

LOCAL GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS SUBCOMMITTEE

ACTION PACKET

Monday, February 1, 2016 11:30 a.m. Webster Hall (212 Knott)

Steve Crisafulli Speaker Debbie Mayfield Chair

Local Government Affairs Subcommittee

2/1/2016 11:30:00AM

Location: Webster Hall (212 Knott)

Summary:

Local Government Affairs Subcommittee

Monday February 01, 2016 11:30 am

HB 483 Not Considered

HB 1015	Favorable With Committee Substitute	Yeas:	8	Nays: 4
Am	endment 148805 Adopted Without Objection			
HB 1321	Favorable	Yeas:	12	Nays: O
HB 1361	Favorable With Committee Substitute	Yeas:	12	Nays: O
Am	endment 193329 Adopted Without Objection			
HB 1433	Favorable	Yeas:	11	Nays: 0
HB 1435	Temporarily Postponed			
HB 1437	Favorable	Yeas:	11	Nays: 1
HB 1439	Favorable With Committee Substitute	Yeas:	12	Nays: O
Am	endment 457745 Adopted Without Objection			

COMMITTEE MEETING REPORT Local Government Affairs Subcommittee

2/1/2016 11:30:00AM

Location: Webster Hall (212 Knott)

Attendance:

	Present	Absent	Excused
Debbie Mayfield (Chair)	X		
Matt Caldwell	X		
Daphne Campbell	x		
Jose Diaz	x		
Dwight Dudley	Х		
George Moraitis, Jr.	x		
Amanda Murphy	x		
Cary Pigman	Х		
Kevin Rader	x		
Lake Ray	x		
Jimmie Smith	X		
Charlie Stone	x		
Jennifer Sullivan	X		
Totals:	13	0	0

Local Government Affairs Subcommittee

2/1/2016 11:30:00AM

Location: Webster Hall (212 Knott)

HB 483 : Local Government Neighborhood Improvement Districts

X Not Considered

Committee meeting was reported out: Monday, February 01, 2016 3:38:26PM

Local Government Affairs Subcommittee

2/1/2016 11:30:00AM

Location: Webster Hall (212 Knott)

HB 1015 : Determination of Maximum Millage Rates

X Favorable With Committee Substitute

	Yea	Nay	No Vote	Absentee Yea	Absentee Nay
Matt Caldwell	Х				
Daphne Campbell		Х			
Jose Diaz			х		
Dwight Dudley		Х			
George Moraitis, Jr.	X				
Amanda Murphy		Х			
Cary Pigman	Х				
Kevin Rader		Х			
Lake Ray	Х				
Jimmie Smith	X				
Charlie Stone	X				
Jennifer Sullivan	Х				
Debbie Mayfield (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 8	Total Nays:	4		

HB 1015 Amendments

Amendment 148805



X Adopted Without Objection

Appearances:

Determination of Maximum Millage Rates McCarty, Jess (Lobbyist) - Opponent Miami-Dade County 111 NW 1st St Miami FL 33128 Phone: 305-979-7110

Determination of Maximum Millage Rates Hughes, Amber (Lobbyist) - Opponent Florida League of Cities Senior Legislative Advocate PO Box 7156 Tallahassee FL 32302 Phone: 850-701-3621

Local Government Affairs Subcommittee

2/1/2016 11:30:00AM

Location: Webster Hall (212 Knott) HB 1015 : Determination of Maximum Millage Rates (continued)

Appearances: (continued)

Determination of Maximum Millage Rates Suggs, Davin (Lobbyist) - Opponent Florida Association of Counties Fiscal Policy Director 100 South Monroe Street Tallahassee FL 32301 Phone: 850-320-2635

Committee meeting was reported out: Monday, February 01, 2016 3:38:26PM

Bill No. HB 1015 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION ADOPTED (Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)
	$\frac{(Y/N)}{(Y/N)}$
	OTHER
1	Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Local Government Affairs
2	Subcommittee
3	Representative Nuñez offered the following:
4	
5	Amendment
6	Remove everything after the enacting clause and insert:
7	
8	Section 1. Paragraph (a) of subsection (5) of section
9	200.065, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
10	200.065 Method of fixing millage
11	(5) In each fiscal year:
12	(a) The maximum millage rate that a county, municipality,
13	special district dependent to a county or municipality,
14	municipal service taxing unit, or independent special district
15	may levy is a rolled-back rate based on the amount of taxes
16	actually which would have been levied in the prior year if the
17	maximum millage rate had been applied, adjusted for change in
	148805 - HB 1015 Amendment.docx
	Published On: 1/29/2016 6:35:09 PM

Page 1 of 3

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT Bill No. HB 1015

(2016)

Amendment No. 1

18 per capita Florida personal income, unless the change in per 19 capita Florida personal income is negative a higher rate was 20 adopted, in which case the maximum is the rolled-back adopted 21 rate. The maximum millage rate applicable to a county authorized 22 to levy a county public hospital surtax under s. 212.055 and 23 which did so in fiscal year 2007 shall exclude the revenues 24 required to be contributed to the county public general hospital 25 in the current fiscal year for the purposes of making the 26 maximum millage rate calculation, but shall be added back to the 27 maximum millage rate allowed after the roll back has been 28 applied, the total of which shall be considered the maximum 29 millage rate for such a county for purposes of this subsection. 30 The revenue required to be contributed to the county public 31 general hospital for the upcoming fiscal year shall be 32 calculated as 11.873 percent times the millage rate levied for 33 countywide purposes in fiscal year 2007 times 95 percent of the 34 preliminary tax roll for the upcoming fiscal year. A higher rate 35 may be adopted only under the following conditions:

36 1. A rate of not more than 110 percent of the rolled-back 37 rate based on the amount of taxes actually levied in the prior 38 year previous year's maximum millage rate, adjusted for change 39 in per capita Florida personal income, may be adopted if 40 approved by a two-thirds vote of the membership of the governing 41 body of the county, municipality, or independent district; or

42 2. A rate in excess of 110 percent may be adopted if 43 approved by a unanimous vote of the membership of the governing

148805 - HB 1015 Amendment.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:35:09 PM

Page 2 of 3



Amendment No. 1

Bill No. HB 1015 (2016)

44 body of the county, municipality, or independent district or by 45 a three-fourths vote of the membership of the governing body if 46 the governing body has nine or more members, or if the rate is 47 approved by a referendum.

48

49 Any unit of government operating under a home rule charter 50 adopted pursuant to ss. 10, 11, and 24, Art. VIII of the State 51 Constitution of 1885, as preserved by s. 6(e), Art. VIII of the 52 State Constitution of 1968, which is granted the authority in 53 the State Constitution to exercise all the powers conferred now 54 or hereafter by general law upon municipalities and which 55 exercises such powers in the unincorporated area shall be 56 recognized as a municipality under this subsection. For a downtown development authority established before the effective 57 58 date of the 1968 State Constitution which has a millage that 59 must be approved by a municipality, the governing body of that 60 municipality shall be considered the governing body of the downtown development authority for purposes of this subsection. 61 62 Section 2. This act shall take effect on July 1, 2016.

63

148805 - HB 1015 Amendment.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:35:09 PM

Page 3 of 3

Local Government Affairs Subcommittee

2/1/2016 11:30:00AM

Location: Webster Hall (212 Knott)

HB 1321 : Discounts on Public Park Entrance Fees and Transportation Fares

X Favorable

	Yea	Nay	No Vote	Absentee Yea	Absentee Nay
Matt Caldwell	Х				
Daphne Campbell	Х				
Jose Diaz	Х				
Dwight Dudley	X				
George Moraitis, Jr.			Х		
Amanda Murphy	Х				
Cary Pigman	X				
Kevin Rader	Х				
Lake Ray	Х				
Jimmie Smith	Х				
Charlie Stone	Х				
Jennifer Sullivan	Х				
Debbie Mayfield (Chair)	Х				
	Total Yeas: 12	Total Nays: (ט		

Appearances:

Discounts on Public Park Entrance Fees and Transportation Day, Justin (Lobbyist) - Waive In Support

Hillsborough Area Regional Transit Authority Director 701 S. Howard Avenue Suite 106-326 Tampa FL 33606 Phone: 850-222-8900

Discounts on Public Park Entrance Fees and Transportation Prendergast, Mike (Lobbyist) - Waive In Support Department of Veterans' Affairs Executive Director Ste 2105B The Capitol 400 S Monroe St Tallahassee FL 32399 Phone: 850-487-1533

Discounts on Public Park Entrance Fees and Transportation Salvatori, Rocco (Lobbyist) - Waive In Support Florida Professional Firefighters Firefighter 345 W Madison Street Tallahassee FL Phone: 850-224-7333

COMMITTEE MEETING REPORT Local Government Affairs Subcommittee

2/1/2016 11:30:00AM

Location: Webster Hall (212 Knott)

HB 1361 : Growth Management

X Favorable With Committee Substitute

	Yea	Nay	No Vote	Absentee Yea	Absentee Nay
Matt Caldwell	Х				
Daphne Campbell	Х				
Jose Diaz			Х		
Dwight Dudley	Х				
George Moraitis, Jr.	Х				
Amanda Murphy	Х				
Cary Pigman	X				
Kevin Rader	Х				
Lake Ray	Х				
Jimmie Smith	Х				
Charlie Stone	Х				
Jennifer Sullivan	Х				
Debbie Mayfield (Chair)	Х				
	Total Yeas: 12	Total Nays: 0)		

HB 1361 Amendments

Amendment 193329



X Adopted Without Objection

Appearances:

Growth Management Poole, Eric (Lobbyist) - Information Only Florida Association of Counties Assistant Legislative Director 100 S Monroe St Tallahassee FL 32301 Phone: 850-922-4300

Growth Management Emmanuel, Christopher (Lobbyist) - Waive In Support Florida Chamber of Commerce Policy Director 136 S. Bronough Street Tallahassee FL 32301 Phone: 850-933-1223

Local Government Affairs Subcommittee

2/1/2016 11:30:00AM

Location: Webster Hall (212 Knott) HB 1361 : Growth Management (continued)

Appearances: (continued)

Linnan, Nancy (Lobbyist) - Waive In Support The Villages & Howard Group 215 S Monroe St, Ste 500 Tallahassee FL 32301 Phone: 850-212-7631

Growth Management Hunter, Gary (Lobbyist) - Waive In Support Association of Florida Community Developers, Inc Attorney 119 S Monroe Street Suite 300 Tallahassee FL 32301 Phone: 850-222-7500

Growth Management Smart, Ryan (Lobbyist) - Waive In Support 1000 Friends of Florida President 308 N. Monroe Street Tallahassee FL 32301 Phone: 850-222-6277

Committee meeting was reported out: Monday, February 01, 2016 3:38:26PM

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION

ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	$\frac{(Y/N)}{(Y/N)}$
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	

1	Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Local Government Affairs
2	Subcommittee
3	Representative La Rosa offered the following:
4	
5	Amendment (with title amendment)
6	Remove everything after the enacting clause and insert:
7	Section 1. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2), paragraph
8	(e) of subsection (5), and paragraph (d) of subsection (7) of
9	section 163.3184, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
10	163.3184 Process for adoption of comprehensive plan or
11	plan amendment
12	(2) COMPREHENSIVE PLANS AND PLAN AMENDMENTS
13	(c) Plan amendments that are in an area of critical state
14	concern designated pursuant to s. 380.05; propose a rural land
15	stewardship area pursuant to s. 163.3248; propose a sector plan 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx
	Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 1 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

	Amendment No. 1
16	pursuant to s. 163.3245 or an amendment to an adopted sector
17	plan; update a comprehensive plan based on an evaluation and
18	appraisal pursuant to s. 163.3191; propose a development that <u>is</u>
19	subject to the state coordinated review process qualifies as a
20	development of regional impact pursuant to s. 380.06; or are new
21	plans for newly incorporated municipalities adopted pursuant to
22	s. 163.3167 <u>must</u> shall follow the state coordinated review
23	process in subsection (4).
24	(5) ADMINISTRATIVE CHALLENGES TO PLANS AND PLAN
25	AMENDMENTS
26	(e) If the administrative law judge recommends that the
27	amendment be found in compliance, the judge shall submit the
28	recommended order to the state land planning agency.
29	1. If the state land planning agency determines that the
30	plan amendment should be found not in compliance, the agency
31	shall make every effort to refer the recommended order and its
32	determination expeditiously to the Administration Commission for
33	final agency action, but at a minimum within the time period
34	provided by s. 120.569.

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 2 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

	Amendment No. 1
35	2. If the state land planning agency determines that the
36	plan amendment should be found in compliance, the agency shall
37	make every effort to enter its final order expeditiously, but at
38	a minimum within the time period provided by s. 120.569.
39	3. The recommended order submitted under this paragraph
40	becomes a final order 90 days after issuance unless the state
41	land planning agency acts as provided in subparagraph 1. or
42	subparagraph 2., or all parties consent in writing to an
43	extension of the 90-day period.
44	(7) MEDIATION AND EXPEDITIOUS RESOLUTION
45	(d) For a case following the procedures under this
46	subsection, absent a showing of extraordinary circumstances or
47	written consent of the parties, if the administrative law judge
48	recommends that the amendment be found not in compliance, the
49	Administration Commission shall issue a final order, in a case
50	proceeding under subsection (5), within 45 days after the
51	issuance of the recommended order , unless the parties agree in
52	writing to a longer time. If the administrative law judge
53	recommends that the amendment be found in compliance, the state
54	land planning agency shall issue a final order within 45 days
1	193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx
	Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 3 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

	Amendment No. 1
55	after the issuance of the recommended order. If the state land
56	planning agency fails to timely issue a final order, the
57	recommended order finding the amendment to be in compliance
58	immediately becomes final.
59	Section 2. Subsection (1) of section 163.3245, Florida
60	Statutes, is amended to read:
61	163.3245 Sector plans
62	(1) In recognition of the benefits of long-range planning
63	for specific areas, local governments or combinations of local
64	governments may adopt into their comprehensive plans a sector
65	plan in accordance with this section. This section is intended
66	to promote and encourage long-term planning for conservation,
67	development, and agriculture on a landscape scale; to further
68	support innovative and flexible planning and development
69	strategies, and the purposes of this part and part I of chapter
70	380; to facilitate protection of regionally significant
71	resources, including, but not limited to, regionally significant
72	water courses and wildlife corridors; and to avoid duplication
73	of effort in terms of the level of data and analysis required
74	for a development of regional impact, while ensuring the
1	93329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx
	Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 4 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1 75 adequate mitigation of impacts to applicable regional resources 76 and facilities, including those within the jurisdiction of other local governments, as would otherwise be provided. Sector plans 77 78 are intended for substantial geographic areas that include at 79 least 5,000 15,000 acres of one or more local governmental 80 jurisdictions and are to emphasize urban form and protection of 81 regionally significant resources and public facilities. A sector 82 plan may not be adopted in an area of critical state concern. 83 Section 3. Subsection (2) of section 171.046, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 84 85 171.046 Annexation of enclaves.-(2) In order to expedite the annexation of enclaves of 86 87 110 10 acres or less into the most appropriate incorporated jurisdiction, based upon existing or proposed service provision 88 89 arrangements, a municipality may: 90 (a) Annex an enclave by interlocal agreement with the county having jurisdiction of the enclave; or 91 92 (b) Annex an enclave with fewer than 25 registered voters 93 by municipal ordinance when the annexation is approved in a 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 5 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

	Amendment No. 1
94	referendum by at least 60 percent of the registered voters who
95	reside in the enclave.
96	Section 4. Subsection (14), paragraph (g) of subsection
97	(15), paragraphs (b) and (e) of subsection (19), and subsection
98	(30) of section 380.06, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
99	380.06 Developments of regional impact
100	(14) CRITERIA OUTSIDE AREAS OF CRITICAL STATE CONCERN
101	If the development is not located in an area of critical state
102	concern, in considering whether the development <u>is</u> shall be
103	approved, denied, or approved subject to conditions,
104	restrictions, or limitations, the local government shall
105	consider whether, and the extent to which:
106	(a) The development is consistent with the local
107	comprehensive plan and local land development regulations:;
108	(b) The development is consistent with the report and
109	recommendations of the regional planning agency submitted
110	pursuant to subsection (12) <u>., and</u>
111	(c) The development is consistent with the State
112	Comprehensive Plan. In consistency determinations, the plan
113	shall be construed and applied in accordance with s. 187.101(3).
	193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx
	Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 6 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1 114 However, a local government may approve a change to a 115 development authorized as a development of regional impact if 116 the change has the effect of reducing the originally approved 117 height, density, or intensity of the development, and if the revised development would have been consistent with the 118 119 comprehensive plan in effect when the development was originally 120 approved. If the revised development is approved, the developer 121 may proceed as provided in s. 163.3167(5). (15) LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT ORDER.-122 123 (g) A local government may shall not issue a permit 124 permits for a development subsequent to the buildout date 125 contained in the development order unless: 126 1. The proposed development has been evaluated 127 cumulatively with existing development under the substantial 128 deviation provisions of subsection (19) after subsequent to the 129 termination or expiration date; 130 2. The proposed development is consistent with an 131 abandonment of development order that has been issued in 132 accordance with the provisions of subsection (26); 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 7 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

133 3. The development of regional impact is essentially 134 built out, in that all the mitigation requirements in the development order have been satisfied, all developers are in 135 136 compliance with all applicable terms and conditions of the 137 development order except the buildout date, and the amount of proposed development that remains to be built is less than 40 138 139 percent of any applicable development-of-regional-impact threshold; or 140 4. The project has been determined to be an essentially 141 142 built out built-out development of regional impact through an 143 agreement executed by the developer, the state land planning agency, and the local government, in accordance with s. 380.032, 144 which will establish the terms and conditions under which the 145 development may be continued. If the project is determined to be 146 essentially built out, development may proceed pursuant to the 147 148 s. 380.032 agreement after the termination or expiration date 149 contained in the development order without further development 150 of-regional-impact review subject to the local government 151 comprehensive plan and land development regulations or subject 152 to a modified development-of-regional-impact analysis. The 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 8 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1 153 parties may amend the agreement without submission, review, or 154 approval of a notification of proposed change pursuant to 155 subsection (19). For the purposes of As used in this paragraph, 156 a an-"essentially built-out" development of regional impact is 157 essentially built out, if means: 158 a. The developers are in compliance with all applicable 159 terms and conditions of the development order except the 160 buildout date; and 161 b.(I) The amount of development that remains to be built is less than the substantial deviation threshold specified in 162 paragraph (19)(b) for each individual land use category, or, for 163 164 a multiuse development, the sum total of all unbuilt land uses 165 as a percentage of the applicable substantial deviation 166 threshold is equal to or less than 100 percent; or 167 (II) The state land planning agency and the local government have agreed in writing that the amount of development 168 169 to be built does not create the likelihood of any additional 170 regional impact not previously reviewed. 171 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 9 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

172 The single-family residential portions of a development may be considered "essentially built out" if all of the workforce 173 housing obligations and all of the infrastructure and horizontal 174 175 development have been completed, at least 50 percent of the dwelling units have been completed, and more than 80 percent of 176 177 the lots have been conveyed to third-party individual lot owners or to individual builders who own no more than 40 lots at the 178 time of the determination. The mobile home park portions of a 179 development may be considered "essentially built out" if all 180 the infrastructure and horizontal development has been 181 182 completed, and at least 50 percent of the lots are leased to 183 individual mobile home owners. In order to accommodate changing market demands and achieve maximum land use efficiency in an 184 185 essentially built out project, when a developer is building out a project, a local government, without the concurrence of the 186 187 state land planning agency, may adopt a resolution authorizing 188 the developer to exchange one approved land use for another 189 approved land use specified in the agreement. Before issuance of 190 a building permit pursuant to an exchange, the developer must 191 demonstrate to the local government that the exchange ratio will 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Amendment No. 1

Page 10 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

192	not result in a net increase in impacts to public facilities and
193	will meet all applicable requirements of the comprehensive plan
194	and land development code. For developments previously
195	determined to impact strategic intermodal facilities as defined
196	in s. 339.63, the local government shall consult with the
197	Department of Transportation in approving this change.
198	(19) SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATIONS
199	(b) Any proposed change to a previously approved
200	development of regional impact or development order condition
201	which, either individually or cumulatively with other changes,
202	exceeds any of the following criteria in subparagraphs 111.
203	<u>constitutes</u> shall constitute a substantial deviation and shall
204	cause the development to be subject to further development-of
205	regional-impact review through the notice of proposed change
206	process under this subsection. without the necessity for a
207	finding of same by the local government:
208	1. An increase in the number of parking spaces at an
209	attraction or recreational facility by 15 percent or 500 spaces,
210	whichever is greater, or an increase in the number of spectators

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 11 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1 211 that may be accommodated at such a facility by 15 percent or 212 1,500 spectators, whichever is greater. 213 2. A new runway, a new terminal facility, a 25 percent 214 lengthening of an existing runway, or a 25 percent increase in 215 the number of gates of an existing terminal, but only if the 216 increase adds at least three additional gates. 217 3. An increase in land area for office development by 15 218 percent or an increase of gross floor area of office development by 15 percent or 100,000 gross square feet, whichever is 219 220 greater. 221 4. An increase in the number of dwelling units by 10 222 percent or 55 dwelling units, whichever is greater. 5. An increase in the number of dwelling units by 50 223 224 percent or 200 units, whichever is greater, provided that 15 percent of the proposed additional dwelling units are dedicated 225 to affordable workforce housing, subject to a recorded land use 226 227 restriction that shall be for a period of not less than 20 years 228 and that includes resale provisions to ensure long-term 229 affordability for income-eligible homeowners and renters and 230 provisions for the workforce housing to be commenced before 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 12 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

prior to the completion of 50 percent of the market rate 231 232 dwelling. For purposes of this subparagraph, the term 233 "affordable workforce housing" means housing that is affordable 234 to a person who earns less than 120 percent of the area median 235 income, or less than 140 percent of the area median income if 236 located in a county in which the median purchase price for a 237 single-family existing home exceeds the statewide median 238 purchase price of a single-family existing home. For purposes of 239 this subparagraph, the term "statewide median purchase price of a single-family existing home" means the statewide purchase 240 241 price as determined in the Florida Sales Report, Single-Family 242 Existing Homes, released each January by the Florida Association of Realtors and the University of Florida Real Estate Research 243 244 Center.

6. An increase in commercial development by 60,000 square feet of gross floor area or of parking spaces provided for customers for 425 cars or a 10 percent increase, whichever is greater.

7. An increase in a recreational vehicle park area by 10
percent or 110 vehicle spaces, whichever is less.

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Amendment No. 1

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 13 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

8. A decrease in the area set aside for open space of 5percent or 20 acres, whichever is less.

9. A proposed increase to an approved multiuse development of regional impact where the sum of the increases of each land use as a percentage of the applicable substantial deviation criteria is equal to or exceeds 110 percent. The percentage of any decrease in the amount of open space shall be treated as an increase for purposes of determining when 110 percent has been reached or exceeded.

260 10. A 15 percent increase in the number of external
261 vehicle trips generated by the development above that which was
262 projected during the original development-of-regional-impact
263 review.

11. Any change that would result in development of any 264 area which was specifically set aside in the application for 265 266 development approval or in the development order for preservation or special protection of endangered or threatened 267 plants or animals designated as endangered, threatened, or 268 269 species of special concern and their habitat, any species 270 protected by 16 U.S.C. ss. 668a-668d, primary dunes, or 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 14 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1 271 archaeological and historical sites designated as significant by 272 the Division of Historical Resources of the Department of State. 273 The refinement of the boundaries and configuration of such areas 274 shall be considered under sub-subparagraph (e)2.j.

275

276 The substantial deviation numerical standards in subparagraphs 277 3., 6., and 9., excluding residential uses, and in subparagraph 278 10., are increased by 100 percent for a project certified under 279 s. 403.973 which creates jobs and meets criteria established by 280 the Department of Economic Opportunity as to its impact on an area's economy, employment, and prevailing wage and skill 281 282 levels. The substantial deviation numerical standards in 283 subparagraphs 3., 4., 5., 6., 9., and 10. are increased by 50 percent for a project located wholly within an urban infill and 284 285 redevelopment area designated on the applicable adopted local 286 comprehensive plan future land use map and not located within 287 the coastal high hazard area.

(e)1. Except for a development order rendered pursuant to subsection (22) or subsection (25), a proposed change to a development order which individually or cumulatively with any 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 15 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

291 previous change is less than any numerical criterion contained 292 in subparagraphs (b)1.-10. and does not exceed any other 293 criterion, or which involves an extension of the buildout date 294 of a development, or any phase thereof, of less than 5 years is 295 not subject to the public hearing requirements of subparagraph 296 (f)3., and is not subject to a determination pursuant to subparagraph (f)5. Notice of the proposed change shall be made 297 298 to the regional planning council and the state land planning 299 agency. Such notice must include a description of previous 300 individual changes made to the development, including changes 301 previously approved by the local government, and must include 302 appropriate amendments to the development order. 2. The following changes, individually or cumulatively 303 304 with any previous changes, are not substantial deviations: 305 a. Changes in the name of the project, developer, owner, 306 or monitoring official. 307 b. Changes to a setback which do not affect noise 308 buffers, environmental protection or mitigation areas, or

309 archaeological or historical resources.

Amendment No. 1

310 c. Changes to minimum lot sizes. 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 16 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

311 d. Changes in the configuration of internal roads which312 do not affect external access points.

e. Changes to the building design or orientation which
stay approximately within the approved area designated for such
building and parking lot, and which do not affect historical
buildings designated as significant by the Division of
Historical Resources of the Department of State.

318 f. Changes to increase the acreage in the development, if 319 no development is proposed on the acreage to be added.

320 g. Changes to eliminate an approved land use, if there 321 are no additional regional impacts.

h. Changes required to conform to permits approved by any
federal, state, or regional permitting agency, if these changes
do not create additional regional impacts.

i. Any renovation or redevelopment of development within
a previously approved development of regional impact which does
not change land use or increase density or intensity of use.

328 j. Changes that modify boundaries and configuration of 329 areas described in subparagraph (b)11. due to science-based 330 refinement of such areas by survey, by habitat evaluation, by 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 17 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

331 other recognized assessment methodology, or by an environmental 332 assessment. In order for changes to qualify under this sub 333 subparagraph, the survey, habitat evaluation, or assessment must 334 occur before the time that a conservation easement protecting 335 such lands is recorded and must not result in any net decrease 336 in the total acreage of the lands specifically set aside for 337 permanent preservation in the final development order.

Amendment No. 1

338 k. Changes that do not increase the number of external 339 peak hour trips and do not reduce open space and conserved areas 340 within the project except as otherwise permitted by sub-341 subparagraph j.

342 <u>1. A phase date extension, if the state land planning</u>
 343 <u>agency, in consultation with the regional planning council and</u>
 344 <u>subject to the written concurrence of the Department of</u>
 345 <u>Transportation, agrees that the traffic impact is not</u>
 346 <u>significant and adverse under applicable state agency rules.</u>
 347 <u>m.l.</u> Any other change that the state land planning

348 agency, in consultation with the regional planning council, 349 agrees in writing is similar in nature, impact, or character to 350 the changes enumerated in sub-subparagraphs <u>a.-l.</u> a.-k. and that 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 18 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1 351 does not create the likelihood of any additional regional 352 impact.

353

This subsection does not require the filing of a notice of 354 355 proposed change but requires an application to the local 356 government to amend the development order in accordance with the 357 local government's procedures for amendment of a development 358 order. In accordance with the local government's procedures, 359 including requirements for notice to the applicant and the 360 public, the local government shall either deny the application 361 for amendment or adopt an amendment to the development order 362 which approves the application with or without conditions. 363 Following adoption, the local government shall render to the 364 state land planning agency the amendment to the development 365 order. The state land planning agency may appeal, pursuant to s. 366 380.07(3), the amendment to the development order if the 367 amendment involves sub-subparagraph g., sub-subparagraph h., sub-368 subparagraph j., sub-subparagraph k., or sub-subparagraph m. 1. 369 and if the agency believes that the change creates a reasonable 370 likelihood of new or additional regional impacts.

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 19 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

371 3. Except for the change authorized by sub-subparagraph 372 2.f., any addition of land not previously reviewed or any change 373 not specified in paragraph (b) or paragraph (c) shall be 374 presumed to create a substantial deviation. This presumption may 375 be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence.

4. Any submittal of a proposed change to a previously 376 approved development must include a description of individual 377 changes previously made to the development, including changes 378 previously approved by the local government. The local 379 380 government shall consider the previous and current proposed changes in deciding whether such changes cumulatively constitute 381 a substantial deviation requiring further development-of 382 383 regional-impact review.

5. The following changes to an approved development of regional impact shall be presumed to create a substantial deviation. Such presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence:-

a. A change proposed for 15 percent or more of theacreage to a land use not previously approved in the development

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 20 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1 390 order. Changes of less than 15 percent shall be presumed not to 391 create a substantial deviation.

b. Notwithstanding any provision of paragraph (b) to the contrary, a proposed change consisting of simultaneous increases and decreases of at least two of the uses within an authorized multiuse development of regional impact which was originally approved with three or more uses specified in s. 380.0651(3)(c) and (d) and residential use.

398 6. If a local government agrees to a proposed change, a 399 change in the transportation proportionate share calculation and 400 mitigation plan in an adopted development order as a result of 401 recalculation of the proportionate share contribution meeting 402 the requirements of s. 163.3180(5)(h) in effect as of the date 403 of such change shall be presumed not to create a substantial 404 deviation. For purposes of this subsection, the proposed change in the proportionate share calculation or mitigation plan may 405 406 not be considered an additional regional transportation impact. 407 (30) NEW PROPOSED DEVELOPMENTS. - A new proposed 408 development otherwise subject to the review requirements of this 409 section shall be approved by a local government pursuant to s.

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 21 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1 410 163.3184(4) in lieu of proceeding in accordance with this section. However, if the proposed development is consistent with 411 412 the comprehensive plan as provided in s. 163.3194(3)(b), the 413 development is not required to undergo review pursuant to s. 414 163.3184(4) or this section. This subsection does not apply to 415 amendments to a development order governing an existing 416 development of regional impact. Section 5. Paragraph (c) of subsection (4) of section 417 380.0651, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 418 419 380.0651 Statewide guidelines and standards.-420 (4) Two or more developments, represented by their owners 421 or developers to be separate developments, shall be aggregated and treated as a single development under this chapter when they 422 423 are determined to be part of a unified plan of development and are physically proximate to one other. 424 425 (c) Aggregation is not applicable when the following 426 circumstances and provisions of this chapter apply are 427 applicable: 428 1. Developments that which are otherwise subject to 429 aggregation with a development of regional impact which has 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM Page 22 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1 430 received approval through the issuance of a final development 431 order may shall not be aggregated with the approved development 432 of regional impact. However, nothing contained in this subparagraph does not shall preclude the state land planning 433 434 agency from evaluating an allegedly separate development as a 435 substantial deviation pursuant to s. 380.06(19) or as an independent development of regional impact. 436 437 2. Two or more developments, each of which is 438 independently a development of regional impact that has or will 439 obtain a development order pursuant to s. 380.06. 440 3. Completion of any development that has been vested 441 pursuant to s. 380.05 or s. 380.06, including vested rights 442 arising out of agreements entered into with the state land 443 planning agency for purposes of resolving vested rights issues. Development-of-regional-impact review of additions to vested 444 445 developments of regional impact shall not include review of the 446 impacts resulting from the vested portions of the development. 447 4. The developments sought to be aggregated were 448 authorized to commence development before prior to September 1, 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 23 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

	Amendment No. 1
449	1988, and could not have been required to be aggregated under
450	the law existing before prior to that date.
451	5. Any development that qualifies for an exemption under
452	s. 380.06(29).
453	6. Newly acquired lands intended for development in
454	coordination with a developed and existing development of
455	regional impact are not subject to aggregation if such newly
456	acquired lands comprise an area equal to, or less than, 10
457	percent of the total acreage subject to an existing development-
458	of-regional impact development order.
459	Section 6. Subsection (1) of section 380.115, Florida
460	Statutes, is amended to read:
461	380.115 Vested rights and duties; effect of size
462	reduction, changes in guidelines and standards.
463	(1) A change in a development-of-regional-impact
464	guideline and standard does not abridge or modify any vested or
465	other right or any duty or obligation pursuant to any
466	development order or agreement that is applicable to a
467	development of regional impact. A development that has received
468	a development of-regional-impact development order pursuant to
	193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx
	Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 24 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

s. 380.067 but is no longer required to undergo development-ofregional-impact review by operation of a change in the
guidelines and standards, a development that or has reduced its
size below the thresholds <u>specified</u> in s. 380.0651, or a
development that is exempt pursuant to s. 380.06(24) or (29), or
<u>a development that elects to rescind the development order are</u>
shall be governed by the following procedures:

(a) The development shall continue to be governed by the 476 477 development-of-regional-impact development order and may be 478 completed in reliance upon and pursuant to the development order unless the developer or landowner has followed the procedures 479 for rescission in paragraph (b). Any proposed changes to those 480 481 developments which continue to be governed by a development order must shall be approved pursuant to s. 380.06(19) as it 482 existed before a change in the development-of-regional-impact 483 484 guidelines and standards, except that all percentage criteria 485 are shall be doubled and all other criteria are shall be 486 increased by 10 percent. The development-of-regional-impact development order may be enforced by the local government as 487 488 provided in by ss. 380.06(17) and 380.11.

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Amendment No. 1

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 25 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

489	(b) If requested by the developer or landowner, the			
490	development-of-regional-impact development order shall be			
491	rescinded by the local government having jurisdiction upon a			
492	showing that all required mitigation related to the amount of			
493	development that existed on the date of rescission has been			
494	completed or will be completed under an existing permit or			
495	equivalent authorization issued by a governmental agency as			
496	defined in s. 380.031(6), <u>if</u> provided such permit or			
497	authorization is subject to enforcement through administrative			
498	or judicial remedies.			
499	Section 7. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.			
500				
500				
501				
502				
503	TITLE AMENDMENT			
504	Remove everything before the enacting clause and insert:			
505	A bill to be entitled			
506	An act relating to growth management; amending s. 163.3184,			
507	F.S.; specifying that certain developments must follow the state			
508	coordinated review process; providing timeframes within which			
509	the Division of Administrative Hearings must transmit certain			
510	recommended orders to the Administration Commission;			
 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx				
Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM				

Page 26 of 27

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

511 establishing deadlines for the state land planning agency to 512 take action on recommended orders relating to certain plan amendments; providing a procedure for issuing a final order if 513 514 the state land planning agency fails to take action; amending s. 515 163.3245, F.S.; revising the acreage thresholds for sector 516 plans; amending s. 171.046, F.S.; revising the size of an 517 enclave that a municipality may annex on an expedited basis; 518 amending s. 380.06, F.S.; authorizing certain changes to 519 approved developments of regional impact; authorizing parties to 520 amend certain development agreements without submittal, review, 521 or approval of a notification of proposed change; providing 522 criteria under which one approved land use may be submitted for 523 another approved land use in certain land development agreements 524 under certain circumstances; specifying that certain proposed 525 changes to certain developments are a substantial deviation; 526 specifying that such developments must undergo further 527 development-of-regional-impact review; providing that certain 528 phase date extensions to amend a development order are not 529 substantial deviations under certain circumstances; specifying 530 conditions under which certain proposed developments are not required to undergo the state-coordinated review process; 531 532 amending s. 380.0651, F.S.; providing that lands acquired for development are not subject to aggregation under certain 533 534 circumstances; amending s. 380.115, F.S.; providing the 535 procedures to be used by a development that elects to rescind a 536 development order; providing an effective date.

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

Page 27 of 27

COMMITTEE MEETING REPORT Local Government Affairs Subcommittee

2/1/2016 11:30:00AM

Location: Webster Hall (212 Knott) HB 1433 : Martin County

X Favorable

	Yea	Nay	No Vote	Absentee Yea	Absentee Nay
Matt Caldwell	Х				
Daphne Campbell	Х				
Jose Diaz			Х		
Dwight Dudley	Х				
George Moraitis, Jr.			Х		
Amanda Murphy	X				
Cary Pigman	Х				
Kevin Rader	X	1			
Lake Ray	X				
Jimmie Smith	Х				
Charlie Stone	X				
Jennifer Sullivan	X				
Debbie Mayfield (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 11	Total Nays:	0		

Appearances:

Martin County Bracy, Carol (Lobbyist) - Waive In Support Martin County Board of County Commissioners Governmental Consultant 403 Park Avenue Tallahassee FL 32301 Phone: 850-577-0444

Local Government Affairs Subcommittee

2/1/2016 11:30:00AM

Location: Webster Hall (212 Knott) HB 1435 : Village of Estero, Lee County

X Temporarily Postponed

Committee meeting was reported out: Monday, February 01, 2016 3:38:26PM

Local Government Affairs Subcommittee

2/1/2016 11:30:00AM

Location: Webster Hall (212 Knott)

HB 1437 : Port of Palm Beach District, Palm Beach County

X Favorable

	Yea	Nay	No Vote	Absentee Yea	Absentee Nay
Matt Caldwell	X				
Daphne Campbell	X				
Jose Diaz	X				
Dwight Dudley	Х				
George Moraitis, Jr.			X		
Amanda Murphy	X				
Cary Pigman	X				
Kevin Rader	Х				
Lake Ray	X				
Jimmie Smith	X				
Charlie Stone	X				
Jennifer Sullivan		Х			
Debbie Mayfield (Chair)	Х				
	Total Yeas: 11	Total Nays: 1			

Appearances:

Port of Palm Beach District Pinsky, Richard (Lobbyist) - Proponent Port of Palm Beach 106 College Avenue Suite 1200 Tallahassee FL 32301

Local Government Affairs Subcommittee

2/1/2016 11:30:00AM

Location: Webster Hall (212 Knott)

HB 1439 : Hillsborough County Public Transportation Commission/Transportation Network Companies

X Favorable With Committee Substitute

	Yea	Nay	No Vote	Absentee Yea	Absentee Nay
Matt Caldwell	Х				
Daphne Campbell	Х				
Jose Diaz			X		
Dwight Dudley	Х				
George Moraitis, Jr.	X				
Amanda Murphy	X				
Cary Pigman	X				
Kevin Rader	Х				
Lake Ray	Х				
Jimmie Smith	X				
Charlie Stone	Х				
Jennifer Sullivan	Х				
Debbie Mayfield (Chair)	X				
	Total Yeas: 12	Total Nays: 0)		

HB 1439 Amendments

Amendment 457745

X Adopted Without Objection

Appearances:

Hillsborough County Public Transportation Commission/Transportation Network Companies Fernandez, Cesar (Lobbyist) - Waive In Support Uber Technologies Public Policy Associate 80 SW 8th Streeet 18th Floor Miami FL 33130 Phone: 786-262-6092

Hillsborough County Public Transportation Commission/Transportation Network Companies Day, Justin (Lobbyist) - Waive In Support Hillsborough County Aviation Authority Director 701 S Howard Avenue Suite 106-326 Tampa FL 33606 Phone: 850-222-8900

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 1439 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE	ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Local Government Affairs
 Subcommittee

3 Representative Young offered the following:

4 5

6

Amendment (with directory amendment)

Between lines 278 and 279, insert:

7 (2) Any person desiring to engage in the business of 8 operating any public vehicle in the county must first acquire a 9 certificate from the commission and must first make written 10 application to the commission on a form pro- vided by the commission for that purpose. Upon receipt of such application, 11 12 the commission shall investigate the facts stated in the application and fix a date, time, and place for a public hearing 13 14 on the application. Wrecker and handicab applications are 15 specifically excluded from the public hearing requirement of this section. Not less than 20 days before the public hearing, 16 17 the commission shall provide notice of the date, time, and place

457745 - HB 1439 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:55 PM

Page 1 of 3

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Amendment No. 1

Bill No. HB 1439 (2016)

of such public hearing, to each current certificate holder and 18 19 notice that the pending application is available for inspection and copying at the office of the commission. Any certificate 20 holder possessing a certificate to operate the same type of 21 service being applied for by the applicant and any certificate 22 23 holder who can demonstrate financial interest may intervene in the public hearing process by filing a notice of intervention 24 not later than five business days prior to the date of the 25 public hearing and in such form and manner as required by the 26 27 commission.

28 (a) Such public hearings may be held by the commission as a whole, by a committee made up of its members appointed by the 29 30 commission for that purpose, or by a hearing officer as further provided by this act and any rules adopted in accordance with 31 32 this act. The committee or hearing officer shall report findings and recommendations to the commission for approval, disapproval, 33 or modification. The commission may conduct such further 34 35 hearings and make such additional investigations as it deems necessary before taking final action. If the person applying for 36 37 such certificate is not operating vehicles in the county at the time this act becomes law, or if such application is for a 38 39 certificate to operate additional vehicles under a certificate previously issued, the commission shall determine, by the 40 hearings and investigations whether or not public convenience 41 and necessity will be promoted by the additional proposed 42 service, and if the commission determines that public 43

457745 - HB 1439 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:55 PM

Page 2 of 3

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Amendment No. 1

Bill No. HB 1439 (2016)

convenience and necessity will not be promoted by such 44 45 additional proposed service, then a certificate shall not be 46 granted. If the commission finds that public convenience and 47 necessity requires such additional pro- posed service, then the certificate shall be granted, subject to the limitations imposed 48 49 in other sections of this act and any rules adopted in 50 accordance with this act. 51 (b) The applicant has the burden of establishing whether public convenience and necessity require the operation of public 52 vehicles proposed in the application. Handicab applications are 53 54 specifically excluded from the public convenience and necessity requirements of this section. The Commission by rule will 55 56 establish reasonable financial, equipment and safety 57 requirements in order to grant a certificate of public need and 58 necessity to operate in the County for handicabs. 59 60 61 DIRECTORY AMENDMENT 62 Remove lines 276-277 and insert: Section 3. Subsection (2) is amended and subsection (6) is 63 added to section 7 of chapter 2001-299, Laws of Florida, to 64 65 read: 457745 - HB 1439 Amendment 1.docx

Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:55 PM

Page 3 of 3