravating factor has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt to exist.
- (5) ORDER OF THE COURT IN SUPPORT OF SENTENCE OF DEATH.—
 In each case in which the court imposes a death sentence, the court shall, considering the records of the trial and the sentencing proceedings, enter a written order addressing the aggravating factors set forth in subsection (7) found to exist, the mitigating circumstances in subsection (8) reasonably established by the evidence, whether there are sufficient aggravating factors to warrant the death penalty, and whether

Page 14 of 39

365 the mitigating circumstances reasonably established by the evidence outweigh the aggravating factors. If the court does not 366 issue its order requiring the death sentence within 30 days 367 368 after the rendition of the judgment and sentence, the court 369 shall impose a sentence of life imprisonment without the 370 possibility of parole in accordance with s. 775.082. 371 (3) ADVISORY SENTENCE BY THE JURY. - After hearing all the 372 evidence, the jury shall deliberate and render an advisory 373 sentence to the court, based upon the following matters: 374 (a) Whether sufficient aggravating circumstances exist as 375 enumerated in subsection (6); 376 (b) Whether sufficient mitigating circumstances exist 377 which outweigh the aggravating circumstances found to exist; and 378 (c) Based on these considerations, whether the defendant 379 should be sentenced to life imprisonment or death. 380 (4) FINDINGS IN SUPPORT OF SENTENCE OF DEATH. Notwithstanding the recommendation of a majority of the jury, 381 382 the court, after weighing the aggravating and mitigating 383 circumstances, shall enter a sentence of life imprisonment or 384 death, but if the court imposes a sentence of death, it shall 385 set forth in writing its findings upon which the sentence of death is based as to the facts: 386 387 (a) That sufficient aggravating circumstances exist as 388 enumerated in subsection (6), and 389 (b) That there are insufficient mitigating circumstances 390 to outweigh the aggravating circumstances.

Page 15 of 39

In each case in which the court imposes the death sentence, the determination of the court shall be supported by specific written findings of fact based upon the circumstances in subsections (6) and (7) and upon the records of the trial and the sentencing proceedings. If the court does not make the findings requiring the death sentence within 30 days after the rendition of the judgment and sentence, the court shall impose sentence of life imprisonment in accordance with s. 775.082, and that person shall be incligible for parole.

- (6)(5) REVIEW OF JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE.—The judgment of conviction and sentence of death shall be subject to automatic review and disposition rendered by the Supreme Court of Florida within 2 years after the filing of a notice of appeal. Such review by the Supreme Court shall have priority over all other cases and shall be heard in accordance with rules promulgated by the Supreme Court.
- (7)(6) AGGRAVATING <u>FACTORS</u> <u>CIRCUMSTANCES</u>.—Aggravating factors <u>circumstances</u> shall be limited to the following:
- (a) The capital felony was committed by a person under a sentence of imprisonment.
- (b) The defendant was previously convicted of another capital felony or of a state or federal offense involving the distribution of a controlled substance that is punishable by a sentence of at least 1 year of imprisonment.
 - (c) The defendant knowingly created grave risk of death to

Page 16 of 39

one or more persons such that participation in the offense constituted reckless indifference or disregard for human life.

- (d) The defendant used a firearm or knowingly directed, advised, authorized, or assisted another to use a firearm to threaten, intimidate, assault, or injure a person in committing the offense or in furtherance of the offense.
- (e) The offense involved the distribution of controlled substances to persons under the age of 18 years, the distribution of controlled substances within school zones, or the use or employment of persons under the age of 18 years in aid of distribution of controlled substances.
- (f) The offense involved distribution of controlled substances known to contain a potentially lethal adulterant.
 - (q) The defendant:

- Intentionally killed the victim;
- 2. Intentionally inflicted serious bodily injury which resulted in the death of the victim; or
- 3. Intentionally engaged in conduct intending that the victim be killed or that lethal force be employed against the victim, which resulted in the death of the victim.
- (h) The defendant committed the offense as consideration for the receipt, or in the expectation of the receipt, of anything of pecuniary value.
- (i) The defendant committed the offense after planning and premeditation.
 - (j) The defendant committed the offense in a heinous,

Page 17 of 39

cruel, or depraved manner in that the offense involved torture or serious physical abuse to the victim.

- (8) (7) MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES.—Mitigating circumstances shall include the following:
- (a) The defendant has no significant history of prior criminal activity.
- (b) The capital felony was committed while the defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional disturbance.
- (c) The defendant was an accomplice in the capital felony committed by another person, and the defendant's participation was relatively minor.
- (d) The defendant was under extreme duress or under the substantial domination of another person.
- (e) The capacity of the defendant to appreciate the criminality of her or his conduct or to conform her or his conduct to the requirements of law was substantially impaired.
 - (f) The age of the defendant at the time of the offense.
- (g) The defendant could not have reasonably foreseen that her or his conduct in the course of the commission of the offense would cause or would create a grave risk of death to one or more persons.
- (h) The existence of any other factors in the defendant's background that would mitigate against imposition of the death penalty.
 - (9) (8) VICTIM IMPACT EVIDENCE.—Once the prosecution has

Page 18 of 39

provided evidence of the existence of one or more aggravating factors circumstances as described in subsection (7) (6), the prosecution may introduce, and subsequently argue, victim impact evidence. Such evidence shall be designed to demonstrate the victim's uniqueness as an individual human being and the resultant loss to the community's members by the victim's death. Characterizations and opinions about the crime, the defendant, and the appropriate sentence shall not be permitted as a part of victim impact evidence.

Section 4. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment made by this act to section 921.141, Florida Statutes, in a reference thereto, paragraph (b) of subsection (1) of section 782.04, Florida Statutes, is reenacted to read:

782.04 Murder.-

(1)

(b) In all cases under this section, the procedure set forth in s. 921.141 shall be followed in order to determine sentence of death or life imprisonment.

Section 5. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment made by this act to section 921.141, Florida Statutes, in a reference thereto, paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of section 794.011, Florida Statutes, is reenacted to read:

794.011 Sexual battery.-

(2)(a) A person 18 years of age or older who commits sexual battery upon, or in an attempt to commit sexual battery injures the sexual organs of, a person less than 12 years of age

Page 19 of 39

commits a capital felony, punishable as provided in ss. 775.082 and 921.141.

Section 6. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment made by this act to section 921.142, Florida Statutes, in references thereto, paragraphs (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (k), and (l) of subsection (l) of section 893.135, Florida Statutes, are reenacted to read:

893.135 Trafficking; mandatory sentences; suspension or reduction of sentences; conspiracy to engage in trafficking.—

- (1) Except as authorized in this chapter or in chapter 499 and notwithstanding the provisions of s. 893.13:
- (b)1. Any person who knowingly sells, purchases, manufactures, delivers, or brings into this state, or who is knowingly in actual or constructive possession of, 28 grams or more of cocaine, as described in s. 893.03(2)(a)4., or of any mixture containing cocaine, but less than 150 kilograms of cocaine or any such mixture, commits a felony of the first degree, which felony shall be known as "trafficking in cocaine," punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084. If the quantity involved:
- a. Is 28 grams or more, but less than 200 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 3 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$50,000.
- b. Is 200 grams or more, but less than 400 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of

Page 20 of 39

imprisonment of 7 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$100,000.

- c. Is 400 grams or more, but less than 150 kilograms, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 15 calendar years and pay a fine of \$250,000.
- 2. Any person who knowingly sells, purchases, manufactures, delivers, or brings into this state, or who is knowingly in actual or constructive possession of, 150 kilograms or more of cocaine, as described in s. 893.03(2)(a)4., commits the first degree felony of trafficking in cocaine. A person who has been convicted of the first degree felony of trafficking in cocaine under this subparagraph shall be punished by life imprisonment and is ineligible for any form of discretionary early release except pardon or executive clemency or conditional medical release under s. 947.149. However, if the court determines that, in addition to committing any act specified in this paragraph:
- a. The person intentionally killed an individual or counseled, commanded, induced, procured, or caused the intentional killing of an individual and such killing was the result; or
- b. The person's conduct in committing that act led to a natural, though not inevitable, lethal result,

such person commits the capital felony of trafficking in cocaine, punishable as provided in ss. 775.082 and 921.142. Any

Page 21 of 39

person sentenced for a capital felony under this paragraph shall also be sentenced to pay the maximum fine provided under subparagraph 1.

- 3. Any person who knowingly brings into this state 300 kilograms or more of cocaine, as described in s. 893.03(2)(a)4., and who knows that the probable result of such importation would be the death of any person, commits capital importation of cocaine, a capital felony punishable as provided in ss. 775.082 and 921.142. Any person sentenced for a capital felony under this paragraph shall also be sentenced to pay the maximum fine provided under subparagraph 1.
- (c)1. A person who knowingly sells, purchases, manufactures, delivers, or brings into this state, or who is knowingly in actual or constructive possession of, 4 grams or more of any morphine, opium, hydromorphone, or any salt, derivative, isomer, or salt of an isomer thereof, including heroin, as described in s. 893.03(1)(b), (2)(a), (3)(c)3., or (3)(c)4., or 4 grams or more of any mixture containing any such substance, but less than 30 kilograms of such substance or mixture, commits a felony of the first degree, which felony shall be known as "trafficking in illegal drugs," punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084. If the quantity involved:
- a. Is 4 grams or more, but less than 14 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 3 years and shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$50,000.

Page 22 of 39

b. Is 14 grams or more, but less than 28 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 15 years and shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$100,000.

- c. Is 28 grams or more, but less than 30 kilograms, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 25 years and shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$500,000.
- 2. A person who knowingly sells, purchases, manufactures, delivers, or brings into this state, or who is knowingly in actual or constructive possession of, 14 grams or more of hydrocodone, or any salt, derivative, isomer, or salt of an isomer thereof, or 14 grams or more of any mixture containing any such substance, commits a felony of the first degree, which felony shall be known as "trafficking in hydrocodone," punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084. If the quantity involved:
- a. Is 14 grams or more, but less than 28 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 3 years and shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$50,000.
- b. Is 28 grams or more, but less than 50 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 7 years and shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$100,000.
 - c. Is 50 grams or more, but less than 200 grams, such

Page 23 of 39

person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 15 years and shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$500,000.

- d. Is 200 grams or more, but less than 30 kilograms, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 25 years and shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$750,000.
- 3. A person who knowingly sells, purchases, manufactures, delivers, or brings into this state, or who is knowingly in actual or constructive possession of, 7 grams or more of oxycodone, or any salt, derivative, isomer, or salt of an isomer thereof, or 7 grams or more of any mixture containing any such substance, commits a felony of the first degree, which felony shall be known as "trafficking in oxycodone," punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084. If the quantity involved:
- a. Is 7 grams or more, but less than 14 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 3 years and shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$50,000.
- b. Is 14 grams or more, but less than 25 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 7 years and shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$100,000.
- c. Is 25 grams or more, but less than 100 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 15 years and shall be ordered to pay a fine of

Page 24 of 39

625 \$500,000.

- d. Is 100 grams or more, but less than 30 kilograms, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 25 years and shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$750,000.
- 4. A person who knowingly sells, purchases, manufactures, delivers, or brings into this state, or who is knowingly in actual or constructive possession of, 30 kilograms or more of any morphine, opium, oxycodone, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, or any salt, derivative, isomer, or salt of an isomer thereof, including heroin, as described in s. 893.03(1)(b), (2)(a), (3)(c)3., or (3)(c)4., or 30 kilograms or more of any mixture containing any such substance, commits the first degree felony of trafficking in illegal drugs. A person who has been convicted of the first degree felony of trafficking in illegal drugs under this subparagraph shall be punished by life imprisonment and is ineligible for any form of discretionary early release except pardon or executive clemency or conditional medical release under s. 947.149. However, if the court determines that, in addition to committing any act specified in this paragraph:
- a. The person intentionally killed an individual or counseled, commanded, induced, procured, or caused the intentional killing of an individual and such killing was the result; or
- b. The person's conduct in committing that act led to a natural, though not inevitable, lethal result,

Page 25 of 39

such person commits the capital felony of trafficking in illegal drugs, punishable as provided in ss. 775.082 and 921.142. A person sentenced for a capital felony under this paragraph shall also be sentenced to pay the maximum fine provided under subparagraph 1.

- 5. A person who knowingly brings into this state 60 kilograms or more of any morphine, opium, oxycodone, hydrocodone, hydromorphone, or any salt, derivative, isomer, or salt of an isomer thereof, including heroin, as described in s. 893.03(1)(b), (2)(a), (3)(c)3., or (3)(c)4., or 60 kilograms or more of any mixture containing any such substance, and who knows that the probable result of such importation would be the death of a person, commits capital importation of illegal drugs, a capital felony punishable as provided in ss. 775.082 and 921.142. A person sentenced for a capital felony under this paragraph shall also be sentenced to pay the maximum fine provided under subparagraph 1.
- (d)1. Any person who knowingly sells, purchases, manufactures, delivers, or brings into this state, or who is knowingly in actual or constructive possession of, 28 grams or more of phencyclidine or of any mixture containing phencyclidine, as described in s. 893.03(2)(b), commits a felony of the first degree, which felony shall be known as "trafficking in phencyclidine," punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084. If the quantity involved:

Page 26 of 39

a. Is 28 grams or more, but less than 200 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 3 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$50,000.

- b. Is 200 grams or more, but less than 400 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 7 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$100,000.
- c. Is 400 grams or more, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 15 calendar years and pay a fine of \$250,000.
- 2. Any person who knowingly brings into this state 800 grams or more of phencyclidine or of any mixture containing phencyclidine, as described in s. 893.03(2)(b), and who knows that the probable result of such importation would be the death of any person commits capital importation of phencyclidine, a capital felony punishable as provided in ss. 775.082 and 921.142. Any person sentenced for a capital felony under this paragraph shall also be sentenced to pay the maximum fine provided under subparagraph 1.
- (e)1. Any person who knowingly sells, purchases, manufactures, delivers, or brings into this state, or who is knowingly in actual or constructive possession of, 200 grams or more of methaqualone or of any mixture containing methaqualone, as described in s. 893.03(1)(d), commits a felony of the first degree, which felony shall be known as "trafficking in

Page 27 of 39

methaqualone," punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084. If the quantity involved:

- a. Is 200 grams or more, but less than 5 kilograms, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 3 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$50,000.
- b. Is 5 kilograms or more, but less than 25 kilograms, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 7 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$100,000.
- c. Is 25 kilograms or more, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 15 calendar years and pay a fine of \$250,000.
- 2. Any person who knowingly brings into this state 50 kilograms or more of methaqualone or of any mixture containing methaqualone, as described in s. 893.03(1)(d), and who knows that the probable result of such importation would be the death of any person commits capital importation of methaqualone, a capital felony punishable as provided in ss. 775.082 and 921.142. Any person sentenced for a capital felony under this paragraph shall also be sentenced to pay the maximum fine provided under subparagraph 1.
- (f)1. Any person who knowingly sells, purchases, manufactures, delivers, or brings into this state, or who is knowingly in actual or constructive possession of, 14 grams or more of amphetamine, as described in s. 893.03(2)(c)2., or

Page 28 of 39

methamphetamine, as described in s. 893.03(2)(c)4., or of any mixture containing amphetamine or methamphetamine, or phenylacetone, phenylacetic acid, pseudoephedrine, or ephedrine in conjunction with other chemicals and equipment utilized in the manufacture of amphetamine or methamphetamine, commits a felony of the first degree, which felony shall be known as "trafficking in amphetamine," punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084. If the quantity involved:

- a. Is 14 grams or more, but less than 28 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 3 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$50,000.
- b. Is 28 grams or more, but less than 200 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 7 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$100,000.
- c. Is 200 grams or more, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 15 calendar years and pay a fine of \$250,000.
- 2. Any person who knowingly manufactures or brings into this state 400 grams or more of amphetamine, as described in s. 893.03(2)(c)2., or methamphetamine, as described in s. 893.03(2)(c)4., or of any mixture containing amphetamine or methamphetamine, or phenylacetone, phenylacetic acid, pseudoephedrine, or ephedrine in conjunction with other chemicals and equipment used in the manufacture of amphetamine

Page 29 of 39

or methamphetamine, and who knows that the probable result of such manufacture or importation would be the death of any person commits capital manufacture or importation of amphetamine, a capital felony punishable as provided in ss. 775.082 and 921.142. Any person sentenced for a capital felony under this paragraph shall also be sentenced to pay the maximum fine provided under subparagraph 1.

- (g)1. Any person who knowingly sells, purchases, manufactures, delivers, or brings into this state, or who is knowingly in actual or constructive possession of, 4 grams or more of flunitrazepam or any mixture containing flunitrazepam as described in s. 893.03(1)(a) commits a felony of the first degree, which felony shall be known as "trafficking in flunitrazepam," punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084. If the quantity involved:
- a. Is 4 grams or more but less than 14 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 3 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$50,000.
- b. Is 14 grams or more but less than 28 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 7 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$100,000.
- c. Is 28 grams or more but less than 30 kilograms, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 25 calendar years and pay a fine of \$500,000.

Page 30 of 39

- 2. Any person who knowingly sells, purchases, manufactures, delivers, or brings into this state or who is knowingly in actual or constructive possession of 30 kilograms or more of flunitrazepam or any mixture containing flunitrazepam as described in s. 893.03(1)(a) commits the first degree felony of trafficking in flunitrazepam. A person who has been convicted of the first degree felony of trafficking in flunitrazepam under this subparagraph shall be punished by life imprisonment and is ineligible for any form of discretionary early release except pardon or executive clemency or conditional medical release under s. 947.149. However, if the court determines that, in addition to committing any act specified in this paragraph:
- a. The person intentionally killed an individual or counseled, commanded, induced, procured, or caused the intentional killing of an individual and such killing was the result; or
- b. The person's conduct in committing that act led to a natural, though not inevitable, lethal result,

such person commits the capital felony of trafficking in flunitrazepam, punishable as provided in ss. 775.082 and 921.142. Any person sentenced for a capital felony under this paragraph shall also be sentenced to pay the maximum fine provided under subparagraph 1.

(h)1. Any person who knowingly sells, purchases, manufactures, delivers, or brings into this state, or who is

Page 31 of 39

knowingly in actual or constructive possession of, 1 kilogram or more of gamma-hydroxybutyric acid (GHB), as described in s. 893.03(1)(d), or any mixture containing gamma-hydroxybutyric acid (GHB), commits a felony of the first degree, which felony shall be known as "trafficking in gamma-hydroxybutyric acid (GHB)," punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084. If the quantity involved:

- a. Is 1 kilogram or more but less than 5 kilograms, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 3 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$50,000.
- b. Is 5 kilograms or more but less than 10 kilograms, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 7 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$100,000.
- c. Is 10 kilograms or more, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 15 calendar years and pay a fine of \$250,000.
- 2. Any person who knowingly manufactures or brings into this state 150 kilograms or more of gamma-hydroxybutyric acid (GHB), as described in s. 893.03(1)(d), or any mixture containing gamma-hydroxybutyric acid (GHB), and who knows that the probable result of such manufacture or importation would be the death of any person commits capital manufacture or importation of gamma-hydroxybutyric acid (GHB), a capital felony punishable as provided in ss. 775.082 and 921.142. Any person

Page 32 of 39

sentenced for a capital felony under this paragraph shall also be sentenced to pay the maximum fine provided under subparagraph 1.

- (i)1. Any person who knowingly sells, purchases, manufactures, delivers, or brings into this state, or who is knowingly in actual or constructive possession of, 1 kilogram or more of gamma-butyrolactone (GBL), as described in s. 893.03(1)(d), or any mixture containing gamma-butyrolactone (GBL), commits a felony of the first degree, which felony shall be known as "trafficking in gamma-butyrolactone (GBL)," punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084. If the quantity involved:
- a. Is 1 kilogram or more but less than 5 kilograms, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 3 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$50,000.
- b. Is 5 kilograms or more but less than 10 kilograms, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 7 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$100,000.
- c. Is 10 kilograms or more, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 15 calendar years and pay a fine of \$250,000.
- 2. Any person who knowingly manufactures or brings into the state 150 kilograms or more of gamma-butyrolactone (GBL), as described in s. 893.03(1)(d), or any mixture containing gamma-

Page 33 of 39

butyrolactone (GBL), and who knows that the probable result of such manufacture or importation would be the death of any person commits capital manufacture or importation of gammabutyrolactone (GBL), a capital felony punishable as provided in ss. 775.082 and 921.142. Any person sentenced for a capital felony under this paragraph shall also be sentenced to pay the maximum fine provided under subparagraph 1.

- (j)1. Any person who knowingly sells, purchases, manufactures, delivers, or brings into this state, or who is knowingly in actual or constructive possession of, 1 kilogram or more of 1,4-Butanediol as described in s. 893.03(1)(d), or of any mixture containing 1,4-Butanediol, commits a felony of the first degree, which felony shall be known as "trafficking in 1,4-Butanediol," punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084. If the quantity involved:
- a. Is 1 kilogram or more, but less than 5 kilograms, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 3 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$50,000.
- b. Is 5 kilograms or more, but less than 10 kilograms, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 7 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$100,000.
- c. Is 10 kilograms or more, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 15 calendar years and pay a fine of \$500,000.

Page 34 of 39

```
885
              Any person who knowingly manufactures or brings into
886
     this state 150 kilograms or more of 1,4-Butanediol as described
887
     in s. 893.03(1)(d), or any mixture containing 1,4-Butanediol,
888
     and who knows that the probable result of such manufacture or
889
     importation would be the death of any person commits capital
890
     manufacture or importation of 1,4-Butanediol, a capital felony
891
     punishable as provided in ss. 775.082 and 921.142. Any person
892
     sentenced for a capital felony under this paragraph shall also
893
     be sentenced to pay the maximum fine provided under subparagraph
894
     1.
895
                 A person who knowingly sells, purchases,
896
     manufactures, delivers, or brings into this state, or who is
897
     knowingly in actual or constructive possession of, 10 grams or
898
     more of any of the following substances described in s.
899
     893.03(1)(c):
900
               3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA);
          a.
901
          b.
               4-Bromo-2,5-dimethoxyamphetamine;
               4-Bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenethylamine;
902
          c.
903
          d.
               2,5-Dimethoxyamphetamine;
904
               2,5-Dimethoxy-4-ethylamphetamine (DOET);
          e.
905
          f.
              N-ethylamphetamine;
906
               N-Hydroxy-3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine;
          g.
907
          h.
               5-Methoxy-3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine;
908
          i.
              4-methoxyamphetamine;
909
          j.
               4-methoxymethamphetamine;
              4-Methyl-2,5-dimethoxyamphetamine;
910
          k.
```

Page 35 of 39

- 911 1. 3,4-Methylenedioxy-N-ethylamphetamine;
- 912 m. 3,4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine;
- 913 n. N, N-dimethylamphetamine;
- 914 o. 3,4,5-Trimethoxyamphetamine;
- p. 3,4-Methylenedioxymethcathinone;
- 916 q. 3,4-Methylenedioxypyrovalerone (MDPV); or
- 917 r. Methylmethcathinone,

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

individually or analogs thereto or isomers thereto or in any combination of or any mixture containing any substance listed in sub-subparagraphs a.-r., commits a felony of the first degree, which felony shall be known as "trafficking in Phenethylamines," punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.

- 2. If the quantity involved:
- a. Is 10 grams or more, but less than 200 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 3 years and shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$50,000.
- b. Is 200 grams or more, but less than 400 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 7 years and shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$100,000.
- c. Is 400 grams or more, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 15 years and shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$250,000.
 - 3. A person who knowingly manufactures or brings into this

Page 36 of 39

```
937
     state 30 kilograms or more of any of the following substances
     described in s. 893.03(1)(c):
938
939
               3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA);
           a.
940
          b.
               4-Bromo-2,5-dimethoxyamphetamine;
941
           c.
               4-Bromo-2,5-dimethoxyphenethylamine;
           d.
               2,5-Dimethoxyamphetamine;
942
943
               2,5-Dimethoxy-4-ethylamphetamine (DOET);
           e.
944
           f.
               N-ethylamphetamine;
945
               N-Hydroxy-3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine;
           g.
               5-Methoxy-3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine;
946
           h.
947
           i.
               4-methoxyamphetamine;
948
           j.
               4-methoxymethamphetamine;
949
           k.
               4-Methyl-2,5-dimethoxyamphetamine;
950
           1.
               3,4-Methylenedioxy-N-ethylamphetamine;
951
               3,4-Methylenedioxyamphetamine;
           m.
952
           n.
               N, N-dimethylamphetamine;
               3,4,5-Trimethoxyamphetamine;
953
           ο.
               3,4-Methylenedioxymethcathinone;
954
          p.
955
               3,4-Methylenedioxypyrovalerone (MDPV); or
           q.
               Methylmethcathinone,
956
           r.
957
     individually or analogs thereto or isomers thereto or in any
958
959
     combination of or any mixture containing any substance listed in
960
     sub-subparagraphs a.-r., and who knows that the probable result
961
     of such manufacture or importation would be the death of any
962
     person commits capital manufacture or importation of
```

Page 37 of 39

Phenethylamines, a capital felony punishable as provided in ss. 775.082 and 921.142. A person sentenced for a capital felony under this paragraph shall also be sentenced to pay the maximum fine provided under subparagraph 1.

- (1)1. Any person who knowingly sells, purchases, manufactures, delivers, or brings into this state, or who is knowingly in actual or constructive possession of, 1 gram or more of lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD) as described in s. 893.03(1)(c), or of any mixture containing lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD), commits a felony of the first degree, which felony shall be known as "trafficking in lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD)," punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084. If the quantity involved:
- a. Is 1 gram or more, but less than 5 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 3 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$50,000.
- b. Is 5 grams or more, but less than 7 grams, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 7 years, and the defendant shall be ordered to pay a fine of \$100,000.
- c. Is 7 grams or more, such person shall be sentenced to a mandatory minimum term of imprisonment of 15 calendar years and pay a fine of \$500,000.
- 2. Any person who knowingly manufactures or brings into this state 7 grams or more of lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD)

Page 38 of 39

989

990

991

992

993 994

995

996

997

as described in s. 893.03(1)(c), or any mixture containing lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD), and who knows that the probable result of such manufacture or importation would be the death of any person commits capital manufacture or importation of lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD), a capital felony punishable as provided in ss. 775.082 and 921.142. Any person sentenced for a capital felony under this paragraph shall also be sentenced to pay the maximum fine provided under subparagraph 1.

Section 7. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 39 of 39