

Civil Justice & Claims Subcommittee

Wednesday, December 6, 2017 4:00 PM – 6:00 PM 404 HOB

Meeting Packet

Committee Meeting Notice HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Civil Justice & Claims Subcommittee

Start Date and Time: Wednesday, December 06, 2017 04:00 pm

End Date and Time: Wednesday, December 06, 2017 06:00 pm

Location: Sumner Hall (404 HOB)

Duration: 2.00 hrs

Consideration of the following bill(s):

HB 385 Transient Occupants of Residential Property by Toledo

HB 413 Trusts by Moraitis

HB 421 Homestead Waivers by Berman

HB 599 Lis Pendens by Altman

HB 617 Covenants and Restrictions by Edwards

HB 623 Out-of-Country Foreign Money Judgments by Byrd

HB 6515 Relief/Cathleen Smiley/Brevard County by Altman

HB 6517 Relief/Robert Allan Smith/Orange County by Cortes, B.

Pursuant to rule 7.11, the deadline for amendments to bills on the agenda by non-appointed members shall be 6:00 p.m., Tuesday, December, 5, 2017.

By request of the Chair, all committee members are asked to have amendments to bills on the agenda submitted to staff by 6:00 p.m., Tuesday, December, 5, 2017.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 385

Transient Occupants of Residential Property

SPONSOR(S): Toledo

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 566

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Civil Justice & Claims Subcommittee		Tuszynski	Bond NB
2) Agriculture & Property Rights Subcommittee			
3) Judiciary Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

A transient occupant is a person temporarily occupying a residential property as an invited guest. Where landlord-tenant law requires a court order to evict, current law allows a person entitled to possession of a dwelling to ask law enforcement to summarily direct a transient occupant to leave a property. The person entitled to possession must provide an affidavit that shows the other person to be a transient occupant. Where the law enforcement agency does not find the occupant to qualify as a transient occupant, the person may file an expedited lawsuit for removal.

This bill amends laws regarding transient occupants to:

- Alter the criteria establishing whether a person is considered a transient occupant, limiting the lookback period for the address to 12 months and removing consideration of whether the transient has mail delivered to the residence:
- Specify that a transient occupancy ends when the person resides elsewhere, surrenders the key, or agrees to leave;
- Require the person entitled to possession to allow a former transient occupant a reasonable opportunity to recover his or her personal belongings;
- Generally require the former transient to recover the personal property within 5 days; and
- Create a civil cause of action against the person entitled to possession for unreasonably withholding access to the personal belongings of the former transient occupant, with prevailing party attorney fees.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local government.

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2018.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background

Transient Occupants of Residential Property

Florida residential property owners and tenants commonly allow relatives, friends, or acquaintances to temporarily reside in their home as guests without a formal rental agreement. These occupancies naturally terminate when the guest voluntarily vacates the property at the time agreed or, when the guest is no longer welcome, at the direction of the property owner or rightful resident. A guest who refuses to surrender possession of residential property at the request of the owner or rightful resident, unlawfully detains the property. Historically, the property owner or rightful resident would need to seek to have the unwanted guest criminally sanctioned for trespass¹ or file a civil action against the unwanted guest for unlawful detainer,² which takes time and requires payment of significant costs.³

In 2015, the Legislature created a remedy for unlawful detention by a transient occupant of residential property.⁴ Section 82.045, F.S., allows law enforcement to immediately direct an unwanted guest to surrender possession of the property upon receipt of a sworn affidavit from the owner or rightful resident which establishes that the unwanted guest is a "transient occupant." Current law details eight factors to consider when determining whether a person is a transient occupant. The person:

- Does not have an ownership interest, financial interest, or leasehold interest in the property entitling him or her to occupancy of the property;
- 2. Does not have any property utility subscriptions;
- Does not use the property address as an address of record with any governmental agency, including, but not limited to, the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles or the supervisor of elections;
- Does not receive mail at the property;
- 5. Pays minimal or no rent for his or her stay at the property;
- 6. Does not have a designated space of his or her own, such as a room, at the property;
- 7. Has minimal, if any, personal belongings at the property; and
- 8. Has an apparent permanent residence elsewhere.

Where a transient occupant refuses to leave upon request of a person entitled to possession of the dwelling, the person entitled to possession may file an affidavit setting forth why the occupant is a transient occupant subject to immediate removal. If the law enforcement officer agrees, the officer will direct the transient occupant to immediately leave or face criminal trespass charges. If the law enforcement officer does not agree, the person entitled to possession of the property may file a summary action for unlawful detainer.

Effect of Proposed Changes - Status as a Transient

The bill modifies the factors that establish whether a person is considered a transient occupant. The factor looking at whether the person has used the property address as an address of record with a

4 Ch. 2015-89, Laws of Fla.

STORAGE NAME: h0385.CJC.DOCX

¹ S. 810.08(1), F.S., provides that a person commits the criminal offense of trespass in a structure or conveyance if the person willfully enters or remains in any structure or conveyance, or, having been authorized, licensed, or invited, is warned by the owner or lessee of the premises, or by a person authorized by the owner or lessee, to depart and refuses to do so.
² S. 82.04(1), F.S.

Filing fees for the civil action - \$180 [s. 34.041(1)(a)7, F.S.]; Service charge for issuance of each summons - \$10 [ss. 28.241(1)(d) and 34.041(1)(d), F.S.]; Service of each summons by the Sheriff - \$40 [s. 30.231(1)(a), F.S.]; Service and execution of the writ of possession by Sheriff - \$90 [s. 30.231, F.S.]; Fees charged by the Sheriff to be present and keep the peace in an action for possession – Varies [s. 30.231(2), F.S.]; Attorney Fees – Varies.

governmental agency is limited to use within the past 12 months. The bill also deletes the provision related to receiving mail at the property.

Effect of Proposed Changes - Termination of Transient Occupancy

Current law does not define or establish when a transient occupancy is terminated. Termination of the transient occupancy defines the point at which the transient occupant's belongings are at issue. The bill defines termination of a transient occupancy as when the transient occupant:

- Begins to reside elsewhere;
- · Surrenders the key to the dwelling; or
- Agrees to leave the dwelling as directed by law enforcement, the party entitled to possession of the dwelling, or a court.

The presence of a transient occupant's personal belongings does not extend a transient occupancy.

Effect of Proposed Changes - Recovery of Personal Property

Upon termination, current law regarding transient occupancy does not say what to do with belongings left behind.

In general, the bill requires the party entitled to possession of the dwelling to allow a former transient occupant to recover his or her personal belongings at a reasonable time and under reasonable conditions. Reasonable time and conditions are to include a convenient time when the party entitled to possession or trusted third party can be present. Additional conditions, such as the presence of a law enforcement officer during recovery, the use of a registered mover, or the use of a trusted third party are not considered unreasonable if the party entitled to possession of a dwelling reasonably believes the former transient occupant has a history of violence, drug or alcohol abuse, or has engaged in misconduct. Misconduct for the purposes of the bill includes, but is not limited to:

- Intentional damage to the dwelling or property of the party entitled to possession of a dwelling or another occupant of the dwelling;
- Physical or verbal abuse directed at the party entitled to possession of a dwelling or another occupant of the dwelling; or
- Theft of property of the party entitled to possession of a dwelling or another occupant of the dwelling.

The bill allows a person entitled to possession of a dwelling to presume personal belongings left at the dwelling are abandoned if the former transient occupant has not recovered them within a reasonable time after surrendering occupancy of the dwelling. The bill defines a reasonable time for recovery to be at least 5 days. However, this may be longer or shorter depending on specific circumstances. Circumstances that may extend the 5-day period include an agreement to hold the property longer than 5 days or the unavailability of the party entitled to possession of the dwelling to be present. Circumstances that may shorten the 5-day period include:

- Poor condition or perishable or hazardous nature of the personal belongings;
- Intent of the former transient occupant to abandon or discard the personal belongings; or
- Significant impairment of the use of the dwelling by the storage of the personal belongings.

The bill creates a civil cause of action to allow the former transient occupant to sue the person entitled to possession of the dwelling for unreasonably withholding access to the personal belongings of the former transient occupant. The prevailing party is entitled to an award of attorney fees and costs.

Other

The bill adds that the law on transient occupants must "be construed to recognize that the right to exclude others is one of the most essential property rights."

STORAGE NAME: h0385.CJC,DOCX

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2018.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends s. 82.045, F.S., relating to remedy for unlawful detention by a transient

occupant of residential property.

Section 2: Provides an effective date of July 1, 2018.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:
 Not applicable. The bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

Not applicable.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

HB 385 2018

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to transient occupants of residential property; amending s. 82.045, F.S.; revising criteria for determination of whether a person is a transient occupant; specifying when a transient occupancy ends; providing that a party entitled to possession of a dwelling must allow a former transient occupant to recover his or her personal belongings at a reasonable time under reasonable conditions; specifying that additional conditions may be imposed in certain circumstances; creating a presumption that personal belongings are abandoned in certain circumstances; authorizing civil actions for recovery of personal property by former transient occupants; providing construction; providing an effective date.

1516

17

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

18 19

Section 1. Section 82.045, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

2021

22

82.045 Remedy for unlawful detention by a transient occupant of residential property; recovery of transient occupant's property.—

23

25

(1) As used in this section, the term "transient occupant" means a person whose residency in a dwelling intended for

Page 1 of 7

HB 385

residential use has occurred for a brief length of time, is not pursuant to a lease, and whose occupancy was intended as transient in nature.

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

40

41

42

44

45

46

47

48

4950

- (a) Factors that establish that a person is a transient occupant include, but are not limited to:
- 1. The person does not have an ownership interest, financial interest, or leasehold interest in the property entitling him or her to occupancy of the property.
- 2. The person does not have any property utility subscriptions.
- 3. The person does not use the property address as an address of record with any governmental agency, including, but not limited to, the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles or the supervisor of elections within the past 12 months.
 - 4. The person does not receive mail at the property.
- $\underline{4.5.}$ The person pays minimal or no rent for his or her stay at the property.
- 5.6. The person does not have a designated space of his or her own, such as a room, at the property.
- $\underline{6.7.}$ The person has minimal, if any, personal belongings at the property.
- 7.8. The person has an apparent permanent residence elsewhere.
 - (b) Minor contributions made for the purchase of household

Page 2 of 7

HB 385 2018

goods, or minor contributions towards other household expenses, do not establish residency.

- (2) A transient occupant unlawfully detains a residential property if the transient occupant remains in occupancy of the residential property after the party entitled to possession of the property has directed the transient occupant to leave. A transient occupancy terminates when a transient occupant begins to reside elsewhere, surrenders the key to the dwelling, or agrees to leave the dwelling when directed by a law enforcement officer, the party entitled to possession, or a court. A transient occupancy is not extended by the presence of personal belongings of a former transient occupant.
- (3) Any law enforcement officer may, upon receipt of a sworn affidavit of the party entitled to possession that a person who is a transient occupant is unlawfully detaining residential property, direct a transient occupant to surrender possession of residential property. The sworn affidavit must set forth the facts, including the applicable factors listed in paragraph (1)(a), which establish that a transient occupant is unlawfully detaining residential property.
- (a) A person who fails to comply with the direction of the law enforcement officer to surrender possession or occupancy violates s. 810.08. In any prosecution of a violation of s. 810.08 related to this section, whether the defendant was properly classified as a transient occupant is not an element of

Page 3 of 7

HB 385

the offense, the state is not required to prove that the defendant was in fact a transient occupant, and the defendant's status as a permanent resident is not an affirmative defense.

- (b) A person wrongfully removed pursuant to this subsection has a cause of action for wrongful removal against the person who requested the removal, and may recover injunctive relief and compensatory damages. However, a wrongfully removed person does not have a cause of action against the law enforcement officer or the agency employing the law enforcement officer absent a showing of bad faith by the law enforcement officer.
- (4) A party entitled to possession of a dwelling has a cause of action for unlawful detainer against a transient occupant pursuant to s. 82.04. The party entitled to possession is not required to notify the transient occupant before filing the action. If the court finds that the defendant is not a transient occupant but is instead a tenant of residential property governed by part II of chapter 83, the court may not dismiss the action without first allowing the plaintiff to give the transient occupant the notice required by that part and to thereafter amend the complaint to pursue eviction under that part.
- (5) The party entitled to possession of a dwelling must allow a former transient occupant to recover his or her personal belongings at a reasonable time and under reasonable conditions.

Page 4 of 7

HB 385

(a) A reasonable time for the recovery of the former transient occupant's personal belongings includes a convenient time when the party entitled to possession of the dwelling or a trusted third party can be present at the dwelling to supervise the recovery of the belongings.

- (b) If the party entitled to possession of the dwelling reasonably believes that the former transient occupant has engaged in misconduct or has a history of violence or drug or alcohol abuse, it is not unreasonable for the party entitled to possession of the dwelling to impose additional conditions on access to the dwelling or the personal belongings. These conditions may include, for example, the presence of a law enforcement officer or the use of a mover that is registered with the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services or the use of a trusted third party to recover the personal belongings. For purposes of this paragraph, misconduct includes, but is not limited to:
- 1. Intentional damage to the dwelling, property owned by the party entitled to possession of the dwelling, or property owned by another occupant of the dwelling;
- 2. Physical or verbal abuse directed at the party entitled to possession of the dwelling or directed at another occupant of the dwelling; or
- 3. Theft of property belonging to the party entitled to possession of the dwelling or property of another occupant of

Page 5 of 7

HB 385 2018

the dwelling.

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137 138

139

140

141

142

143 144

145

146

147

148

149

150

The person entitled to possession of a dwelling may presume that the former transient occupant has abandoned personal belongings left at the dwelling if the former transient occupant does not seek to recover them within a reasonable time after the transient occupant surrenders occupancy of the dwelling. A reasonable time to recover personal belongings is generally at least 5 days after the termination of the transient occupancy, but may be longer or shorter depending on the specific circumstances. Circumstances that may extend the time period include an agreement to hold the property for longer than 5 days or the unavailability of the party entitled to possession of the dwelling to supervise the recovery of the personal belongings. Circumstances that may shorten the time period include, but are not limited to, the poor condition or perishable or hazardous nature of the personal belongings, the intent of the former transient occupant to abandon or discard the belongings, or the significant impairment of the use of the dwelling by the storage of the former transient occupant's personal belongings.

(d) If the person entitled to possession of the dwelling unreasonably withholds access to a former transient occupant's personal belongings, the former transient occupant may bring a civil action for damages or the recovery of the property. The court shall award the prevailing party reasonable attorney fees

Page 6 of 7

HB 385

151	and costs.
152	(6) This section shall be construed to recognize that the
153	right to exclude others is one of the most essential property
154	rights.
155	Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2018.

Page 7 of 7

Amendment No. 1

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTE	EE ACTION
ADOPTED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED AS AMENDED	(Y/N)
ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION	(Y/N)
FAILED TO ADOPT	(Y/N)
WITHDRAWN	(Y/N)
OTHER	

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Civil Justice & Claims Subcommittee

Representative Toledo offered the following:

4

1

2

3

5

6

7 8 9

10

11

Amendment

Remove lines 36-40 and insert:

3. The person has does not used use the property address as an address of record with any governmental agency within the past 12 months, including, but not limited to, the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles or the supervisor of elections.

394459 - h0385-line0036.docx

Published On: 12/5/2017 6:13:53 PM



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT Bill No. HB 385 (2018)

Amendment No. 2

411			
	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMI	TTEE	ACTION
	ADOPTED		(Y/N)
-	ADOPTED AS AMENDED		(Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION		(Y/N)
1	FAILED TO ADOPT		(Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN		(Y/N)
	OTHER		
2	Subcommittee		ing bill: Civil Justice & Claims
3	Representative Toledo o	fiere	ed the following:
5	Amendment		
6	Remove lines 59-60	and	insert:
7	leaves the dwelling whe	n dir	rected by the party entitled to
8	possession, a law enfor	cemer	nt officer, or a court. A

703573 - h0385-line0059.docx

Published On: 12/5/2017 6:15:35 PM

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 413 Trusts SPONSOR(S): Moraitis, Jr.

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 478

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Civil Justice & Claims Subcommittee		Nu MacNamara	Bond NB
2) Judiciary Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The Florida Trust Code governs express trusts, charitable or noncharitable, and trusts that are required to be administered in the manner of an express trust. An express trust is created by the intent of a settlor (the individual creating the trust), and is generally evidenced by a written instrument that details the terms of the trust. The trust is administered by a trustee, with the terms of a trust providing benefits for individuals known as beneficiaries. Except as otherwise provided, the terms of a trust prevail over any provision of the Code; the Code is used to fill in gaps and provides for the operation of the trust for issues not addressed in the terms of a trust.

Historically, a trust was administered with the primary intent of accomplishing the intent of the settlor. Recent changes to trust law may be interpreted to require the administration of a trust for the benefit of the beneficiaries instead. This bill deletes language related to benefiting the beneficiaries and thus makes the intent of the settlor the primary intent of trust administration.

The bill changes portions of the Code related to the trustee and their duties, liabilities, and powers to provide which provisions of the Code govern a trustee's duty to provide an accounting to the beneficiaries and extend the period for beneficiaries to file actions alleging a breach of trust. Additionally, the bill limits the application of the portion of the Code relating to posting documents electronically, revises procedural requirements for such postings, and provides consequences for failing to maintain receipts of electronic postings.

The bill also expands the state's decanting statute. Decanting is a trustee's power to cure or avoid issues with a trust by distributing trust property from one trust to a different trust, as opposed to distributing property directly to a beneficiary. The bill expands a trustee's ability to decant trust principal under the terms of the trust, provides support for disabled beneficiaries, and imposes greater notice requirements when a trustee exercises the ability to decant trust principal.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

The effective date for the bill is July 1, 2018. The sections related to the period for which beneficiaries may compel trust accounting apply retroactively to all cases pending or commenced on or after July 1, 2018.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Overview of the Florida Trust Code

Chapter 736, F.S., is referred to as the "Florida Trust Code." The Code applies to express trusts, charitable or noncharitable, and trusts created pursuant to law, judgment, or decree that requires the trust to be administered in the manner of an express trust. An express trust is defined as a fiduciary relationship with respect to property, subjecting the person by whom the title to the property is held to equitable duties to deal with the property, which arises as a result of a manifestation of an intention to create it.

The term "terms of a trust" is defined to mean the manifestation of the settlor's intent regarding a trust's provisions as expressed in the trust instrument or as may be established by other evidence that would be admissible in a judicial proceeding. Under the Code, "settlor" is defined as a person who creates or contributes property to a trust. A "beneficiary" of the trust is a person who has a present or future beneficial interest in the trust. A trustee is the person in the trust transaction who holds the legal title to the property of the trust.

A trustee is essential to the creation and validity of a trust; however, occupancy of the position by a designated person is not essential since in the absence of a trustee, whether by failure of appointment, nonacceptance, disqualification, or other cause, a court will ordinarily appoint a trustee in order to administer a trust.

The trustee is granted certain powers and is subject to certain duties imposed by the terms of the trust, equity jurisprudence, or by statute. A trustee may have the power or duty to perform various acts of management in administering the trust estate. To be able to enforce the trustee's duties, the beneficiary of a trust must know of the existence of the trust and be informed about the administration of the trust. Accordingly, s. 736.0813, F.S., imposes a duty on a Florida trustee to keep the qualified beneficiaries of an irrevocable trust reasonably informed of the trust and its administration. The duty includes, but is not limited to:

- Notice of the existence of the irrevocable trust, the identity of the settlor or settlors, the right to request a copy of the trust instrument, the right to accountings, and applicability of the fiduciary lawyer-client privilege.
- Notice of the acceptance of the trust, the full name and address of the trustee, and the
 applicability of the fiduciary lawyer-client privilege.
- Disclosure of a copy of the trust instrument upon reasonable request.
- An annual accounting of the trust to each beneficiary and an accounting on termination of the trust or on change of the trustee. The accounting must address the cash and property transactions in the accounting period and what trust assets are currently on hand.
- Disclosure of relevant information about the assets and liabilities of the trust and the particulars relating to administration upon reasonable request.
- Such additional notices and disclosure requirements related to the trust administration as required by the Florida Trust Code.⁴

¹ s. 736.0103(21), F.S.

² s. 736.0103(18), F.S.

³ s. 736.0103(4), F.S.

⁴ See, e.g., s. 736.0108(6), F.S. (notice of a proposed transfer of a trust's principal place of administration); s. 736.04117(4), F.S. (notice of the trustee's exercise of the power to invade the principal of the trust); s. 736.0414(1), F.S. (notice of terminating certain minimally funded trusts); s. 736.0417(1), F.S. (notice prior to combining or dividing trusts); s. 736.0705 (notice of resignation of trustee); s. 736.0802, F.S. (disclose and provide notice of investments in funds owned STORAGE NAME; h0413.CJC.DOCX

It is from the trust instrument that a trustee derives his or her rules of conduct, extent and limit of authority, and measure of obligation. Thus, the extent of a trustee's duties and powers is determined by the trust instrument and by the applicable rules of law, and not by the trustee's own interpretation of the trust instrument or by his or her own belief as to rules of law. Under the Code, a violation by a trustee of a duty the trustee owes a beneficiary is a breach of trust. A breach of trust by a trustee gives rise to liability by the trustee to the beneficiary for any loss of the trust estate.

A beneficiary must bring an action for breach of trust as to any matter adequately disclosed within an accounting or any other written report of the trustee, also known as trust disclosure documents, within 6 months of *receiving* the trust disclosure document or a limitation *notice* from the trustee that applies to that trust disclosure document, whichever occurs later. A limitation notice informs the beneficiary that an action against the trustee for breach of trust based on any matter adequately disclosed in the trust disclosure document may be barred unless the action is commenced within 6 months.

A trustee is required to provide notice to qualified beneficiaries and other individuals when performing various duties while administering a trust. The Code provides that the only permissible methods of sending notice or a document to such persons are by first-class mail, personal delivery, delivery to the person's last known place of residence or place of business, a properly directed facsimile or other electronic message, or by posting a document to a secure electronic account or website.⁵

Except as otherwise provided in the terms of the trust, the Code governs the duties and powers of a trustee, relations among trustees, and the rights and interests any beneficiaries. The terms of a trust prevail over any provision of the Code, except as provided in s. 736.0105(2), F.S. In all, the Code currently provides 23 terms that are solely governed by the Code and cannot be changed, waived, or otherwise altered by the terms of the trust.⁶

Current Florida Trust Code Provisions and Effect of Proposed Amendments

The bill amends portions of Florida's Trust Code related to the intent of the settlor and interest of the beneficiaries, the duties, and powers of the trustee, and the Code's method of electronic notice.

Settlor Intent and Interest of the Beneficiaries

In order for a settlor to create an express trust, he or she must indicate an intention to create it. This requirement is what distinguishes an express trust from an implied trust, such as a constructive or resulting trust. In the case of an express trust, the settlor's intent usually is evidenced by a written trust document such as a will or a trust agreement that designates a trustee and indicates that the trustee is to hold the trust property in trust and designates the beneficial interests of the trust. A written instrument, however, is not required to create a trust; rather, the terms of the trust may be established by clear and convincing evidence. Under current law, however, the settlor's intent may be restricted in the interest of protecting the beneficiaries when interpreting and applying the Code.

Under s. 736.0105(2)(c), F.S., the trust is required to be administered for the benefit of the trust's beneficiaries. The Code also includes limitations on the purpose for which a trust may be created and the affect it would have on the beneficiaries of the trust. In order for a trust to be created, the trust must

or controlled by trustee; the identity of the investment instruments, and the identity and relationship to the trustee to any affiliate that owns or controls the investment instruments; and notice to beneficiaries whose share of the trust may be affected by certain legal claims); and s. 736.0902(5), F.S. (notice of the non-application of the prudent investor rule to certain transactions).

STORAGE NAME: h0413.CJC.DOCX

⁵ s. 736,0109, F.S.

⁶ See s. 736.0105(2)(a-w), F.S.

⁷ The Code defines "interests of the beneficiaries" to mean the beneficial interests provided in the terms of the trust. s. 736.0103(11), F.S.

⁸ s. 736.0407, F.S.

have a lawful purpose that does not contravene public policy, that is possible to achieve, and the trust and its terms must be for the "benefit of its beneficiaries."9

The bill amends ss. 736.0103(11), 736.0105(2)(c), and 736.0404, F.S., to remove the current language in those statutes that a trust and its terms be administered for the benefit of the beneficiaries. The effect is to establish the settlor's intent as the guiding principle with respect to the terms, interests, and purposes of a trust. Specifically:

- The definition of "interests of the beneficiaries" under s. 736.0103(11), F.S. is amended to mean the beneficial interests intended by the settlor as provided under the terms of the trust.
- The exception to the general rule that the terms of the trust prevail over provisions of the Code contained in s. 736.0105(2)(c), F.S., is amended to remove the mandatory requirement that the terms of the trust be for the benefit of the beneficiaries.
- Section 736.0404, F.S., is likewise amended to remove the requirement that trust and its terms
 be for the benefit of the beneficiaries. As amended, a trust's purpose only needs to be lawful,
 not contrary to public policy, and possible to achieve.

The Trustee: Duty to Account

One duty a trustee is required to perform under the Code is a duty to account to trust beneficiaries. The trustee is required to keep beneficiaries reasonably informed and to provide the beneficiaries with a statement of the trust account annually. If the trustee does not keep clear, distinct, and accurate accounts, or if the trustee loses his or her accounts, all presumptions will be made against the trustee and the trustee will bear the costs of any resulting damages. In addition to the Code's requirements to inform and account to beneficiaries, current law provides standards for the form and content of the accounting. Subsection (3) of s. 736.08135, F.S., provides the standards for the accounting and includes the language:

(3) This section applies to all trust accountings rendered for any accounting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2003.

A trustee's liability for failing to perform duties, such as providing trust accounting, is limited by s. 736.1008, F.S. This section provides the limitations on proceedings against the trustee, with subsection (3) addressing a claim against the trustee for a breach of trust related to the trustee's accounting duties. Current law states that any claim against the trustee for a breach of trust based on a matter not adequately disclosed in a trust disclosure document is barred as provided in ch. 95, F.S. A cause of action for such claims begins to accrue when the beneficiary has actual knowledge of:

- (a) The facts upon which the claim is based if such actual knowledge is established by clear and convincing evidence; or
- (b) The trustee's repudiation of the trust or adverse possession of the trust assets.11

In Corya v. Sanders, 12 the Fourth District Court of Appeal used both ss. 736.08135(3) and 736.1008(3), F.S., in determining a case involving a trustee's liability for failing to prepare trust accounts and inform the beneficiaries of the trust. With respect to s. 736.08135(3), F.S., the court determined that a trustee was not required to prepare an accounting for dates prior to January 1, 2003, saying:

[W]e construe that language as limiting the beginning period for the first accounting, in situations where an accounting had never been done or was not prepared annually, to be no earlier than January 1, 2003.

STORAGE NAME: h0413.CJC.DOCX

⁹ s. 736.0404, F.S.

¹⁰ s. 736.08135(1-2), F.S.

¹¹ s. 736.1008(3), F.S.

^{12 155} So.3d 1279 (Fla. 4th DCA 2015).

In effect, this barred a beneficiary of an express trust from seeking to compel a trust accounting for all periods prior to January 1, 2003.

The court in Corya also held that a beneficiary of an express trust who has actual knowledge that he or she is a beneficiary of a trust and has not received a trust accounting is barred by s. 95.11(6), F.S., 13 from seeking a trust accounting for any period more than 4 years prior to the filing of the action. In other words, the court held that the right of a beneficiary, with knowledge that they have not received a trust accounting, to seek an accounting is subject to a 4 year limitations period that begins to run as soon as a trust accounting is overdue.14

The bill amends s. 736.08135(3), F.S., to govern the form of content for all trust accountings rendered, including those for accounting periods prior to 2003. The bill amends s. 736.1008, F.S., to provide that a beneficiary's actual knowledge that he or she has not received a trust accounting does not cause a claim to accrue against the trustee for a breach of trust. Moreover, the beneficiary's actual knowledge of that fact does not commence the running of any statute of limitations concerning such claims.

The Trustee: Posting Documents or Notices Electronically

Ch. 2015-176, L.O.F., added posting to a secure electronic account or website to the list of acceptable methods for delivery of notices and documents. The posting of documents to a secure website or account that is accessible to the recipient is only acceptable if the recipient provides written authorization. The written authorization to provide electronic posting of documents must:

- Be limited solely to posting documents on the electronic account or website.
- Enumerate the documents that may be posted on the electronic website or account.
- Contain specific instructions for accessing the electronic website or account, including any security measures.
- Advise that a separate notice will be sent, and the manner in which it will be sent, when a document is posted to the electronic website or account.
- Advise that the authorization may be amended or revoked at any time and provide instructions to amend or revoke authorization.
- Advise that the posting of a document on the electronic account or website may commence a limitations period as short as 6 months even if the recipient never access the electronic account, website, or document.

The trustee is required to send a notice to a person receiving trust documents by electronic posting, which notice may be made by any permissible method of notice under the Code except electronic posting, at the following intervals:

- Each time a document is posted and the notice must identify each document that has been posted and how the person may access the document.
- Every year (the "annual notice") to advise such persons that posting of a document commences a limitations period as short as 6 months even if the recipient never accesses the website, account, or document. The annual notice must also address the right to amend or revoke a previous authorization to post trust documents on a website or account. The bill provides the suggested form of the annual notice, which is substantially similar to the suggested form of a limitations notice provided in s. 736.1008(4)(c) F.S. The failure of a trustee to provide the annual notice within 380 days of the previous notice will automatically revoke the person's authorization to post trust documents on an electronic website or account.

STORAGE NAME: h0413.CJC.DOCX

¹³ Related to "Laches."

¹⁴ This holding is in direct conflict with Taplin v. Taplin, 88 So.3d 344 (Fla. 3d DCA 2012) and Nayee v. Nayee, 705 So.2d 961 (Fla. 5th DCA 1998).

The website or account must allow the recipient to download or print the posted document. A document provided solely through electronic posting must be retained on the website or account for at least 4 years after the date it is received.

A document delivered by electronic posting is deemed received by the recipient on the earlier of the date that notice of the document's posting is received or the date that the recipient accesses the document on the electronic account or website. The posting of a document to an electronic account or website is only effective if done in compliance with the requirements of the new provisions. The trustee has the burden of demonstrating compliance with such requirements.

The bill provides that the enumerated procedures for electronic posting are solely for the purposes of meeting the notice requirements of s. 736.0109, F.S., They are not intended to restrict or govern courtesy postings in any way. Moreover, the bill provides that the retention requirements only apply if electronic posting is the only method of giving notice.

The bill requires that the initial customer authorization specifically state whether trust accountings, trust disclosure documents, and limitation notices, each as defined in s. 736.1008(4), F.S., may be posted electronically, but allows a more general description of other types of documents that the sender may provide by posting.

The bill allows a recipient to terminate authorization to receive documents via posting by following the procedures on the web site instead of giving written notice of such termination.

The bill additionally amends the 4 year document retention requirement as follows:

- If access is terminated by the sender before the end of the 4 year retention period, then the
 running of the applicable statute of limitations periods contained in s. 736.1008(1) & (2), F.S.,
 are suspended until 45 days after the sender sends a notice by separate means to the recipient
 that either access has been restored, or access has been terminated and that the recipient may
 request copies of the posted documents at no cost.
- The applicable statute of limitations is also suspended from the time the recipient asks for copies until 20 days after those documents are provided.
- Documents do not need to be maintained on the website once the recipient's access has been terminated.
- No retention is required, and no statute of limitations is suspended, if access is terminated by the action of, or at the request of the recipient. Revocation of authorization by a customer to receive documents via posting is not considered to be a request to terminate access to documents already posted.
- Failure to maintain access does not invalidate the initial notice

The Trustee: The Decanting Statute

In some instances, the terms of a trust may grant the trustee "absolute power" to perform certain duties and responsibilities for the trust. One absolute power that may be granted to a trustee is the power to distribute trust property, or "principal," to or for the benefit of one or more beneficiaries. The term "decanting" describes a trustee's distribution of principal from one trust into a different trust (as opposed to distributing principal directly to the beneficiary). 15

Decanting is generally used by trustees who wish to cure or avoid issues with the terms of the first trust without distributing to a beneficiary outright. In this way, decanting can fix issues with a trust while still preserving the settlor's intention of maintaining the assets in trust. Unlike a trust modification, which often times is only available through a court proceeding, a trust decanting is an exercise of the trustee's

STORAGE NAME: h0413.CJC.DOCX DATE: 11/29/2017

h0413.CJC.DOCX PAGE: 6

discretionary authority to make distributions. This exercise avoids having to expend trust funds for judicial involvement.

Under s. 736.04117, F.S., a trustee is allowed to decant principal to a different trust from a first when the trustee has absolute power to make principal distributions.

Although it is not necessary that the trust instrument use the term "absolute," it is necessary that the trustee's invasion power not be limited to a specific or ascertainable purpose. Thus, a power to invade for a beneficiary's best interests, welfare, comfort, or happiness is an absolute invasion power under the statute but a power to distribute or invade for a beneficiary's health, education, maintenance, or support is not. ¹⁶ Moreover, and for purposes of the analysis, a trustee may only decant principal to a supplemental needs trust ¹⁷ when the terms of the trust provide that the trustee has absolute power to invade the principal for the benefit of a disabled beneficiary.

The trustee's decision to decant is held to the same fiduciary standards as the decision to make a discretionary principal distribution (i.e., the beneficiary can sue the trustee for a decanting distribution to the same extent the beneficiary could sue the trustee for an outright distribution). Current law also imposes both procedural and substantive restrictions on a trustee's exercise of decanting power. For instance, s. 736.04117(4), F.S. requires notice, in writing, be made to all beneficiaries of the first trust at least 60 days prior to the date the trustee exercises their power to invade the trust principal.

The bill substantially amends s. 736.04117, F.S., related to the trustee's power to invade principal and expands the ability of the trustee to decant when granted less than absolute power under the terms of the trust. The bills three major effects can be summarized as follows:

- 1. The bill authorizes a trustee to decant principal to a second trust pursuant to a power to distribute that is not absolute. When such power is not absolute, the authorized trustee's decanting authority is restricted so that each beneficiary of the first trust must have a substantially similar interest in the second trust. The bill provides a definition for "substantially similar" to mean, in relevant part, that "there is no material change in a beneficiary's beneficial interest or in the power to make distributions and that the power to make a distribution under a second trust for the benefit of a beneficiary who is an individual is substantially similar to the power under the first trust to make a distribution directly to the beneficiary." 18.
- 2. The bill authorizes a trustee to decant principal to a supplemental needs trust where a beneficiary is disabled. The trustee may take this action regardless of whether the authorized trustee has an absolute discretionary power or discretionary power limited to an ascertainable standard. The bill provides a definition for "supplemental needs trust" to mean a trust that the authorized trustee believes would not be considered a resource for purposes of determining whether the beneficiary who has a disability is eligible for governmental benefits. 19
- 3. The bill expands the notice requirements under the state's current decanting statute. Specifically, notice is required to be provided to the settlor of the first trust, if the first trust was not a grantor trust and the second trust will be a grantor trust, all trustees of the first trust, and to any person with the power to remove the authorized trustee of the first trust. Moreover, the notice must include copies of both the first and second trust instruments.

In addition to these major changes, the bill amends current law on decanting in the following ways:

Provides definitions for purposes of interpreting and applying the provisions of s. 736.04117,
 F.S. Specifically, the bill defines the terms absolute power, authorized trustee, beneficiary with a disability, current beneficiary, government benefits, internal revenue code, power of

¹⁶ s. 736.04117(1)(b), F.S.

¹⁷ The assets in a supplemental needs trust are excluded in the determination of entitlements to government benefits.

¹⁸ HB 413, lines 326-333.

¹⁹ HB 413, lines 342-345.

- appointment, presently exercisable general power of appointment, substantially similar, supplemental needs trust, and vested interest.
- Provides that, with respect to permissible or impermissible modification of certain trust provisions, the second trust may omit, create or modify a power of appointment.
- Expands the existing prohibition on reducing certain fixed interests to include vested interests.
- Provides that the second trust may extend the term of the first trust, regardless of whether the authorized trustee has an absolute discretionary power or discretionary power limited to an ascertainable standard.
- Adds additional tax benefits associated with the first trust that must be maintained in the second trust to include the gift tax annual exclusion, and any and all other tax benefits for income, gift, estate or generation-skipping transfer for tax purposes.
- Incorporates provisions regarding "grantor" trust status and the trustee's ability to decant from a
 grantor trust to a non-grantor trust.
- Provides that a second trust may be created under the laws of any jurisdiction and institutes
 certain safeguards to prohibit an authorized trustee from decanting to a second trust which
 provides the authorized trustee with increased compensation or greater protection under an
 exculpatory or indemnification provision.
- Provides that a trustee may decant to a second trust that divides trustee responsibilities among various parties, including one or more trustees and others.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends s. 736.0103, F.S., relating to definitions.

Section 2: Amends s. 736.0105, F.S., relating to default and mandatory rules.

Section 3: Amends s. 736.0109, F.S. relating to methods and waiver of notice.

Section 4: Amends s. 736.0404, F.S., relating to trust purposes.

Section 5: Amends s. 736.04117, F.S., relating to a trustee's power to invade principal in trust.

Section 6: Amends s. 736.08135, F.S., relating to trust accounting.

Section 7: Amends s. 736.1008, F.S., relating to limitations on proceedings against trustees.

Section 8: Provides for the effect of ss. 736.08135 and 736.1008, F.S., to all cases pending or commenced on or after July 1, 2018.

Section 9: Provides an effective date of July 1, 2018.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

4	The Salar State of the Salar Sta
1.	Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS: None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

- Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:
 Not applicable. The bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.
- 2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

Not applicable.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: h0413.CJC.DOCX DATE: 11/29/2017

1

2

3

4 5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A bill to be entitled An act relating to trusts; amending s. 736.0103, F.S.; redefining the term "interests of the beneficiaries"; amending s. 736.0105, F.S.; deleting a requirement that a trust and its terms be for the benefit of the trust's beneficiaries; amending s. 736.0109, F.S.; revising provisions relating to notice or sending of trust documents to include posting on a secure electronic account or website; providing requirements for such documents to be deemed sent; requiring a certain authorization to specify documents subject to electronic posting; revising requirements for a recipient to electronically access such documents; prohibiting the termination of a recipient's electronic access to such documents from invalidating certain notice or sending of electronic trust documents; tolling specified limitations periods under certain circumstances; providing requirements for electronic access to such documents to be deemed terminated by a sender; providing construction; providing applicability; amending s. 736.0404, F.S.; deleting a restriction on the purpose for which a trust is created; amending s. 736.04117, F.S.; defining and redefining terms; authorizing an authorized trustee to appoint all or part of the

Page 1 of 25

HB 413

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

principal of a trust to a second trust under certain circumstances; providing requirements for the second trust and its beneficiaries; authorizing the second trust to retain, omit, or create or modify specified powers; authorizing the term of the second trust to extend beyond the term of the first trust; authorizing the class of permissible appointees to the second trust to differ from the class identified in the first trust under certain circumstances; providing requirements for distributions to a second trust when the authorized trustee does not have absolute power; providing requirements for such second trust; providing requirements for grants of power of appointment by the second trust; authorizing a second trust created by an authorized trustee without absolute power to grant specified powers under certain circumstances; authorizing an authorized trustee to appoint the principal of a first trust to a supplemental needs trust under certain circumstances; providing requirements for such supplemental needs trust; prohibiting an authorized trustee from distributing the principal of a trust in a manner that would reduce specified tax benefits; prohibiting the distribution of S corporation stock from a first trust to a second trust under certain circumstances;

Page 2 of 25

prohibiting a settlor from being treated as the owner of a second trust if he or she was not treated as the owner of the first trust; prohibiting an authorized trustee from distributing a trust's interest in property to a second trust if the interest is subject to specified rules of the Internal Revenue Code; authorizing the exercise of power to invade a trust's principal to apply to a second trust created or administered under the law of any jurisdiction; prohibiting the exercise of power to invade a trust's principal to increase an authorized trustee's compensation or relieve him or her from certain liability; specifying who an authorized trustee must notify when he or she exercises his or her power to invade the trust's principal; specifying the documents that the authorized trustee must provide with such notice; amending s. 736.08135, F.S.; revising applicability; amending s. 736.1008, F.S.; clarifying that certain knowledge by a beneficiary does not cause a claim to accrue for breach of trust or commence the running of a period of limitations or laches; providing legislative intent; providing retroactive application; providing effective dates.

73 74

75

5152

53

54

55

56

57 58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68 69

70

71

72

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Page 3 of 25

76

77

78 79

80

81 82

83

84

85

86

87

88

90

91

92 93

94

95

96

97

98

100

Section 1. Subsection (11) of section 736.0103, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.0103 Definitions.—Unless the context otherwise requires, in this code:

- (11) "Interests of the beneficiaries" means the beneficial interests intended by the settlor as provided in the terms of \underline{a} the trust.
- Section 2. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2) of section 736.0105, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.0105 Default and mandatory rules.-

- (2) The terms of a trust prevail over any provision of this code except:
- (c) The requirement that a trust and its terms be for the benefit of the trust's beneficiaries, and that the trust have a purpose that is lawful, not contrary to public policy, and possible to achieve.

Section 3. Subsections (1) and (3) of section 736.0109, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

736.0109 Methods and waiver of notice.-

(1) Notice to a person under this code or the sending of a document to a person under this code must be accomplished in a manner reasonably suitable under the circumstances and likely to result in receipt of the notice or document. Permissible methods of notice or for sending a document include first-class mail,

Page 4 of 25

personal delivery, delivery to the person's last known place of residence or place of business, or a properly directed facsimile or other electronic message, or posting on a secure electronic account or website in accordance with subsection (3).

- electronic account or website is not deemed sent for purposes of this section unless the sender complies with this subsection.

 The sender has the burden of proving compliance with this subsection and the sender to the methods listed in subsection (1) for sending a document, a sender may post a document to a secure electronic account or website where the document can be accessed.
- (a) Before a document may be posted to an electronic account or website, The recipient must sign a separate written authorization solely for the purpose of authorizing the sender to post documents on an electronic account or website before such posting. The written authorization must:
- 1. Specifically indicate whether a trust accounting, trust disclosure document, or limitation notice, as those terms are defined in s. 736.1008(4), will be posted in this manner, and generally enumerate the other types of documents that may be posted in this manner.
- 2. Contain specific instructions for accessing the electronic account or website, including the security procedures required to access the electronic account or website, such as a

Page 5 of 25

username and password.

- 3. Advise the recipient that a separate notice will be sent when a document is posted on to the electronic account or website and the manner in which the separate notice will be sent.
- 4. Advise the recipient that the authorization to receive documents by electronic posting may be amended or revoked at any time and include specific instructions for revoking or amending the authorization, including the address designated for the purpose of receiving notice of the revocation or amendment.
- 5. Advise the recipient that posting a document on the electronic account or website may commence a limitations period as short as 6 months even if the recipient never actually accesses the electronic account, electronic website, or the document.
- (b) Once the recipient signs the written authorization, the sender must provide a separate notice to the recipient when a document is posted on to the electronic account or website. As used in this subsection, the term "separate notice" means a notice sent to the recipient by means other than electronic posting, which identifies each document posted to the electronic account or website and provides instructions for accessing the posted document. The separate notice requirement is deemed satisfied if the recipient accesses the document on the electronic account or website.

Page 6 of 25

(c) A document sent by electronic posting is deemed received by the recipient on the earlier of the date <u>on which</u> that the separate notice is received or the date <u>on which</u> the recipient accesses the document on the electronic account or website.

- (d) At least annually after a recipient signs a written authorization, a sender shall send a notice advising recipients who have authorized one or more documents to be posted on to an electronic account or website that such posting may commence a limitations period as short as 6 months even if the recipient never accesses the electronic account or website or the document and that authority to receive documents by electronic posting may be amended or revoked at any time. This notice must be given by means other than electronic posting and may not be accompanied by any other written communication. Failure to provide such notice within 380 days after the last notice is deemed to automatically revoke the authorization to receive documents in the manner permitted under this subsection 380 days after the last notice is sent.
- (e) The notice required in paragraph (d) may be in substantially the following form: "You have authorized the receipt of documents through posting on to an electronic account or website on which where the documents can be accessed. This notice is being sent to advise you that a limitations period, which may be as short as 6 months, may be running as to matters

Page 7 of 25

disclosed in a trust accounting or other written report of a trustee posted to the electronic account or website even if you never actually access the electronic account or website or the documents. You may amend or revoke the authorization to receive documents by electronic posting at any time. If you have any questions, please consult your attorney."

- (f) A sender may rely on the recipient's authorization until the recipient amends or revokes the authorization by sending a notice to the address designated for that purpose in the authorization or in the manner specified on the electronic account or website. The recipient, at any time, may amend or revoke an authorization to have documents posted on the electronic account or website.
- through electronic posting <u>pursuant to this subsection</u>, the recipient must be able to access and print or download the document until the earlier of remain accessible to the recipient on the electronic account or website for at least 4 years after the date that the document is deemed received by the recipient or the date upon which the recipient's access to the electronic account or website is terminated for any reason.
- 1. If the recipient's access to the electronic account or website is terminated for any reason, such termination does not invalidate the notice or sending of any document previously posted on the electronic account or website in accordance with

Page 8 of 25

this subsection, but may toll the applicable limitations period as provided in subparagraph 2.

- 2. If the recipient's access to the electronic account or website is terminated by the sender sooner than 4 years after the date on which the document was received by the recipient, any applicable limitations period set forth in s. 736.1008(1) or (2) which is still running is tolled for any information adequately disclosed in a document sent solely by electronic posting, from the date on which the recipient's access to the electronic account or website was terminated by the sender until 45 days after the date on which the sender provides one of the following to the recipient by means other than electronic posting:
- a. Notice of such termination and notification to the recipient that he or she may request that any documents sent during the prior 4 years solely through electronic posting be provided to him or her by other means at no cost; or
- b. Notice of such termination and notification to the recipient that his or her access to the electronic account or website has been restored.

Any applicable limitations period is further tolled from the date on which any request is made pursuant to sub-subparagraph 2.a. until 20 days after the date on which the requested documents are provided to the recipient by means other than

Page 9 of 25

electronic posting The electronic account or website must allow the recipient to download or print the document. This subsection does not affect or alter the duties of a trustee to keep clear, distinct, and accurate records pursuant to s. 736.0810 or affect or alter the time periods for which the trustee must maintain those records.

- (h) For purposes of this subsection, access to an electronic account or website is terminated by the sender when the sender unilaterally terminates the recipient's ability to access the electronic website or account or to download or print any document posted on such website or account. Access is not terminated by the sender when access is terminated by an action of the recipient or by an action of the sender in response to the recipient's request to terminate access. The recipient's revocation of authorization pursuant to paragraph (f) is not considered a request to terminate access To be effective, the posting of a document to an electronic account or website must be done in accordance with this subsection. The sender has the burden of establishing compliance with this subsection.
- (i) This subsection does not affect or alter the duties of a trustee to keep clear, distinct, and accurate records pursuant to s. 736.0810 or affect or alter the time periods for which the trustee must maintain such records preclude the sending of a document by other means.
 - (j) This subsection governs the posting of a document

Page 10 of 25

solely for the purpose of giving notice under this code or the sending of a document to a person under this code and does not prohibit or otherwise apply to the posting of a document on an electronic account or website for any other purpose or preclude the sending of a document by any other means.

Section 4. Section 736.0404, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.0404 Trust purposes.—A trust may be created only to the extent the purposes of the trust are lawful, not contrary to public policy, and possible to achieve. A trust and its terms must be for the benefit of its beneficiaries.

Section 5. Effective upon becoming a law, section 736.04117, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.04117 Trustee's power to invade principal in trust.-

Unless the trust instrument expressly provides otherwise, a trustee who has absolute power under the terms of a trust to invade the principal of the trust, referred to in this section as the "first trust," to make distributions to or for the benefit of one or more persons may instead exercise the power by appointing all or part of the principal of the trust subject to the power in favor of a trustee of another trust, referred to in this section as the "second trust," for the current benefit of one or more of such persons under the same trust instrument or under a different trust instrument; provided:

Page 11 of 25

276 1. The beneficiaries of the second trust may include only beneficiaries of the first trust; 277 278 The second trust may not reduce any fixed income, 279 annuity, or unitrust interest in the assets of the first trust; 280 and 281 If any contribution to the first trust qualified for a 282 marital or charitable deduction for federal income, gift, or 283 estate tax purposes under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as 284 amended, the second trust shall not contain any provision which, 285 if included in the first trust, would have prevented the first 286 trust from qualifying for such a deduction or would have reduced 287 the amount of such deduction. 288 (b) For purposes of this subsection, an absolute power to 289 invade principal shall include 290 (a) "Absolute power" means a power to invade principal 291 that is not limited to specific or ascertainable purposes, such 292 as health, education, maintenance, and support, regardless of 293 whether or not the term "absolute" is used. A power to invade 294 principal for purposes such as best interests, welfare, comfort, 295 or happiness constitutes shall constitute an absolute power not 296 limited to specific or ascertainable purposes. 297

- (b) "Authorized trustee" means a trustee, other than the settlor or a beneficiary, who has the power to invade the principal of a trust.
 - (c) "Beneficiary with a disability" means a beneficiary of

Page 12 of 25

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

298

299

300

the first trust who the authorized trustee believes may qualify for government benefits based on disability, regardless of whether the beneficiary currently receives those benefits or has been adjudicated incapacitated.

- (d) "Current beneficiary" means a beneficiary who, on the date his or her qualification is determined, is a distributee or permissible distributee of trust income or principal. The term includes the holder of a presently exercisable general power of appointment but does not include a person who is a beneficiary only because he or she holds another power of appointment.
- (e) "Government benefits" means financial aid or services from any state, federal, or other public agency.
- (f) "Internal Revenue Code" means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.
- (g) "Power of appointment" has the same meaning as provided in s. 731.201.
- (h) "Presently exercisable general power of appointment" means a power of appointment exercisable by the power holder at the relevant time. The term:
- 1. Includes a power of appointment that is exercisable only after the occurrence of a specified event or that is subject to a specified restriction, but only after the event has occurred or the restriction has been satisfied.
- 2. Does not include a power of appointment that is exercisable only upon the death of the power holder.

Page 13 of 25

(i) "Substantially similar" means that there is no material change in a beneficiary's beneficial interests or in the power to make distributions and that the power to make a distribution under a second trust for the benefit of a beneficiary who is an individual is substantially similar to the power under the first trust to make a distribution directly to the beneficiary. A distribution is deemed to be for the benefit of a beneficiary if:

1. The distribution is applied for the benefit of a beneficiary;

- 2. The beneficiary is under a legal disability or the trustee reasonably believes the beneficiary is incapacitated, and the distribution is made as permitted under this code; or
- 3. The distribution is made as permitted under the terms of the first trust instrument and the second trust instrument for the benefit of the beneficiary.
- (j) "Supplemental needs trust" means a trust that the authorized trustee believes would not be considered a resource for purposes of determining whether the beneficiary who has a disability is eligible for government benefits.
- (k) "Vested interest" means a current unconditional right to receive a mandatory distribution of income, a specified dollar amount, or a percentage of value of a trust, or a current unconditional right to withdraw income, a specified dollar amount, or a percentage of value of a trust, which right is not

Page 14 of 25

subject to the occurrence of a specified event, the passage of a specified time, or the exercise of discretion.

- 1. The term includes a presently exercisable general power of appointment.
- 2. The term does not include a beneficiary's interest in a trust if the trustee has discretion to make a distribution of trust property to a person other than such beneficiary.
- (2) <u>DISTRIBUTION FROM FIRST TRUST TO SECOND TRUST WHEN</u>
 AUTHORIZED TRUSTEE HAS ABSOLUTE POWER TO INVADE.—
- (a) Unless a trust instrument expressly provides
 otherwise, an authorized trustee who has absolute power under
 the terms of the trust to invade its principal, referred to in
 this section as the "first trust," to make current distributions
 to or for the benefit of one or more beneficiaries may instead
 exercise such power by appointing all or part of the principal
 of the trust subject to such power in favor of a trustee of one
 or more other trusts, whether created under the same trust
 instrument as the first trust or a different trust instrument,
 including a trust instrument created for the purposes of
 exercising the power granted by this section, each referred to
 in this section as the "second trust," for the current benefit
 of one or more of such beneficiaries only if:
- 1. The beneficiaries of the second trust include only beneficiaries of the first trust; and
 - 2. The second trust does not reduce any vested interest.

Page 15 of 25

376	(b) In an exercise of absolute power, the second trust
377	may:
378	1. Retain a power of appointment granted in the first
379	trust;
380	2. Omit a power of appointment granted in the first trust,
381	other than a presently exercisable general power of appointment;
382	3. Create or modify a power of appointment if the power
383	holder is a current beneficiary of the first trust;
384	4. Create or modify a power of appointment if the power
385	holder is a beneficiary of the first trust who is not a current
386	beneficiary, but the exercise of the power of appointment may
387	take effect only after the power holder becomes, or would have
388	become if then living, a current beneficiary of the first trust;
389	and
390	5. Extend the term of the second trust beyond the term of
391	the first trust.
392	(c) The class of permissible appointees in favor of which
393	a created or modified power of appointment may be exercised may
394	differ from the class identified in the first trust.
395	(3) DISTRIBUTION FROM FIRST TRUST TO SECOND TRUST WHEN
396	AUTHORIZED TRUSTEE DOES NOT HAVE ABSOLUTE POWER TO INVADE
397	Unless the trust instrument expressly provides otherwise, an
398	authorized trustee who has a power, other than an absolute
399	power, under the terms of a first trust to invade principal to

Page 16 of 25

make current distributions to or for the benefit of one or more

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

400

beneficiaries may instead exercise such power by appointing all or part of the principal of the first trust subject to such power in favor of a trustee of one or more second trusts. If the authorized trustee exercises such power:

- (a) The second trusts, in the aggregate, shall grant each beneficiary of the first trust beneficial interests in the second trusts which are substantially similar to the beneficial interests of the beneficiary in the first trust.
- (b) If the first trust grants a power of appointment to a beneficiary of the first trust, the second trust shall grant such power of appointment in the second trust to such beneficiary, and the class of permissible appointees shall be the same as in the first trust.
- (c) If the first trust does not grant a power of appointment to a beneficiary of the first trust, the second trust may not grant a power of appointment in the second trust to such beneficiary.
- (d) Notwithstanding paragraphs (a), (b), and (c), the term of the second trust may extend beyond the term of the first trust, and, for any period after the first trust would have otherwise terminated, in whole or in part, under the provisions of the first trust, the trust instrument of the second trust may, with respect to property subject to such extended term:
- 1. Include language providing the trustee with the absolute power to invade the principal of the second trust

Page 17 of 25

26 du	ring such extended term; and
27	2. Create a power of appointment, if the power holder is a
28 <u>cu</u>	rrent beneficiary of the first trust, or expand the class of
29 pe	rmissible appointees in favor of which a power of appointment
30 ma	y be exercised.
31	(4) DISTRIBUTION FROM FIRST TRUST TO SUPPLEMENTAL NEEDS
32 <u>TR</u>	UST.—
33	(a) Notwithstanding subsections (2) and (3), unless the
34 <u>tr</u>	ust instrument expressly provides otherwise, an authorized
35 <u>tr</u>	ustee who has the power under the terms of a first trust to
36 <u>in</u>	vade the principal of the first trust to make current
37 <u>di</u> :	stributions to or for the benefit of a beneficiary with a
88 <u>di</u> :	sability may instead exercise such power by appointing all or
9 pa:	rt of the principal of the first trust in favor of a trustee
0 of	a second trust that is a supplemental needs trust if:
1	1. The supplemental needs trust benefits the beneficiary
2 <u>wi</u>	th a disability;
3	2. The beneficiaries of the second trust include only
4 be	neficiaries of the first trust; and
15	3. The authorized trustee determines that the exercise of
6 su	ch power will further the purposes of the first trust.
7	(b) Except as affected by any change to the interests of
8 the	e beneficiary with a disability, the second trusts, in the
9 age	gregate, shall grant each other beneficiary of the first trust

Page 18 of 25

beneficial interests in the second trusts which are

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

450

451 substantially similar to such other beneficiary's beneficial 452 interests in the first trust. 453 (5) PROHIBITED DISTRIBUTIONS.-454 (a) An authorized trustee may not distribute the principal 455 of a trust under this section in a manner that would prevent a 456 contribution to that trust from qualifying for, or that would 457 reduce a federal tax benefit, including a federal tax exclusion 458 or deduction, which was originally claimed or could have been 459 claimed for that contribution, including: 460 1. An exclusion under s. 2503(b) or s. 2503(c) of the 461 Internal Revenue Code; 462 2. A marital deduction under s. 2056, s. 2056A, or s. 2523 463 of the Internal Revenue Code; 464 3. A charitable deduction under s. 170(a), s. 642(c), s. 465 2055(a), or s. 2522(a) of the Internal Revenue Code; 466 4. Direct skip treatment under s. 2642(c) of the Internal 467 Revenue Code; or 468 5. Any other tax benefit for income, gift, estate, or 469 generation-skipping transfer tax purposes under the Internal 470 Revenue Code. 471 (b) If S corporation stock is held in the first trust, an 472 authorized trustee may not distribute all or part of that stock 473 to a second trust that is not a permitted shareholder under s. 474 1361(c)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code. If the first trust 475 holds stock in an S corporation and is, or but for provisions of

Page 19 of 25

paragraphs (a), (c), and (d) would be, a qualified subchapter S trust within the meaning of s. 1361(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, the second trust instrument may not include or omit a term that prevents it from qualifying as a qualified subchapter S trust.

- (c) Except as provided in paragraphs (a), (b), and (d), an authorized trustee may distribute the principal of a first trust to a second trust regardless of whether the settlor is treated as the owner of either trust under ss. 671-679 of the Internal Revenue Code; however, if the settlor is not treated as the owner of the first trust, he or she may not be treated as the owner of the second trust unless he or she at all times has the power to cause the second trust to cease being treated as if it were owned by the settlor.
- (d) If an interest in property which is subject to the minimum distribution rules of s. 401(a)(9) of the Internal Revenue Code is held in trust, an authorized trustee may not distribute such an interest to a second trust under subsection (2), subsection (3), or subsection (4) if the distribution would shorten the otherwise applicable maximum distribution period.
- (6) EXERCISE BY WRITING.—The exercise of a power to invade principal under subsection (2), subsection (3), or subsection (4) must The exercise of a power to invade principal under subsection (1) shall be by a written an instrument in writing, signed and acknowledged by the authorized trustee, and filed

Page 20 of 25

with the records of the first trust.

- (7) (3) RESTRICTIONS ON EXERCISE OF POWER.—The exercise of a power to invade principal under subsection (2), subsection (3), or subsection (4):
- (a) Is (1) shall be considered the exercise of a power of appointment, excluding other than a power to appoint to the authorized trustee, the authorized trustee's creditors, the authorized trustee's estate, or the creditors of the authorized trustee's estate.
- (b) Is, and shall be subject to the provisions of s. 689.225 covering the time at which the permissible period of the rule against perpetuities begins and the law that determines the permissible period of the rule against perpetuities of the first trust.
- (c) May apply to a second trust created or administered under the law of any jurisdiction.
 - (d) May not:
- 1. Increase the authorized trustee's compensation beyond the compensation specified in the first trust instrument; or
- 2. Relieve the authorized trustee from liability for breach of trust or provide for indemnification of the authorized trustee for any liability or claim to a greater extent than the first trust instrument; however, the exercise of the power may divide and reallocate fiduciary powers among fiduciaries and relieve a fiduciary from liability for an act or failure to act

Page 21 of 25

of another fiduciary as otherwise allowed under law or common law.

(8) NOTICE.-

526527

528

529

530

531

532533

534

535

536

537

538539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547548

549

550

- (a) (4) The <u>authorized</u> trustee shall <u>provide</u> written notification of the manner in which he or she intends to exercise his or her power to invade principal to notify all qualified beneficiaries of the <u>following parties</u> first trust, in writing, at least 60 days <u>before</u> prior to the effective date of the <u>authorized</u> trustee's exercise of <u>such power</u> the trustee's power to invade principal pursuant to subsection (2), subsection (3), or subsection (4): (1), of the manner in which the trustee intends to exercise the power.
 - 1. All qualified beneficiaries of the first trust.
- 2. If paragraph (5)(c) applies, the settlor of the first trust.
 - 3. All trustees of the first trust.
- 4. Any person who has the power to remove or replace the authorized trustee of the first trust.
- (b) The authorized A copy of the proposed instrument exercising the power shall satisfy the trustee's notice obligation to provide notice under this subsection is satisfied when he or she provides copies of the proposed instrument exercising the power, the trust instrument of the first trust, and the proposed trust instrument of the second trust.
 - (c) If all of those required to be notified qualified

Page 22 of 25

beneficiaries waive the notice period by signed written instrument delivered to the <u>authorized</u> trustee, the <u>authorized</u> trustee's power to invade principal shall be exercisable immediately.

- (d) The <u>authorized</u> trustee's notice under this subsection does shall not limit the right of any beneficiary to object to the exercise of the <u>authorized</u> trustee's power to invade principal except as <u>otherwise</u> provided in other applicable provisions of this code.
- PROHIBITION.—The exercise of the power to invade principal under subsection (2), subsection (3), or subsection (4) (1) is not prohibited by a spendthrift clause or by a provision in the trust instrument that prohibits amendment or revocation of the trust.
- (10) (6) NO DUTY TO EXERCISE.—Nothing in this section is intended to create or imply a duty to exercise a power to invade principal, and no inference of impropriety may shall be made as a result of an authorized trustee's failure to exercise a trustee not exercising the power to invade principal conferred under subsections (2), (3), and (4) subsection (1).
- (11) (7) NO ABRIDGEMENT OF COMMON LAW RIGHTS.—The provisions of This section may shall not be construed to abridge the right of any trustee who has a power of invasion to appoint property in further trust that arises under the terms of the

Page 23 of 25

first trust or under any other section of this code or under another provision of law or under common law.

Section 6. Subsection (3) of section 736.08135, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.08135 Trust accountings.-

 (3) Subsections (1) and (2) govern the form and content of This section applies to all trust accountings rendered for any accounting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2003, and all trust accountings rendered on or after July 1, 2018. This subsection does not affect the beginning period from which a trustee is required to render a trust accounting.

Section 7. Subsection (3) of section 736.1008, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

736.1008 Limitations on proceedings against trustees.-

- (3) When a trustee has not issued a final trust accounting or has not given written notice to the beneficiary of the availability of the trust records for examination and that claims with respect to matters not adequately disclosed may be barred, a claim against the trustee for breach of trust based on a matter not adequately disclosed in a trust disclosure document is barred as provided in chapter 95 and accrues when the beneficiary has actual knowledge of:
- (a) The facts upon which the claim is based, if such actual knowledge is established by clear and convincing evidence; or

Page 24 of 25

HB 413 2018

(b) The trustee's repudiation of the trust or adverse 602 possession of trust assets. 603 604 Paragraph (a) applies to claims based upon acts or omissions 605 occurring on or after July 1, 2008. A beneficiary's actual 606 knowledge that he or she has not received a trust accounting 607 does not cause a claim to accrue against the trustee for breach 608 of trust based upon the failure to provide a trust accounting required by s. 736.0813 or former s. 737.303 and does not 609 610 commence the running of any period of limitations or laches for 611 such a claim, and paragraph (a) and chapter 95 do not bar any 612 such claim. 613 Section 8. The changes to ss. 736.08135 and 736.1008,

601

614

615

616

617 618

619

Florida Statutes, made by this act are intended to clarify existing law, are remedial in nature, and apply retroactively to all cases pending or commenced on or after July 1, 2018.

Section 9. Except as otherwise provided in this act and except for this section, which shall take effect upon becoming a law, this act shall take effect July 1, 2018.

Page 25 of 25

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB

HB 421

Homestead Waivers

SPONSOR(S): Berman

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 512

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Civil Justice & Claims Subcommittee		MacNamara	Bond NB
2) Agriculture & Property Rights Subcommittee			
3) Judiciary Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Florida's Constitution provides that homestead property may not be transferred through a will or trust where the owner has a spouse or minor child, except the homestead may be transferred to the spouse where there is no minor child. A spouse, however, may waive this restriction through a written contract or agreement. A spouse's waiver must be knowing and intelligent.

The bill provides "safe harbor language" that may be included in a deed that creates a presumption that the spouse knowingly and intelligently waived his or her right to inherit such homestead property.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local government.

The effective date of the bill is July 1, 2018.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background

Homestead Property

A homestead is not a property interest but is simply a constitutionally defined status. Article X, s. 4(a)(1) of the Florida Constitution provides protections for homestead property owned by "natural persons." A homestead is protected in three different ways: It provides the homestead with an exemption from some taxes; it protects the homestead from forced sale by creditors; and it places certain restrictions on a homestead owner from alienating or devising the homestead property. Section 731.201(33), F.S., defines "protected homestead" as:

[T]he property described in s. 4(a)(1), Art. X of the State Constitution on which at the death of the owner the exemption inures to the owner's surviving spouse or heirs under s. 4(b), Art. X of the State Constitution.

Homestead property owned by the decedent in either a joint tenancy with rights of survivorship or tenancy by the entireties is not protected homestead as the decedent's interest in the homestead property terminates at death. Current law addresses the descent (transfer of property to descendants) of homestead property where no devise is allowed. Specifically, ss. 732.401, F.S., provides:

- (1) The homestead shall descend in the same manner as other intestate property; but if the decedent is survived by a spouse and one or more descendants, the surviving spouse shall take a life estate in the homestead, with a vested remainder to the descendants in being at the time of the decedent's death per stirpes.
- (2) In lieu of a life estate under subsection (1), the surviving spouse may elect to take an undivided one-half interest in the homestead as a tenant in common, with the remaining undivided one-half interest vesting in the decedent's descendants in being at the time of the decedent's death, per stirpes.

When there are no surviving minor children and the surviving spouse has waived his or her homestead rights, there is no constitutional restriction on the devise of the homestead property.2

Waiver of Homestead Rights by Surviving Spouse

Under s. 732.702, F.S., the rights of a surviving spouse to estate allowances, including a homestead, may be waived, wholly or partly, before or after marriage, by a written contract, agreement, or waiver, signed by the waiving party in the presence of two subscribing witnesses as provided by statute. The statute also requires fair disclosure where the contract, agreement, or waiver is signed after marriage and specifies that no consideration other than execution is required.

In order to find that a surviving spouse has waived or relinquished homestead protection, evidence must demonstrate such spouse's intent to waive the constitutional and statutory claim to homestead property. Stated differently, courts held that waivers of constitutional rights must be made knowingly and intelligently.3

¹ s. 732.401(5), F.S.

² City Nat'l Bank of Fla. v. Tescher, 578 So.2d 701, 703 (Fla. 1991).

³ Rutherford v. Gascon, 679 So. 2d 329 (Fla. 2d DCA 1996); See also Chames v. DeMayo, 972 So.2d 850 (Fla. 2007). STORAGE NAME: h0421.CJC.DOCX

Recently, Florida courts have addressed the issue of whether joining in a deed might constitute a waiver of homestead rights. In *Stone v. Stone*⁴, the Fourth District Court of Appeal held that a spouse waived her homestead rights when she joined in the execution of a warranty deed on the property, transferring her interest in the property into a trust set up by her husband, despite her position that she did not intend to waive her constitutional homestead rights. The court relied on s. 732.702(1), F.S., in reaching their decision. Specifically, the court relied on the language in the statute providing that "a waiver of 'all rights,' or equivalent language" in a written contract, agreement, or waiver, is a waiver of all rights in homestead property.⁵

As cases like *Stone* illustrate, Florida courts allow a spouse to waive her rights in homestead property through the execution of a deed conveying the property. Moreover, the case illustrates instances where the language in the deed may be sufficient to establish waiver under s. 732.702, F.S., despite a surviving spouse's contention that their waiver was not knowingly and intelligent at the time he or she executed the deed.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill provides statutory language that may be placed in a deed that creates a presumption that a spouse has intentionally waived his or her rights in a homestead property. Specifically, the bill provides that the following language contained in a deed constitutes an intentional waiver of homestead rights by a spouse:

"By joining in this deed, I intend to waive homestead rights that would otherwise prevent my spouse from devising the homestead property described in this deed to someone other than me."

The waiver language is not considered a waiver of:

- The protection against the owner's creditors during the owner's lifetime and after death; or
- The restrictions against alienation by mortgage, sale, gift, or deed without the joinder of the owner's spouse.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Creates s. 732.7025, F.S., related to waiver of homestead rights through deed.

Section 2: Provides an effective date of July 1, 2018.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

Expenditures:

None.

4 157 So.3d 295 (Fla. 4th DCA 2014); See also Lyons v. Lyons, 155 So.3d 1179 (Fla. 4th DCA 2014); Habeeb v. Linder, 36 Fla. L. Weekly D300 (Fla. 3d DCA 2011).

STORAGE NAME: h0421.CJC.DOCX DATE: 11/29/2017

⁵ Stone, 157 So.3d at 304 (The court found that the language "all tenements, hereditaments, and appurtenances thereto belonging or in otherwise appertaining" in the deed constituted "all rights, or other equivalent language" for purposes of waiving spousal rights pursuant to s. 732,702(1), F.S.).

B.	FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS
	1. Revenues:
	None.
	2. Expenditures:
	None.
C.	DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:
	None.
D.	FISCAL COMMENTS:
	None.
	III. COMMENTS
A.	CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:
	1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:
	Not applicable. The bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.
	2. Other:
	None.
В.	RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY;
	Not applicable.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: h0421.CJC.DOCX

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

HB 421 2018

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to homestead waivers; creating s. 732.7025, F.S.; providing language that may be used to waive spousal homestead rights concerning devise restrictions; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 732.7025, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

732.7025 Waiver of homestead rights through deed.—

(1) A spouse is presumed to have waived his or her rights as a surviving spouse with respect to the devise restrictions under s. 4(c), Art. X of the State Constitution if the following or substantially similar language is included in a deed:

"By joining this deed, I intend to waive homestead rights
that would otherwise prevent my spouse from devising the
homestead property described in this deed to someone other than
me."

(2) The waiver language in subsection (1) may not be considered a waiver of the protection against the owner's creditor claims during the owner's lifetime and after death.

Such language may not be considered a waiver of the restrictions

Page 1 of 2

HB 421 2018

against alienation by mortgage, sale, gift, or deed without the joinder of the owner's spouse.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2018.

28

Page 2 of 2

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 599 Lis Pendens

SPONSOR(S): Altman

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 904

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Civil Justice & Claims Subcommittee		Tuszynski 🐔	Bond N
2) Judiciary Committee		9	

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

An interest in real property is not effective unless the instrument creating such interest is recorded in the public records. In general, documents are recorded soon after creating, creating a clear chain of title. However, where there are conflicting documents, the first recorded document takes priority over any filed later. Where a lawsuit is filed affecting a real property interest, an interest recorded during the pendency of the lawsuit may take priority over the final resolution of title in the court case. A lis pendens resolves this.

A notice of lis pendens is recorded in any legal action where a court may affect an interest in real property. Where a lis pendens is recorded, the final disposition of the court relates back to the recording of the lis pendens, thereby barring any intervening document from affecting the real property interest determined by the court.

In 2016, an appellate court ruled that a lis pendens terminated when the time to appeal the final judgment of foreclosure had expired. The effect of that ruling was to leave a gap between final judgment and judicial sale where an intervening interest could impact title. The court reversed that ruling on rehearing to provide that the lis pendens is valid though the judicial sale.

The bill provides that, in a proceeding involving a judicial sale, a valid recorded notice of lis pendens remains in effect through the recording of an instrument transferring title. This requirement maintains lis pendens protection against subordinate interests or liens, including those filed after a final order of judicial sale in a foreclosure case but before an instrument transferring title is recorded.

The bill applies to pending actions at the time of the effective date.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local government.

The bill provides an effective date of upon becoming law.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0599.CJC.DOCX

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background

Florida is considered a "notice" state, meaning the state employs a system in which interest in real property is legally established through recorded notice, placing interests in order according to when they were recorded. Section 695.01, F.S., requires a person with any interest in real property to record that interest, giving constructive notice to any subsequent purchaser or lienor of that interest.

Lis Pendens

The term "lis pendens" literally means "pending lawsuit." A notice of lis pendens is a statutory notice to all persons that certain identified property, real or personal, is the subject matter of pending litigation and the court now has jurisdiction and control over the identified property. This creates an exception to the notice system, barring enforcement of all interests and liens that arise after the filling of a notice of lis pendens, but allowing the holder of an unrecorded interest or lien to intervene in a proceeding within 30 days. If that interest holder does not intervene and the proceedings are prosecuted to a judicial sale, the property is forever discharged from all such unrecorded interests and liens, preventing impaired title. This protects the plaintiff from intervening liens that could impair any claimed property rights and protects future purchasers and lienors from becoming involved in disputed title.

While a notice of lis pendens may be used in any legal action where title to real property may be at issue, it is most common in foreclosure actions. A mortgagee is entitled to record a notice of lis pendens as the action is founded on a duly recorded instrument, the mortgage. The established understanding of lis pendens is that, except as otherwise provided by law, the lis pendens protection from intervening interests and liens remains in effect through the judicial sale of the property and the subsequent issuance of the instrument transferring title. This understanding is evidenced by the language of Section 48.23(1)(d), F.S.:

"...[T]he recording of such notice of lis pendens..., constitutes a bar to the enforcement against the property described in the notice of all interests and liens, ... unrecorded at the time of recording the notice unless the holder of any such unrecorded interest or lien intervenes in such proceedings within 30 days after the recording of the notice. If the holder of any such unrecorded interest or lien does not intervene in the proceedings and if such proceedings are prosecuted to a judicial sale of the property described in the notice, the property shall be forever discharged from all such unrecorded interests and liens...."

The language of the lis pendens statute is the foundation for the following language found in the "Final Judgement of Foreclosure" - Form 1.996(a) of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure:⁸

¹ Black's Law Dictionary (10th ed. 2014), lis pendens

² S. 48.23, F.S.

³ S. 48.23(1)(d), F.S.

⁴ ld

⁵ Medical Facilities Development, Inc. v. Little Arch Creek Properties, 675 So.2d 915, 917 (Fla. 1996),; Fischer v. Fischer, 873 So.2d 534, 536 (Fla. 4th DCA 20014).

⁶ Berkley Multi-Units v. Linder, 464 So.2d 1356, 1357 (Fla. 4th DCA 1985).

⁷ Real Property, Probate & Trust Law Section of the Florida Bar, White Paper on Proposal to Amend s. 48.23, F.S. (lis pendens) (on file with Civil Justice & Claims Subcommittee Staff).

⁸ Available at: https://www.floridabar.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/Civil-Procedure-Rules-Updated-8-4-2017.pdf, pg. 223 STORAGE NAME: h0599.CJC.DOCX

DATE: 11/29/2017

"On filing the certificate of sale, defendant(s) and all persons claiming under or against defendant(s) since the filing of the notice of lis pendens shall be foreclosed."

Ober v. Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea

On August 24, 2016, the Fourth District Court of Appeal ruled that a notice of lis pendens terminates when the time for appeal of the final judgment of foreclosure has passed. This ruling meant in that case that code enforcement liens recorded after the final judgment of foreclosure and prior to the judicial sale were not discharged by the operation of the notice of lis pendens and remained an encumbrance on the real property.⁹

On January 25, 2017, the Fourth District Court of Appeal granted rehearing and reversed its previous ruling. The court found that liens placed on property between the entry of a final judgment of foreclosure and a judicial sale *are discharged* by s. 48.23(1)(d), F.S.¹⁰ The Court held that a proper reading of s. 48.23(1)(d), F.S., where the proceeding is prosecuted to a judicial sale, the sale discharges all liens, whether recorded before or after the final judgment.¹¹ This ruling confirms that the operation of the notice of lis pendens is a bar to enforcement against the property of all interests or liens, recorded or unrecorded, from the time of recording of the notice of lis pendens through the issuance of the certificate of sale. The Court concluded by stating:¹²

"Resolution of the competing interests—of the Town, the lending and title insurance industries, property owners, and buyers at foreclosure sales—is in the province of the legislature."

On February 7, 2017, the Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea filed a Motion for Certification of a question of great public importance to the Florida Supreme Court. On March 22, 2017, the District Court of Appeal granted the Town's motion and certified the following question to the Florida Supreme Court:

"Whether, pursuant to section 48.23(1)(d), Florida Statutes, the filing of a notice of lis pendens at the commencement of a bank's foreclosure action prevents a local government from exercising authority granted to it by Chapter 162, Florida Statutes, to enforce code violations existing on the foreclosed property after final foreclosure judgment, where the local government's interest or lien on the property arises after final judgment and did not exist within 30 days after the recording of the notice of lis pendens."

On September 6, 2017, the Florida Supreme Court issued an order declining to exercise jurisdiction and denying the Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea's Petition for Review. 13

Effect of Proposed Changes

HB 599 amends s. 48.23, F.S. to provide that, in a proceeding involving a judicial sale, a valid recorded notice of lis pendens remains in effect through the recording of an instrument transferring title. This requirement maintains lis pendens protection against subordinate interests or liens, including those filed after a final order of judicial sale in a foreclosure case but before an instrument transferring title is recorded. In effect, the bill upholds the final appellate resolution of *Ober*.

The bill applies to pending actions.

⁹ Ober v. Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea, 2016 WL 4468134 (Fla. 4th DCA Aug. 24, 2016), withdrawn; Ober v. Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea, 218 So. 3d 952 (Fla. 4th DCA 2017).

Ober v. Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea, 218 So. 3d 952, 954 (Fla. 4th DCA 2017).

¹¹ ld. at 954.

¹² ld.

¹³ Town of Lauderdale-by-the-Sea v. Ober, 2017 WL 3883662 (Fla. 2017). STORAGE NAME: h0599.CJC.DOCX

The bill has an effective date of upon becoming law.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends s. 48.23, F.S., relating to lis pendens.

Section 2: Applies the proposed changes to actions pending on the effective date of the bill.

Section 3: Provides an effective date of upon becoming law.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable. The bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: h0599.CJC.DOCX DATE: 11/29/2017 HB 599 2018

1

2

4

5

6

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to lis pendens; amending s. 48.23, F.S.; providing that a person who acquires for a value a lien on property during the course of specified legal actions takes such lien free of claims in certain circumstances; specifying the effect of a valid, recorded notice of lis pendens in certain circumstances involving a judicial sale; providing applicability; providing an effective date.

9

8

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

12 13

14

15

17

18 19

20

21

22

2324

25

11

Section 1. Paragraphs (b) and (d) of subsection (1) of section 48.23, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

48.23 Lis pendens.-

16 (1)

- (b)1. An action that is filed for specific performance or that is not based on a duly recorded instrument has no effect, except as between the parties to the proceeding, on the title to, or on any lien upon, the real or personal property unless a notice of lis pendens has been recorded and has not expired or been withdrawn or discharged.
- 2. Any person acquiring for value an interest in, or lien upon, the real or personal property during the pendency of an action described in subparagraph 1., other than a party to the

Page 1 of 3

HB 599 2018

proceeding or the legal successor by operation of law, or personal representative, heir, or devisee of a deceased party to the proceeding, shall take such interest or lien exempt from all claims against the property that were filed in such action by the party who failed to record a notice of lis pendens or whose notice expired or was withdrawn or discharged, and from any judgment entered in the proceeding, notwithstanding the provisions of s. 695.01, as if such person had no actual or constructive notice of the proceeding or of the claims made therein or the documents forming the causes of action against the property in the proceeding.

(d) Except for the interest of persons in possession or easements of use, the recording of such notice of lis pendens, provided that during the pendency of the proceeding it has not expired pursuant to subsection (2) or been withdrawn or discharged, constitutes a bar to the enforcement against the property described in the notice of all interests and liens, including, but not limited to, federal tax liens and levies, unrecorded at the time of recording the notice unless the holder of any such unrecorded interest or lien intervenes in such proceedings within 30 days after the recording of the notice. If the holder of any such unrecorded interest or lien does not intervene in the proceedings and if such proceedings are prosecuted to a judicial sale of the property described in the notice, the property shall be forever discharged from all such

HB 599 2018

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

62

63

unrecorded interests and liens. A valid recorded notice of lispendens of such proceedings prosecuted to a judicial sale remains in effect through the recording of any instrument transferring title to the property pursuant to the final judgment unless it expires, is withdrawn, or it is otherwise discharged. If the notice of lispendens expires or is withdrawn or discharged, the expiration, withdrawal, or discharge of the notice does not affect the validity of any unrecorded interest or lien.

Section 2. This act is intended to clarify existing law and shall apply to actions pending on the effective date of this act.

Section 3. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 617 Covenants and Restrictions

SPONSOR(S): Edwards

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 266

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Civil Justice & Claims Subcommittee		MACNamara	Bond MB
2) Local, Federal & Veterans Affairs Subcommittee			
3) Judiciary Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The bill amends laws related to covenants and restrictions on real property. Specifically, the bill:

- Replaces the term "homeowners' association" with "property owners' association," thus extending statutory provisions regarding preservation and revival of covenants and restrictions affecting real property to a broader range of associations, notably commercial property owners' associations;
- Authorizes real property parcel owners who were subject to covenants and restrictions but who do not
 have a homeowners' association to use the same mechanisms as a homeowners' association to
 revitalize extinguished covenants and restrictions;
- Simplifies the procedures for renewal of the covenants and restrictions of a homeowners association;
- Requires a homeowners association to annually consider preservation of the covenants and restrictions and requires an association to file a summary preservation every five years.

The bill appears to have an indeterminate minimal positive impact on the clerks of circuit courts and an equal indeterminate negative impact on property owners' association related to recording fees to preserve covenants or restrictions.

The effective date of the bill is October 1, 2018.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background: The Marketable Record Title Act

The Marketable Record Title Act (MRTA) was enacted in 1963 to simplify and facilitate land transactions. In general, MRTA provides that any person vested with any estate in land of record for 30 years or more has a marketable record title free and clear of most claims or encumbrances against the land. Current law includes 9 exceptions to the applicability of MRTA.²

MRTA and Property Owners Associations

One effect of MRTA is that homeowner association covenants and restrictions can lose effect after 30 years. In order to protect such covenants, MRTA has long provided for renewal of such covenants. Renewal starts the 30 time period over again. However, many associations fail to timely file a renewal of their covenants, primarily due to neglect rather than intent. Formerly, MRTA would apply in such cases and accordingly the covenants and restrictions expired and were unenforceable. In 2004, Part III of ch. 720, F.S., was enacted to provide a means by which covenants and restrictions of a mandatory homeowners' association may be revived.³ In 2007, nonmandatory homeowners' associations became eligible for revitalization.⁴ Revitalization requires the creation of an organizing committee, notice to all affected property owners, approval by a majority of the homeowners, approval by the Department of Economic Opportunity, and the recording of notice in the public records.⁵

There are two categories of property owners who enact and enforce covenants and restrictions regarding their property and that of their neighbors who are impacted by MRTA, but have not been included in the laws regarding renewal or revival of their covenants and restrictions. These property owners are commercial landowners in office parks, industrial parks, and other commercial districts; and neighborhoods with enforceable covenants but no formal homeowners' association.

Preservation and Revitalization of Covenants by a Commercial Property Owners' Association

The bill provides a definition for the term community covenant or restriction and substitutes the term property owners' association for homeowners' association. A property owners' association includes a homeowners' association as defined in s. 720.301, F.S., a corporation or entity responsible for the operation of real property in which the voting membership is made up of the owners of the real property or their agents, or a combination thereof, and in which membership is a mandatory condition of property ownership, as well as an association of parcel owners authorized to enforce a community covenant or restriction. The bill also makes changes in s. 712.01, F.S., to conform to these new terms.

The bill replaces all instances of the term "homeowners' association" found in ch. 712, F.S., with the term "property owners' association." The effect is to expand MRTA laws on preservation and revitalization of covenants or restrictions to these associations, that is, to expand the law to cover commercial associations.

The bill provides that Part III of ch. 720, F.S., comprised of ss. 720.403-.407, F.S., is intended to provide mechanisms for revitalization of covenants or restrictions by all types of communities and property associations, not just residential communities.

¹ Blanton v. City of Pinellas Park, 887 So.2d 1224, 1227 (Fla. 2004).

² s. 712.03, F.S.

³ ch. 2004-345, L.O.F.

⁴ ch. 2007-173, L.O.F.

⁵ part III of ch. 720, F.S.

STORAGE NAME: h0617.CJC.DOCX

Revitalization of Residential Covenants Not Related to a Homeowners' Association

There are residential communities in which there are recorded covenants and restrictions similar to those found in a homeowners association, but no association was ever created. Under current law, individual owners can file notice of preservation of covenants before they expire, see ss. 712.05 and 712.06, F.S., but there are no means of revitalizing such covenants and restrictions as a neighborhood.

The bill creates s. 712.12, F.S., relating to covenant or restriction revitalization by real property owners not subject to a homeowners' association. The bill provides that the real property owners may use the process available to a homeowners' association in ss. 720.403-.407, F.S., to revive covenants or restrictions that have lapsed under MRTA. The real property owners are excepted from needing to provide articles of incorporation or bylaws to revive the covenants or restrictions and only need the required approval in writing. The organizing committee of the community may execute the revived covenants in the name of the community and the community name can be indexed as the grantee of the covenants with the parcel owners listed as grantors. A real property owner who has ceased to be subject to covenants or restrictions as of October 1, 2018, may commence an action by October 1, 2019, to determine if revitalization would unconstitutionally deprive the parcel owner of right or property. Revived covenants or restrictions do not affect the rights of a real property owner which are recognized by a court order in an action commenced by October 1, 2019, and may not be subsequently altered without the consent of the affected parcel owner.

Amended Procedures for Preservation of Existing Covenants

Sections 712.05 and 712.06, F.S., provide that a homeowners' association wishing to timely renew its covenants may only do so under the following conditions:

- The board must give written notice to every parcel owner of the impending preservation of the covenants;⁶
- The board must give written notice to every parcel owner of a meeting of the board of directors where the directors will decide whether to renew the covenants;⁷
- The board of directors of the association must approve the renewal by a two-thirds vote;⁸ and
- Notice of the renewal must be recorded in the Official Records of the county.

In addition to allowing written notice in accordance with s. 712.06, F.S., the bill changes this procedure to:

- Provide that compliance by a homeowners association with newly created s. 720.3032, F.S. (see discussion herein) may substitute for the requirements of ss. 712.05 and 712.06, F.S.;
- Provide that an amendment to a covenant or restriction that is indexed under the legal name of the property owners' association and references the recording information of the covenant or restriction to be preserved may substitute for the requirements of ss. 712.05 and 712.06, F.S.;
- · Repeal the requirement that the board achieve a two-thirds vote; and
- Repeal the requirement that affected property owners be furnished notice of the board meeting to vote on preservation.

Additional Requirements of the Board of Directors of a Homeowners' Association

Currently, there is no statutory requirement that a board of directors of a homeowners association regularly consider the need for preservation of the covenants and restrictions of the real property in their neighborhood. The bill amends s. 720.303(2), F.S., requiring the board of directors for a

STORAGE NAME: h0617.CJC.DOCX

⁶ s. 712.06(1)(b), F.S.

⁷ s. 712.05(1), F.S.

⁸ ld.

⁹ s. 712.06(2), F.S.

homeowners' association to consider whether to file a notice to preserve the covenants and restrictions affecting the community from extinguishment pursuant to MRTA. This consideration must occur at the first board meeting after the annual meeting of the members.

The bill creates s. 720.3032, F.S., to require that a homeowners' association must file in the official records of the county in which it is located a notice detailing:

- · The legal name of the association;
- · The mailing and physical addresses of the association;
- The names of the affected subdivision plats and condominiums, or the common name of the community;
- The name, address, and telephone number for the current community association management company or manager, if any;
- An indication as to whether the association desires to preserve the covenants or restrictions affecting the community from extinguishment pursuant to MRTA;
- The name and recording information of those covenants or restrictions affecting the community which the association wishes to preserve;
- · A legal description of the community affected by the covenants or restrictions; and
- · The signature of a duly authorized officer of the association.

The bill creates a statutory form for such information. The bill further provides that the filing of the completed form is considered a substitute for the notice required for preservation of the covenants pursuant to ss. 712.05 and 712.06, F.S.

The failure to file this notice does not affect the validity or enforceability of any covenant or restriction on real property. A copy of this notice must be included as a part of the next notice of meeting or other mailing sent to all members of the association. The original signed notice must be recorded in the official records of the clerk of the circuit court or other recorder for the county.

Other Changes Made by the Bill

The bill also provides a short title of the "Marketable Record Title Act" for ch. 712, F.S.; and makes changes to conform various statutory and definitional cross references.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Creates s. 712.001, F.S., creating a short title.

Section 2: Amends s. 712.01, F.S., relating to definitions applicable to the Marketable Record Title Act.

Section 3: Amends s. 712.05, F.S., relating to the effect of filing notice to preserve a covenant or restriction.

Section 4: Amends s. 712.06, F.S., relating to the contents of a notice to preserve a covenant or restriction and the recording and indexing of the notice.

Section 5: Amends s. 712.11, F.S., relating to covenant revitalization.

Section 6: Creates s. 712.12, F.S., relating to covenant or restriction revitalization by parcel owners not subject to a homeowners' association.

Section 7: Amends s. 720.303, F.S., relating to board meetings of a homeowners' association.

Section 8: Creates s. 720.3032, F.S., relating to notice of association information and preservation of covenants or restrictions from the Marketable Record Title Act.

Section 9: Amends s. 702.09, F.S., relating to definitions applicable to foreclosure of mortgages and statutory liens.

Section 10: Amends s. 702.10, F.S., relating to an order to show cause in a mortgage foreclosure.

Section 11: Amends s. 712,095, F.S., to conform a cross reference.

Section 12: Amends s. 720.403, F.S., relating to preservation of communities and revival of a declaration of covenants.

STORAGE NAME: h0617.CJC.DOCX

Section 13: Amends s. 720.404, F.S., relating to eligible communities and requirements for revival of a declaration of covenants.

Section 14: Amends s. 720.405, F.S., relating to the organizing committee and parcel owner approval for revival of a declaration of covenants.

Section 15: Amends s. 720.407, F.S., relating to recording of a declaration of covenants.

Section 16: Provides an effective date of October 1, 2018.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

The bill requires the recording of documents in the public records of the county. Recording is subject to a fee of \$10.00 for the first page and \$8.50 for every subsequent page, payable to the recording department (in most counties, the clerk of the court). The net revenues to county recorders are unknown.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Section 8 of the bill allows associations to prepare and record a notice. The recording fee is nominal (\$10 for the first page, \$8.50 for additional pages). Because the form is in statute, associations may be able to complete the task without assistance, or a community association manager can assist an association with preparation and filing without utilizing an attorney.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable. The bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.

2. Other:

Impairment of Contracts

To the extent that a court may find that a covenant or restriction may be considered a contract between the parties, the changes made by this bill may affect such current contract rights and

¹⁰ s. 28.24(12), F.S.

STORAGE NAME: h0617.CJC.DOCX DATE: 11/29/2017 obligations. Article I, s. 10 of the United States Constitution, and art. I, s. 10 of the state constitution both prohibit the Legislature from enacting any law impairing the obligation of contracts. Although written in terms of an absolute prohibition, the courts have long interpreted the provisions to prohibit enactment of any unreasonable impairment of contractual rights existing at the time that the law is enacted. The Florida Supreme Court in *Pomponio v. Claridge of Pompano Condominium, Inc.*¹¹ set forth the following test:

- · Was the law enacted to deal with a broad, generalized economic or social problem?
- Does the law operate in an area which was already subject to state regulation at the time the
 parties' contractual obligations were originally undertaken, or does it invade an area never
 before subject to regulation by the state?
- Does the law effect a temporary alteration of the contractual relationships of those within its coverage, or does it work a severe, permanent, and immediate change in those relationships irrevocably and retroactively?
- B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

Not applicable.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

DATE: 11/29/2017

1 A bill to be entitled 2 An act relating to covenants and restrictions; 3 creating s. 712.001, F.S.; providing a short title; amending s. 712.01, F.S.; defining and redefining 4 5 terms; amending s. 712.05, F.S.; revising the notice 6 filing requirements for a person claiming an interest 7 in land and other rights; authorizing a property 8 owners' association to preserve and protect certain 9 covenants or restrictions from extinguishment, subject 10 to specified requirements; providing that a failure in 11 indexing does not affect the validity of the notice; 12 extending the length of time certain covenants or 13 restrictions are preserved; deleting a provision 14 requiring a two-thirds vote by members of an 15 incorporated homeowners' association to file certain 16 notices; providing that a property owners' association 17 or clerk of the circuit court is not required to 18 provide certain additional notice for a specified 19 notice that is filed; conforming provisions to changes 20 made by the act; amending s. 712.06, F.S.; exempting a 21 specified summary notice and amendment from certain 22 notice content requirements; revising the contents 23 required to be specified by certain notices; 24 conforming provisions to changes made by the act; 25 amending s. 712.11, F.S.; conforming provisions to

Page 1 of 28

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

changes made by the act; creating s. 712.12, F.S.; defining terms; authorizing the parcel owners of a community not subject to a homeowners' association to use specified procedures to revive certain covenants or restrictions, subject to certain exceptions and requirements; authorizing a parcel owner to commence an action by a specified date under certain circumstances for a judicial determination that the covenants or restrictions did not govern that parcel as of a specified date and that any revitalization of such covenants or restrictions as to that parcel would unconstitutionally deprive the parcel owner of rights or property; providing applicability; amending s. 720.303, F.S.; requiring a board to take up certain provisions relating to notice filings at the first board meeting; creating s. 720.3032, F.S.; requiring any property owners' association desiring to preserve covenants from potential termination after a specified period by certain operation to record in the official records of each county in which the community is located a notice subject to certain requirements; providing a document form for recording by an association to preserve certain covenants or restrictions; requiring a copy of the filed notice to be sent to all members; requiring the original signed

Page 2 of 28

notice to be recorded with the clerk of the circuit 51 52 court or other recorder; amending ss. 702.09 and 53 702.10, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 712.095, F.S.; conforming a 54 55 cross-reference; amending ss. 720.403, 720.404, 720.405, and 720.407, F.S.; conforming provisions to 56 changes made by the act; providing an effective date. 57 58 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 59 60 Section 1. Section 712.001, Florida Statutes, is created 61 62 to read: 63 712.001 Short title.—This chapter may be cited as the 64 "Marketable Record Title Act." 65 Section 2. Section 712.01, Florida Statutes, is reordered 66 and amended to read: 67 712.01 Definitions.—As used in this chapter, the term law: 68 "Community covenant or restriction" means any 69 agreement or limitation contained in a document recorded in the public records of the county in which a parcel is located which: 70 71 Subjects the parcel to any use restriction that may be 72 enforced by a property owners' association; or 73 (b) Authorizes a property owners' association to impose a 74 charge or assessment against the parcel or the parcel owner. 75 (4) (1) The term "Person" includes the as used herein

Page 3 of 28

denotes singular or plural, natural or corporate, private or governmental, including the state and any political subdivision or agency thereof as the context for the use thereof requires or denotes and including any property owners' homeowners' association.

- (6)(2) "Root of title" means any title transaction purporting to create or transfer the estate claimed by any person and which is the last title transaction to have been recorded at least 30 years before prior to the time when marketability is being determined. The effective date of the root of title is the date on which it was recorded.
- (7)(3) "Title transaction" means any recorded instrument or court proceeding that which affects title to any estate or interest in land and that which describes the land sufficiently to identify its location and boundaries.
- "homeowners' association" means a homeowners' association as defined in s. 720.301, a corporation or other entity responsible for the operation of property in which the voting membership is made up of the owners of the property or their agents, or a combination thereof, and in which membership is a mandatory condition of property ownership, or an association of parcel owners which is authorized to enforce a community covenant or restriction use restrictions that is are imposed on the parcels.
 - (3) (5) The term "Parcel" means any real property that

Page 4 of 28

which is used for residential purposes that is subject to exclusive ownership and which is subject to any covenant or restriction of a property owners' homeowners' association.

(2)(6) The term "Covenant or restriction" means any agreement or limitation contained in a document recorded in the public records of the county in which a parcel is located which subjects the parcel to any use or other restriction or obligation which may be enforced by a homeowners' association or which authorizes a homeowners' association to impose a charge or assessment against the parcel or the owner of the parcel or which may be enforced by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection pursuant to chapter 376 or chapter 403.

Section 3. Section 712.05, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

712.05 Effect of filing notice.-

- (1) A person claiming an interest in land or other right subject to extinguishment under this chapter a homeowners' association desiring to preserve a covenant or restriction may preserve and protect such interest or right the same from extinguishment by the operation of this chapter act by filing for record, at any time during the 30-year period immediately following the effective date of the root of title, a written notice in accordance with s. 712.06 this chapter.
- (2) A property owners' association may preserve and protect a community covenant or restriction from extinguishment

Page 5 of 28

by the operation of this chapter by filing for record, at any time during the 30-year period immediately following the effective date of the root of title:

- (a) A written notice in accordance with s. 712.06; or
- (b) A summary notice in substantial form and content as required under s. 720.3032(2); or an amendment to a covenant or restriction that is indexed under the legal name of the property owners' association and references the recording information of the covenant or restriction to be preserved. Failure of a summary notice or amendment to be indexed to the current owners of the affected property does not affect the validity of the notice or vitiate the effect of the filing of such notice.
- (3) A Such notice under subsection (1) or subsection (2) preserves an interest in land or other such claim of right subject to extinguishment under this chapter, or a such covenant or restriction or portion of such covenant or restriction, for not less than up to 30 years after filing the notice unless the notice is filed again as required in this chapter. A person's disability or lack of knowledge of any kind may not delay the commencement of or suspend the running of the 30-year period. Such notice may be filed for record by the claimant or by any other person acting on behalf of a claimant who is:
 - (a) Under a disability;

- (b) Unable to assert a claim on his or her behalf; or
- (c) One of a class, but whose identity cannot be

Page 6 of 28

established or is uncertain at the time of filing such notice of claim for record.

Such notice may be filed by a homeowners' association only if the preservation of such covenant or restriction or portion of such covenant or restriction is approved by at least two-thirds of the members of the board of directors of an incorporated homeowners' association at a meeting for which a notice, stating the meeting's time and place and containing the statement of marketable title action described in s. 712.06(1)(b), was mailed or hand delivered to members of the homeowners' association at least 7 days before such meeting. The property owners' homeowners' association or clerk of the circuit court is not required to provide additional notice pursuant to s. 712.06(3) for a notice filed under subsection (2). The preceding sentence is intended to clarify existing law.

(4)(2) It is shall not be necessary for the owner of the marketable record title, as described in s. 712.02 herein defined, to file a notice to protect his or her marketable record title.

Section 4. Subsections (1) and (3) of section 712.06, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

712.06 Contents of notice; recording and indexing.-

(1) To be effective, the notice referred to in s. 712.05, other than the summary notice and the amendment referred to in

Page 7 of 28

s. 712.05(2)(b), must shall contain:

- (a) The name or description <u>and mailing address</u> of the claimant or the <u>property owners'</u> homeowners' association desiring to preserve any covenant or restriction and the name and particular post office address of the person filing the claim or the homeowners' association.
- (b) The name and mailing post office address of an owner, or the name and mailing post office address of the person in whose name the said property is assessed on the last completed tax assessment roll of the county at the time of filing, who, for purpose of such notice, shall be deemed to be an owner; provided, however, if a property owners' homeowners' association is filing the notice, then the requirements of this paragraph may be satisfied by attaching to and recording with the notice an affidavit executed by the appropriate member of the board of directors of the property owners' homeowners' association affirming that the board of directors of the property owners' homeowners' association caused a statement in substantially the following form to be mailed or hand delivered to the members of that property owners' homeowners' association:

STATEMENT OF MARKETABLE TITLE ACTION

The [name of <u>property owners'</u> homeowners' association] (the "Association") has taken action to ensure that the [name of

Page 8 of 28

declaration, covenant, or restriction], recorded in Official Records Book, Page, of the public records of

County, Florida, as may be amended from time to time, currently burdening the property of each and every member of the Association, retains its status as the source of marketable title with regard to the affected real property the transfer of a member's residence. To this end, the Association shall cause the notice required by chapter 712, Florida Statutes, to be recorded in the public records of County, Florida. Copies of this notice and its attachments are available through the Association pursuant to the Association's governing documents regarding official records of the Association.

- (c) A full and complete description of all land affected by such notice, which description shall be set forth in particular terms and not by general reference, but if said claim is founded upon a recorded instrument or a covenant or a restriction, then the description in such notice may be the same as that contained in such recorded instrument or covenant or restriction, provided the same shall be sufficient to identify the property.
- (d) A statement of the claim showing the nature, description, and extent of such claim or other right subject to extinguishment under this chapter or, in the case of a covenant or restriction, a copy of the covenant or restriction or a

Page 9 of 28

reference to the book and page or instrument number in which the same is recorded, except that it is shall not be necessary to show the amount of any claim for money or the terms of payment.

- (e) If such claim or other right subject to extinguishment under this chapter is based upon an instrument of record or a recorded covenant or restriction, such instrument of record or recorded covenant or restriction shall be deemed sufficiently described to identify the same if the notice includes a reference to the book and page in which the same is recorded.
- (f) Such notice shall be acknowledged in the same manner as deeds are acknowledged for record.
- (3) The person providing the notice referred to in s. 712.05, other than a notice for preservation of a community covenant or restriction, shall:
- (a) Cause the clerk of the circuit court to mail by registered or certified mail to the purported owner of said property, as stated in such notice, a copy thereof and shall enter on the original, before recording the same, a certificate showing such mailing. For preparing the certificate, the claimant shall pay to the clerk the service charge as prescribed in s. 28.24(8) and the necessary costs of mailing, in addition to the recording charges as prescribed in s. 28.24(12). If the notice names purported owners having more than one address, the person filing the same shall furnish a true copy for each of the several addresses stated, and the clerk shall send one such copy

251 to the purported owners named at each respective address. Such 252 certificate shall be sufficient if the same reads substantially 253 as follows: 254 255 I hereby certify that I did on this, mail by 256 registered (or certified) mail a copy of the foregoing notice to 257 each of the following at the address stated: 258 259 ... (Clerk of the circuit court) ... 260 of County, Florida, 261 By... (Deputy clerk) ... 262 263 The clerk of the circuit court is not required to mail to the 264 purported owner of such property any such notice that pertains 265 solely to the preserving of any covenant or restriction or any 266 portion of a covenant or restriction; or 267 (b) Publish once a week, for 2 consecutive weeks, the 268 notice referred to in s. 712.05, with the official record book 269 and page number in which such notice was recorded, in a 270 newspaper as defined in chapter 50 in the county in which the 271 property is located. 272 Section 5. Section 712.11, Florida Statutes, is amended to 273 read: 274 712.11 Covenant revitalization.—A property owners' 275 homeowners' association not otherwise subject to chapter 720 may

Page 11 of 28

use the procedures set forth in ss. 720.403-720.407 to revive covenants that have lapsed under the terms of this chapter.

Section 6. Section 712.12, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

- 712.12 Covenant or restriction revitalization by parcel owners not subject to a homeowners' association.—
 - (1) As used in this section, the term:

- (a) "Community" means the real property that is subject to a covenant or restriction that is recorded in the county where the property is located.
- (b) "Covenant or restriction" means any agreement or limitation imposed by a private party and not required by a governmental agency as a condition of a development permit, as defined in s. 163.3164, which is contained in a document recorded in the public records of the county in which a parcel is located and which subjects the parcel to any use restriction that may be enforced by a parcel owner.
- (c) "Parcel" means real property that is used for residential purposes and that is subject to exclusive ownership and any covenant or restriction that may be enforced by a parcel owner.
- (d) "Parcel owner" means the record owner of legal title to a parcel.
- (2) The parcel owners of a community not subject to a homeowners' association may use the procedures set forth in ss.

Page 12 of 28

2018 HB 617

301 720.403-720.407 to revive covenants or restrictions that have lapsed under the terms of this chapter, except:

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

- (a) A reference to a homeowners' association or articles of incorporation or bylaws of a homeowners' association under ss. 720.403-720.407 is not required to revive the covenants or restrictions.
- The approval required under s. 720.405(6) must be in (b) writing, and not at a meeting.
- The requirements under s. 720.407(2) may be satisfied by having the organizing committee execute the revived covenants or restrictions in the name of the community.
- The indexing requirements under s. 720.407(3) may be satisfied by indexing the community name in the covenants or restrictions as the grantee and the parcel owners as the grantors.
- (3) With respect to any parcel that has ceased to be governed by covenants or restrictions as of October 1, 2018, the parcel owner may commence an action by October 1, 2019, for a judicial determination that the covenants or restrictions did not govern that parcel as of October 1, 2018, and that any revitalization of such covenants or restrictions as to that parcel would unconstitutionally deprive the parcel owner of rights or property.
- (4) Revived covenants or restrictions that are implemented pursuant to this section do not apply to or affect the rights of

Page 13 of 28

326	the parcel owner which are recognized by any court order or		
327	judgment in any action commenced by October 1, 2019, and any		
328	such rights so recognized may not be subsequently altered by		
329	revived covenants or restrictions implemented under this section		
330	without the consent of the affected parcel owner.		
331	Section 7. Paragraph (e) is added to subsection (2) of		
332	section 720.303, Florida Statutes, to read:		
333	720.303 Association powers and duties; meetings of board;		
334	official records; budgets; financial reporting; association		
335	funds; recalls		
336	(2) BOARD MEETINGS		
337	(e) At the first board meeting, excluding the		
338	organizational meeting, which follows the annual meeting of the		
339	members, the board shall consider the desirability of filing		
340	notices to preserve the covenants or restrictions affecting the		
341	community or association from extinguishment under the		
342	Marketable Record Title Act, chapter 712, and to authorize and		
343	direct the appropriate officer to file notice in accordance with		
344	s. 720.3032.		
345	Section 8. Section 720.3032, Florida Statutes, is created		
346	to read:		
347	720.3032 Notice of association information; preservation		
348	from Marketable Record Title Act		
349	(1) Any property owners' association desiring to preserve		
350	covenants from potential termination after 30 years by operation		

Page 14 of 28

in w	hich the community is located a notice specifying:
	(a) The legal name of the association.
	(b) The mailing and physical addresses of the association.
	(c) The names of the affected subdivision plats and
cond	ominiums or, if not applicable, the common name of the
comm	unity.
	(d) The name, address, and telephone number for the
curr	ent community association management company or community
asso	ciation manager, if any.
	(e) Indication as to whether the association desires to
pres	erve the covenants or restrictions affecting the community
or a	ssociation from extinguishment under the Marketable Record
Titl	e Act, chapter 712.
	(f) A listing by name and recording information of those
cove	nants or restrictions affecting the community which the
asso	ciation desires to be preserved from extinguishment.
	(g) The legal description of the community affected by the
cove	nants or restrictions, which may be satisfied by a reference
to a	recorded plat.
	(h) The signature of a duly authorized officer of the
asso	ciation, acknowledged in the same manner as deeds are
ackn	owledged for record.
	(2) Recording a document in substantially the following

Page 15 of 28

form satisfies the notice obligation and constitutes a summary

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

375

376	notice as specified in s. 712.05(2)(b) sufficient to preserve
377	and protect the referenced covenants and restrictions from
378	extinguishment under the Marketable Record Title Act, chapter
379	<u>712.</u>
380	
381	Notice of (name of association) under s. 720.3032, Florida
382	Statutes, and notice to preserve and protect covenants and
383	restrictions from extinguishment under the Marketable Record
384	Title Act, chapter 712, Florida Statutes.
385	
386	Instructions to recorder: Please index both the legal name
387	of the association and the names shown in item 3.
388	1. Legal name of association:
389	2. Mailing and physical addresses of association:
390	<u></u>
391	3. Names of the subdivision plats, or, if none, common
392	name of community:
393	4. Name, address, and telephone number for management
394	company, if any:
395	5. This notice does does not constitute a notice
396	to preserve and protect covenants or restrictions from
397	extinguishment under the Marketable Record Title Act.
398	6. The following covenants or restrictions affecting the
399	community which the association desires to be preserved from
400	extinguishment:

Page 16 of 28

401	(Name of instrument)		
402	(Official Records Book where recorded & page)		
403	(List of instruments)		
404	(List of recording information)		
405	7. The legal description of the community affected by the		
406	listed covenants or restrictions is: (Legal description,		
407	which may be satisfied by reference to a recorded plat)		
408	This notice is filed on behalf of (Name of		
409	association) as of (Date)		
410	(Name of association)		
411			
412	By:		
413	(Name of individual officer)		
414	(Title of officer)		
415	(Notary acknowledgment)		
416			
417	(3) A copy of the notice, as filed, must be included as		
418	part of the next notice of meeting or other mailing sent to all		
419	members.		
420	(4) The original signed notice must be recorded in the		
421	official records of the clerk of the circuit court or other		
422	recorder for the county.		
423	Section 9. Section 702.09, Florida Statutes, is amended to		
424	read:		
425	702.09 Definitions.—For the purposes of ss. 702.07 and		

Page 17 of 28

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434 435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

702.08, the words "decree of foreclosure" shall include a judgment or order rendered or passed in the foreclosure proceedings in which the decree of foreclosure shall be rescinded, vacated, and set aside; the word "mortgage" shall mean any written instrument securing the payment of money or advances and includes liens to secure payment of assessments arising under chapters 718 and 719 and liens created pursuant to the recorded covenants of a property owners' homeowners' association as defined in s. 712.01; the word "debt" shall include promissory notes, bonds, and all other written obligations given for the payment of money; the words "foreclosure proceedings" shall embrace every action in the circuit or county courts of this state wherein it is sought to foreclose a mortgage and sell the property covered by the same; and the word "property" shall mean and include both real and personal property.

Section 10. Subsection (1) of section 702.10, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 702.10 Order to show cause; entry of final judgment of foreclosure; payment during foreclosure.—
- (1) A lienholder may request an order to show cause for the entry of final judgment in a foreclosure action. For purposes of this section, the term "lienholder" includes the plaintiff and a defendant to the action who holds a lien encumbering the property or a defendant who, by virtue of its

Page 18 of 28

status as a condominium association, cooperative association, or property owners' homeowners' association, may file a lien against the real property subject to foreclosure. Upon filing, the court shall immediately review the request and the court file in chambers and without a hearing. If, upon examination of the court file, the court finds that the complaint is verified, complies with s. 702.015, and alleges a cause of action to foreclose on real property, the court shall promptly issue an order directed to the other parties named in the action to show cause why a final judgment of foreclosure should not be entered.

(a) The order shall:

- 1. Set the date and time for a hearing to show cause. The date for the hearing may not occur sooner than the later of 20 days after service of the order to show cause or 45 days after service of the initial complaint. When service is obtained by publication, the date for the hearing may not be set sooner than 30 days after the first publication.
- 2. Direct the time within which service of the order to show cause and the complaint must be made upon the defendant.
- 3. State that the filing of defenses by a motion, a responsive pleading, an affidavit, or other papers before the hearing to show cause that raise a genuine issue of material fact which would preclude the entry of summary judgment or otherwise constitute a legal defense to foreclosure shall constitute cause for the court not to enter final judgment.

Page 19 of 28

4. State that a defendant has the right to file affidavits or other papers before the time of the hearing to show cause and may appear personally or by way of an attorney at the hearing.

- 5. State that, if a defendant files defenses by a motion, a verified or sworn answer, affidavits, or other papers or appears personally or by way of an attorney at the time of the hearing, the hearing time will be used to hear and consider whether the defendant's motion, answer, affidavits, other papers, and other evidence and argument as may be presented by the defendant or the defendant's attorney raise a genuine issue of material fact which would preclude the entry of summary judgment or otherwise constitute a legal defense to foreclosure. The order shall also state that the court may enter an order of final judgment of foreclosure at the hearing and order the clerk of the court to conduct a foreclosure sale.
- 6. State that, if a defendant fails to appear at the hearing to show cause or fails to file defenses by a motion or by a verified or sworn answer or files an answer not contesting the foreclosure, such defendant may be considered to have waived the right to a hearing, and in such case, the court may enter a default against such defendant and, if appropriate, a final judgment of foreclosure ordering the clerk of the court to conduct a foreclosure sale.
- 7. State that if the mortgage provides for reasonable attorney fees and the requested attorney fees do not exceed 3

Page 20 of 28

percent of the principal amount owed at the time of filing the complaint, it is unnecessary for the court to hold a hearing or adjudge the requested attorney fees to be reasonable.

- 8. Attach the form of the proposed final judgment of foreclosure which the movant requests the court to enter at the hearing on the order to show cause.
- 9. Require the party seeking final judgment to serve a copy of the order to show cause on the other parties in the following manner:
- a. If a party has been served pursuant to chapter 48 with the complaint and original process, or the other party is the plaintiff in the action, service of the order to show cause on that party may be made in the manner provided in the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.
- b. If a defendant has not been served pursuant to chapter 48 with the complaint and original process, the order to show cause, together with the summons and a copy of the complaint, shall be served on the party in the same manner as provided by law for original process.

Any final judgment of foreclosure entered under this subsection is for in rem relief only. This subsection does not preclude the entry of a deficiency judgment where otherwise allowed by law. The Legislature intends that this alternative procedure may run simultaneously with other court procedures.

Page 21 of 28

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

- The right to be heard at the hearing to show cause is waived if a defendant, after being served as provided by law with an order to show cause, engages in conduct that clearly shows that the defendant has relinquished the right to be heard on that order. The defendant's failure to file defenses by a motion or by a sworn or verified answer, affidavits, or other papers or to appear personally or by way of an attorney at the hearing duly scheduled on the order to show cause presumptively constitutes conduct that clearly shows that the defendant has relinquished the right to be heard. If a defendant files defenses by a motion, a verified answer, affidavits, or other papers or presents evidence at or before the hearing which raise a genuine issue of material fact which would preclude entry of summary judgment or otherwise constitute a legal defense to foreclosure, such action constitutes cause and precludes the entry of a final judgment at the hearing to show cause.
- (c) In a mortgage foreclosure proceeding, when a final judgment of foreclosure has been entered against the mortgagor and the note or mortgage provides for the award of reasonable attorney fees, it is unnecessary for the court to hold a hearing or adjudge the requested attorney fees to be reasonable if the fees do not exceed 3 percent of the principal amount owed on the note or mortgage at the time of filing, even if the note or mortgage does not specify the percentage of the original amount that would be paid as liquidated damages.

Page 22 of 28

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

If the court finds that all defendants have waived the right to be heard as provided in paragraph (b), the court shall promptly enter a final judgment of foreclosure without the need for further hearing if the plaintiff has shown entitlement to a final judgment and upon the filing with the court of the original note, satisfaction of the conditions for establishment of a lost note, or upon a showing to the court that the obligation to be foreclosed is not evidenced by a promissory note or other negotiable instrument. If the court finds that a defendant has not waived the right to be heard on the order to show cause, the court shall determine whether there is cause not to enter a final judgment of foreclosure. If the court finds that the defendant has not shown cause, the court shall promptly enter a judgment of foreclosure. If the time allotted for the hearing is insufficient, the court may announce at the hearing a date and time for the continued hearing. Only the parties who appear, individually or through an attorney, at the initial hearing must be notified of the date and time of the continued hearing.

Section 11. Section 712.095, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

712.095 Notice required by July 1, 1983.—Any person whose interest in land is derived from an instrument or court proceeding recorded subsequent to the root of title, which instrument or proceeding did not contain a description of the

Page 23 of 28

land as specified by $\underline{s.712.01(7)}$ $\underline{s.712.01(3)}$, and whose interest had not been extinguished prior to July 1, 1981, shall have until July 1, 1983, to file a notice in accordance with s. 712.06 to preserve the interest.

Section 12. Section 720.403, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

720.403 Preservation of residential communities; revival of declaration of covenants.—

- (1) Consistent with required and optional elements of local comprehensive plans and other applicable provisions of the Community Planning Act, property owners homeowners are encouraged to preserve existing residential and other communities, promote available and affordable housing, protect structural and aesthetic elements of their residential community, and, as applicable, maintain roads and streets, easements, water and sewer systems, utilities, drainage improvements, conservation and open areas, recreational amenities, and other infrastructure and common areas that serve and support the residential community by the revival of a previous declaration of covenants and other governing documents that may have ceased to govern some or all parcels in the community.
- (2) In order to preserve a residential community and the associated infrastructure and common areas for the purposes described in this section, the parcel owners in a community that

Page 24 of 28

was previously subject to a declaration of covenants that has ceased to govern one or more parcels in the community may revive the declaration and the homeowners' association for the community upon approval by the parcel owners to be governed thereby as provided in this act, and upon approval of the declaration and the other governing documents for the association by the Department of Economic Opportunity in a manner consistent with this act.

(3) Part III of this chapter is intended to provide mechanisms for the revitalization of covenants or restrictions for all types of communities and property associations and is not limited to residential communities.

Section 13. Section 720.404, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

720.404 Eligible residential communities; requirements for revival of declaration.—Parcel owners in a community are eligible to seek approval from the Department of Economic Opportunity to revive a declaration of covenants under this act if all of the following requirements are met:

- (1) All parcels to be governed by the revived declaration must have been once governed by a previous declaration that has ceased to govern some or all of the parcels in the community;
- (2) The revived declaration must be approved in the manner provided in s. 720.405(6); and
 - (3) The revived declaration may not contain covenants that

Page 25 of 28

are more restrictive on the parcel owners than the covenants contained in the previous declaration, except that the declaration may:

- (a) Have an effective term of longer duration than the term of the previous declaration;
- (b) Omit restrictions contained in the previous declaration;

- (c) Govern fewer than all of the parcels governed by the previous declaration;
- (d) Provide for amendments to the declaration and other governing documents; and
- (e) Contain provisions required by this chapter for new declarations that were not contained in the previous declaration.
- Section 14. Subsections (1), (3), (5), and (6) of section 720.405, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
 - 720.405 Organizing committee; parcel owner approval.-
- (1) The proposal to revive a declaration of covenants and an a-homeowners' association for a community under the terms of this act shall be initiated by an organizing committee consisting of not less than three parcel owners located in the community that is proposed to be governed by the revived declaration. The name, address, and telephone number of each member of the organizing committee must be included in any notice or other document provided by the committee to parcel

Page 26 of 28

owners to be affected by the proposed revived declaration.

- (3) The organizing committee shall prepare the full text of the proposed articles of incorporation and bylaws of the revived homeowners' association to be submitted to the parcel owners for approval, unless the association is then an existing corporation, in which case the organizing committee shall prepare the existing articles of incorporation and bylaws to be submitted to the parcel owners.
- (5) A copy of the complete text of the proposed revised declaration of covenants, the proposed new or existing articles of incorporation and bylaws of the homeowners! association, and a graphic depiction of the property to be governed by the revived declaration shall be presented to all of the affected parcel owners by mail or hand delivery not less than 14 days before the time that the consent of the affected parcel owners to the proposed governing documents is sought by the organizing committee.
- (6) A majority of the affected parcel owners must agree in writing to the revived declaration of covenants and governing documents of the homeowners' association or approve the revived declaration and governing documents by a vote at a meeting of the affected parcel owners noticed and conducted in the manner prescribed by s. 720.306. Proof of notice of the meeting to all affected owners of the meeting and the minutes of the meeting recording the votes of the property owners shall be certified by

Page 27 of 28

a court reporter or an attorney licensed to practice in the state.

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

Section 15. Subsection (3) of section 720.407, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

720.407 Recording; notice of recording; applicability and effective date.—

(3) The recorded documents shall include the full text of the approved declaration of covenants, the articles of incorporation and bylaws of the homeowners! association, the letter of approval by the department, and the legal description of each affected parcel of property. For purposes of chapter 712, the association is deemed to be and shall be indexed as the grantee in a title transaction and the parcel owners named in the revived declaration are deemed to be and shall be indexed as the grantors in the title transaction.

Section 16. This act shall take effect October 1, 2018.

Page 28 of 28

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:

HB 623

Out-of-Country Foreign Money Judgments

SPONSOR(S): Byrd

TIED BILLS: None. IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 760

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
1) Civil Justice & Claims Subcommittee	MM MacNamara	Bond NB	
2) Judiciary Committee			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Florida is not required to recognize or enforce an out-of-country foreign judgment. However, to encourage international trade and to encourage other countries to recognize Florida judgments, the state has elected to provide a limited framework for the recognition of foreign judgments. In general, all such judgments are recognized, although there are exceptions to such recognition. Some exceptions are mandatory, others are discretionary.

The bill adds two discretionary exceptions whereby a Florida court is not required to recognize or enforce a foreign judgment. Specifically, a Florida court is not required to recognize or enforce a foreign judgment if:

- The judgment was rendered in circumstances that raise substantial doubt about the integrity of the rendering court with respect to the judgment; or
- The specific proceeding in the foreign court leading to the judgment was not compatible with the requirements of due process of law.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

The bill provides an effective date of upon becoming a law.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background: Foreign Judgments

A person who holds a civil judgment against another person has certain legal rights pursuant to that judgment related to enforcement and collection of the judgment. The United States Constitution requires Florida courts to give full faith and credit to judgments of other state courts, but is silent as to whether a state must give any faith or credit to judgments from foreign countries. While Congress clearly could regulate whether and how states recognize a judgment from a foreign country under the federal treaty powers, there is currently no federal law or treaty that requires Florida to recognize any foreign judgment.

In 1962, the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws (NCCUSL)¹ developed the Uniform Foreign Money-Judgments Recognition Act (UFMJRA), which recognized the general principles of comity with respect to foreign money judgments. In its prefatory note, the NCCUSL stated:

The Act states rules that have long been applied by the majority of courts in this country. In some respects the Act may not go as far as the decisions. The Act makes clear that a court is privileged to give the judgment of the court of a foreign country greater effect than is required to do by the provisions of the Act. . . . Because the Act is not selective and applies to judgments from any foreign court, the Act states that judgments rendered under a system which does not provide impartial tribunals or procedures compatible with the requirements of due process of law shall neither be recognized nor enforced.

The Act does not prescribe a uniform enforcement procedure. Instead, the Act provides that a judgment entitled to recognition will be enforceable in the same manner as the judgment of a court of a sister state which is entitled to full faith and credit.²

In order to encourage and regulate trade, and in order to encourage courts of other foreign countries to recognize Florida's judgments, Florida has adopted the Uniform Foreign Money-Judgments Recognition Act, at ss. 55.601-55.607, F.S. Section 55.604, F.S., provides that Florida will generally accept and enforce a judgment rendered in a foreign jurisdiction. Section 55.605, F.S., however, provides a number of grounds under which a Florida court either must or may refuse to recognize a judgment from a foreign country. A Florida court must refuse to recognize a foreign judgment:

- That was rendered under a system which does not provide impartial tribunals or does not provide due process of law;
- . If the foreign court did not have personal jurisdiction over the defendant; or
- If foreign court did not have jurisdiction over the subject matter.³

A Florida court may refuse to recognize an out-of-country foreign judgment if:

- The defendant did not receive adequate notice of the foreign court proceedings;
- The judgment was obtained by fraud;

3 s. 55.605(1)(a-c), F.S.

STORAGE NAME: h0623.CJC.DOCX

DATE: 11/21/2017

¹ The NCCUSL is a non-profit organization comprised of state commissions on uniform laws from each state and certain U.S. territories.

² Nat'l Conference of Comm'rs on Uniform State Laws, *Uniform Foreign Money-Judgments Recognition Act*, 1 (1962), available at http://www.uniformlaws.org/shared/docs/foreign%20money%20judgments%20recognition/ufmjra%20final%20 act.pdf, p. 1 (last accessed November 21, 2017).

- The cause of action or claim for relief on which the judgment is based is repugnant to the public policy of this state;
- The judgment conflicts with another final and conclusive order;
- The parties had an agreement to litigate the matter in a court other than the one that rendered the judgment;
- The foreign court was a seriously inconvenient forum for the trial of the action;
- The foreign jurisdiction where the judgment was rendered would not give recognition to a similar judgment rendered in this state; or
- The cause of action resulted in a defamation judgment obtained in a jurisdiction outside the
 United States, unless the court sitting in this state first determines that the defamation law
 applied in the foreign court's adjudication provided at least as much protection for freedom of
 speech and press in that case as would be provided by the United States Constitution and the
 State Constitution.⁴

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill adds two exceptions whereby a Florida court may refuse to recognize or enforce a foreign judgment; one related to the integrity of the rendering court and the other related to due process of law. These two additional exceptions are newly adopted provisions of the Uniform Foreign Money-Judgements Recognition Act.⁵ Moreover, these new exceptions may be contrasted with s. 55.605(1), F.S., which provides that a Florida court may not enforce a foreign judgment if:

The judgment was rendered under a system which does not provide impartial tribunals or procedures incompatible with the requirements of due process of law.

Integrity of the Rendering Court

The bill allows, but does not require, a Florida court to not enforce a foreign judgment where the judgment was rendered in circumstances that raise substantial doubt about the integrity of the rendering court. In adopting this provision as part of the Uniform Act, the NCCUSL provided the following comment:

This provision may be contrasted with [s. 55.605(1), F.S.] which requires that the forum court refuse recognition to the foreign-country judgment if it was rendered under a *judicial system* that does not provide impartial tribunals...

On the other hand, [the newly created exception] allows the court to deny recognition to the foreign-country judgment if it finds a lack of impartiality and fairness in the *individual proceeding* leading to the foreign country judgment. Thus, the difference is that between showing, for example, that corruption and bribery is so prevalent throughout the judicial system of the foreign country as to make that entire judicial system one that does not provide impartial tribunals versus showing that bribery of the judge in the proceeding that resulted in the particular foreign-country judgment under consideration had a sufficient impact on the ultimate judgment as to call it into question.⁶

Consequently, the exception provided for in the bill would allow a Florida court to not enforce a judgment where the integrity of the specific proceeding is called in to question, despite the fact that the foreign system may generally be impartial.

DATE: 11/21/2017

⁴ s. 55.605(2)(a-h), F.S.

⁵http://www.uniformlaws.org/shared/docs/foreign%20country%20money%20judgments%20recognition/ufcmjra_am05_bin_der.pdf, p. 12-14 (last visited November 21, 2017).

⁶ ld. at p. 13, lines 12-19. STORAGE NAME: h0623.CJC.DOCX

Due Process of Law

The United States Constitution and the Florida Constitution provides that no person shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law. Although there is no precise definition of "due process," the term embodies a fundamental conception of fairness. The Federal and State Due Process clauses are intended to protect individuals from arbitrary and unreasonable governmental interference with a person's right to life, liberty, and property.

The bill allows, but does not require, a Florida court to not enforce a foreign judgment where the specific proceeding in the foreign court leading to the judgment was not compatible with the requirements of due process of law. This is in contrast to s. 55.605(1), F.S., which focuses on the judicial system in its entirety, as opposed to a single proceeding. The NCCUSL provided the following comment on this distinction:

Thus, the difference is that between showing, for example, that there has been such a breakdown of law and order in the particular foreign country that judgments are rendered on the basis of political decisions rather than the rule of law throughout the judicial system versus a showing that for political reasons the particular party against whom the foreign country judgment was entered was denied fundamental fairness in the particular proceedings leading to the foreign country judgment.⁹

Similar to the other exception provided for in the bill, this exception would allow a Florida court to not enforce a judgment where the fundamental fairness of a specific proceeding is called in to question, despite the fact that the foreign system may generally be fair.

B. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends s. 55.605, F.S., related to grounds for nonrecognition.

Section 2: Provides the bill is effective upon becoming law.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1.	Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

Expenditures:

None.

STORAGE NAME: h0623.CJC.DOCX

DATE: 11/21/2017

⁷ See Scull v. State, 569 So.2d 1251 (Fla. 1990); see also School Bd. Of Palm Beach County v. Survivors Charter Schools, Inc., 3 So.3d 1220 (Fla. 2009) (Due process calls for such procedural protections as the particular situation demands.).

⁸ See *Noel v. State*, 191 So.3d 370 (Fla. 2016) ("This clause protects the individual against the arbitrary and unreasonable exercise of governmental power.") (internal citations omitted).

⁹ See FN. 3, supra, p. 13, lines 30-35.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR: None.D. FISCAL COMMENTS: None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

- Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:
 Not applicable. The bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.
- Other:None.
- B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY: Not applicable.
- C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:
 None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: h0623.CJC.DOCX DATE: 11/21/2017 HB 623 2018

18 19

20

21

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to out-of-country foreign money judgments; amending s. 55.605, F.S.; providing additional circumstances in which an out-of-country foreign judgment need not be recognized; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraphs (i) and (j) are added to subsection (2) of section 55.605, Florida Statutes, to read:

55.605 Grounds for nonrecognition.-

- (2) An out-of-country foreign judgment need not be recognized if:
- (i) The judgment was rendered in circumstances that raise substantial doubt about the integrity of the rendering court with respect to the judgment.
- (j) The specific proceeding in the foreign court leading to the judgment was not compatible with the requirements of due process of law.
 - Section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 1 of 1



STORAGE NAME: h6515.CJC

DATE: 11/29/17

November 29, 2017

SPECIAL MASTER'S FINAL REPORT

The Honorable Richard Corcoran Speaker, The Florida House of Representatives Suite 420, The Capitol Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1300

Re: HB 6515 - Representative Altman

Relief/Cathleen Smiley/Brevard County

THIS IS AN UNCONTESTED CLAIM FOR \$25,000 AGAINST BREVARD COUNTY FOR INJURIES AND DAMAGES SUFFERED BY CATHLEEN SMILEY WHEN A BREVARD COUNTY BUS CAUSED ANOTHER VEHICLE TO CRASH INTO HER TRUCK ON JUNE 18, 1998.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

Cathleen Smiley ("Claimant"), while driving a two-door, 1994 Ford Ranger pickup truck on June 18, 1998, was the victim of a severe chain reaction accident caused by a bus owned and operated by Brevard County ("the County").

On the day of the accident, Claimant's truck was momentarily stopped on a public roadway while waiting to make a left turn. A van approached Claimant's truck from behind in the same lane and began slowing down. Meanwhile, a County bus rapidly approached the van from behind at about 45 miles per hour. As the bus approached the slowing van, the bus failed to adequately brake and crashed into the van, which in turn crashed into Claimant's stopped truck. The crash resulted in disabling damage to each of the three vehicles. Claimant was wearing her seatbelt at the time of the crash.

When Claimant's truck was hit from behind, her head smashed into the back window, splintering the glass and knocking her unconscious. Claimant received 38 stitches as a result.

After the accident, Claimant required physical therapy and her medical bills accrued, causing substantial strain on her family. Moreover, due to the injuries sustained in the accident, as a certified nursing assistant Claimant was no longer able to fulfill the physically demanding requirements of her work.¹

Dr. Christopher Prusinski, a board-certified neurologist who examined Claimant the month after the car accident, opined that Claimant had suffered a "permanent impairment." He stated that Claimant would likely need periodic and lifelong chiropractic care or physical therapy.

After the accident, the County initiated disciplinary proceedings against the driver of the bus, ultimately terminating his employment for his actions relating to the accident.

LITIGATION HISTORY:

On or about February 29, 2000, Claimant and her husband filed suit against the County. However, they were not the only plaintiffs injured in the accident. Three other plaintiffs resolved their cases with the County first, and the County's payments to those plaintiffs have already reached the maximum sovereign immunity limit of \$200,000. As a result, the County has been unable to pay Claimant any recovery except for property damage compensation. Claimant's only remedy at this point is the passage of a claim bill.

Claimant entered into a settlement agreement with the County on May 27, 2014, for \$25,000. The Brevard County Board of County Commissioners has approved the settlement.

CLAIMANT'S POSITION:

Claimant argues the County is liable for the injuries she sustained from the accident and seeks the amount agreed upon in the settlement agreement.

RESPONDENT'S POSITION:

The County wholly admits fault for the accident and does not oppose the claim bill.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Regardless of whether there is a jury verdict or a settlement agreement, every claim bill must be reviewed *de novo* in light of the standard elements of negligence.

Duty & Breach

At the time of the accident, the County bus was being operated by a County employee in the scope of his employment with the County. The County owed a duty to Claimant and others upon the roadway to operate its bus in a reasonably safe manner and breached this duty to Claimant when it negligently failed to brake and rear-ended a van, causing the accident.

¹ Claimant now works in a less physically demanding job.

SPECIAL MASTER'S FINAL REPORT--Page 3

Causation

The County's breach of the duty of care caused Claimant's injuries when the County bus crashed into a van, causing the van to rear-end Claimant's truck.

Damages

The amount of damages for \$25,000 is wholly reasonable under the circumstances and supported by the evidence. As a result of the accident, Claimant was knocked unconscious and sustained injuries resulting in medical bills over \$22,000. Some of those bills are outstanding to this day, and Claimant continues to suffer pain and discomfort. Moreover, the accident caused Claimant to be unable to work for a time and caused significant stress and financial strain on her family life.

ATTORNEY'S/ LOBBYING FEES: Claimant's attorney has agreed to take 25% of the total recovery, and there are no lobbying fees. Outstanding costs are \$2,343.12.

COLLATERAL SOURCES:

Claimant received \$10,000 from Allstate Insurance as a result of a personal injury protection (PIP) insurance plan. That money went towards medical bills as well as supporting Claimant while she was unemployed after the accident. Claimant also received from the County \$8,650 for the property damage to the truck.

RESPONDENT'S ABILITY
TO PAY:

The County states that it is able to pay the full amount of \$25,000 out of its self-insurance fund without affecting county operations.

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY:

This is the first time this claim bill has been presented to the Legislature.²

SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS:

The bill should be amended to reflect that Claimant's current married name is Cathleen L. Waller.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

I recommend that House Bill 6515 be reported FAVORABLY.

Respectfully submitted,

JORDÁN JONES

House Special Master

cc: Representative Altman, House Sponsor Senator Mayfield, Senate Sponsor Kellie Cochran, Senate Special Master

² The Legislature has previously paid claim bills arising out of this same accident to two other claimants. House Bills 797 and 799 (2003) were for the relief of the driver and a passenger of the van struck by the County bus.

A bill to be entitled

An act for the relief of Cathleen Smiley by Brevard County; providing for an appropriation to compensate Cathleen Smiley for personal injuries and damages sustained in an automobile accident caused by a Brevard County employee; providing for payment by Brevard County; providing a limitation on the payment of compensation and attorney fees; providing an effective date.

8 9

WHEREAS, on June 18, 1998, Cathleen Smiley was the driver of her vehicle when it was struck in the rear section by a van driven by Howard Evarts which had been struck in the rear section by a passenger bus owned by the Brevard County Board of County Commissioners, and

WHEREAS, the Brevard County employee operating the bus was traveling at approximately 45 miles per hour when the bus hit the vehicle in which Mr. Evarts was traveling, causing Mr. Evarts' vehicle to hit Ms. Smiley's vehicle, and

WHEREAS, the vehicles which Ms. Smiley and Mr. Evarts were operating were appropriately stopped in their lane of travel waiting to make a left turn, and

WHEREAS, at the time of the accident, Ms. Smiley was without personal resources for medical insurance, other than nominal personal injury protection, to adequately care for the

Page 1 of 4

26 injuries she suffered as a result of the accident, and
27 WHEREAS, Ms. Smiley was knocked unconscious and suffered
28 permanent injuries to the neck and left shoulder, and

WHEREAS, Christopher Prusinski, D.O., a neurologist in Brevard County, has opined that Ms. Smiley has reached maximum medical improvement and has an 8 percent whole body impairment due to the accident, and

WHEREAS, Dr. Prusinski also has opined that Ms. Smiley will require extensive future chiropractic care and treatment, and

WHEREAS, since the accident Ms. Smiley has required continuing care and treatment, and it is anticipated that she will require ongoing care in the future, including chiropractic treatment and periodic medical intervention and diagnostic testing, and

WHEREAS, on January 25, 2016, a consent judgment was entered after Ms. Smiley and Brevard County agreed to a stipulated judgment in the amount of \$25,000 in case number 05-2000-CA-004291-XXXX-XX, and

WHEREAS, Ms. Smiley is one of five persons who filed lawsuits related to the accident, and

WHEREAS, at the time Ms. Smiley filed her lawsuit, on or about February 29, 2000, Brevard County had already paid property damage, medical, and injury claims totaling \$101,410. Additionally, the county was evaluating two additional related personal injury lawsuits, and

Page 2 of 4

WHEREAS, after these property damage, medical, and injury claims were settled, only \$98,590 remained to resolve the other claims filed in connection with the accident, and

WHEREAS, Howard and Sharon Evarts and Alan Hammer filed their lawsuits against Brevard County on June 24, 1999, and

WHEREAS, consent judgments were entered by the Circuit Court for the 18th Judicial Circuit in Brevard County on November 30, 2000, pursuant to an agreement entered into by plaintiffs Evarts and Hammer and Brevard County for stipulated judgments in case numbers 05-1999-CA-025509-XXXX-XX (Evarts) and 05-1999-CA025510-XXXX-XX (Hammer), each in the amount \$125,000, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Evarts and Mr. Hammer each received \$49,295 out of the remaining balance of \$98,590 of the county's \$200,000 sovereign immunity limitation and, pursuant to their settlement agreements with Brevard County, received the balance of their judgments through the claim bill process as articulated in chapter 2003-346, Laws of Florida, and chapter 2003-345, Laws of Florida, respectively, and

WHEREAS, Brevard County and Ms. Smiley agreed that she would pursue payment of the stipulated judgment due her in the amount of \$25,000 from the county through the claim bill process, and

WHEREAS, Brevard County has agreed that it would not oppose a claim bill being rendered against the county in this matter

Page 3 of 4

and would support same, NOW, THEREFORE,

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

 Section 1. The facts stated in the preamble to this act are found and declared to be true.

 Section 2. Brevard County is authorized and directed to appropriate from funds of the county not otherwise appropriated and to draw a warrant in the sum of \$25,000 payable to Cathleen Smiley to compensate her for personal injuries and damages sustained.

Section 3. The amount paid by Brevard County pursuant to s. 768.28, Florida Statutes, and the amount awarded under this act are intended to provide the sole compensation for all present and future claims arising out of the factual situation described in this act which resulted in injuries and damages to Cathleen Smiley. The total amount paid for attorney fees relating to this claim may not exceed 25 percent of the amount awarded under this act.

Section 4. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 4 of 4

IN RE SENATE BILL 52 – RELIEF OF CATHLEEN SMILEY BY BREVARD COUNTY AND

HOUSE BILL 6515 - RELIEF/CATHLEEN SMILEY/BREVARD COUNTY

ATTORNEY'S FEE AND COST AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA

COUNTY OF BREVARD

PERSONALLY appeared before me, a Notary Public, MICHAEL S. HAYWORTH, ESQUIRE, who upon being duly sworn, deposes and states:

- 1. I am the attorney of record for the Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter.
- Pursuant to Section §768.28, Florida Statutes, the attorney's fees in the above-captioned matter are capped at 25% of any judgment or settlement.
 - There are no lobbyist fees or costs associated with these Claims Bills.
- Other costs incurred to date total \$2,343.12 and are made up of the specific costs as detailed on the attached Itemization of Costs.

Further, Affiant sayeth not.

SWORN TO and subscribed before me this 31 day of October , 2017.

My Commission Expires: 1/9/2021

Jennifer Kelly
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF FLORIDA
Comm# GG061000
Expires 1/9/2021

IN RE SENATE BILL 52 – RELIEF OF CATHLEEN SMILEY BY BREVARD COUNTY

AND

HOUSE BILL 6515 - RELIEF/CATHLEEN SMILEY/BREVARD COUNTY

ITEMIZATION OF COSTS

Fee for copy of accident report	1	\$1.00
Fee for Defendant owner information	1	\$0.50
Fee for Defendant 7 year driver history		\$3.10
Fee for copies of medical records	2)	\$51.94
Fee for recorded statement of Dr. Prusinski		\$200.00
Fee for transcript of recorded statement	į)	\$105.70
Fee for color copies of PD photographs	i	\$19.14
Fee for filing of Complaint and service of process	1	\$378.00
Fee for filing of non-resident cash bond	3	\$5.00
Fee for overnight delivery charges	:	\$149.22
Mileage and toll reimbursement	1	\$413.92
Fee for legal research and person searches	:	\$36.40
Fee for publication of Claims Bill	ż.	\$21.16
Fee for Court Reporter for Skype attendance	7	\$250.00
Hotel expense for attendance at hearing	4	\$168.38
Long distance telephone expenses	1	\$44.78
Fee for postage, copies and facsimiles	3	\$494.88
Total Costs	:	\$2,343.12



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT
Bill No. HB 6515 (2018)

Amendment No. 1

ACTION
(Y/N)

Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Civil Justice & Claims Subcommittee

Representative Altman offered the following:

4 5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1

2

3

Amendment (with title amendment)

Remove lines 85-94 and insert:

Smiley, now known as Cathleen Waller, to compensate her for personal injuries and damages sustained.

Section 3. The amount paid by Brevard County pursuant to s. 768.28, Florida Statutes, and the amount awarded under this act are intended to provide the sole compensation for all present and future claims arising out of the factual situation described in this act which resulted in injuries and damages to Cathleen Smiley. Of the amount awarded under this act, the total amount paid for attorney fees may not exceed \$6,250.00, no amount may be paid for lobbyist fees, and the total amount paid

968919 - h6515-line0085.docx

Published On: 12/5/2017 6:16:35 PM



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT Bill No. HB 6515 (2018)

Amendment No. 1

fo	costs and other similar expenses relating to this claim may
not	exceed \$2,343.12.
	TITLE AMENDMENT
	Remove lines 8-9 and insert:
of	fees and costs; providing an effective date.

968919 - h6515-line0085.docx

Published On: 12/5/2017 6:16:35 PM



STORAGE NAME: h6517.CJC

DATE: 11/29/17

November 29, 2017

SPECIAL MASTER'S FINAL REPORT

The Honorable Richard Corcoran Speaker, The Florida House of Representatives Suite 420, The Capitol Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1300

Re: HB 6517 - Representative Cortes

Relief/Robert Allan Smith/Orange County

THIS IS A CONTESTED CLAIM IN THE AMOUNT OF \$750,000¹ AGAINST ORANGE COUNTY FOR INJURIES AND DAMAGES SUFFERED BY ROBERT ALLAN SMITH WHEN HIS MOTORCYCLE WAS STRUCK BY AN ORANGE COUNTY WORK VAN ON SEPTEMBER 7, 2006.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

This matter arises out of a motor vehicle crash that occurred on September 7, 2006, in Orlando, Florida, at the intersection of DePauw Avenue and Orlando Street. DePauw Avenue runs north/south, while Orlando Street runs east/west. This intersection is located in a residential neighborhood where the speed limit is 25 miles per hour. It is a four-way intersection with Orlando having stop signs and DePauw having the right-of-way and no stop signs. The accident occurred during daylight hours on a dry day.

On the day of the accident, Claimant, who lived on DePauw Avenue, was repairing his motorcycle, which had recently idled out. He assembled and disassembled several parts and test-drove the motorcycle around the block twice. According to Claimant, the motorcycle would falter when changing gears and not accelerate. On Claimant's third test drive around the block,

¹ The bill also seeks to extinguish certain liens for Claimant's treatment and care.

the accident occurred.

Around 1:45 p.m., Lynn Godden, an Orange County employee on duty at the time, was driving an Orange County work van westbound on Orlando Street. Mr. Godden approached the intersection of Orlando Street and DePauw Avenue and stopped at the stop sign controlling Orlando Street. He looked to his left down DePauw Avenue and witnessed Claimant. According to Mr. Godden, he saw Claimant on a motorcycle but believed Claimant was heading in the opposite direction, away from the intersection of Orlando and DePauw. According to Claimant, he made eye contact with Mr. Godden and believed that Mr. Godden was aware of Claimant's presence. Mr. Godden, with parked vehicles partially blocking his view, crept forward a few feet into the intersection. Apparently believing the intersection to be clear, Mr. Godden continued driving west on Orlando Street.

At the same time, Claimant entered the intersection on his motorcycle traveling northbound. Seeing the van, Claimant attempted to steer his motorcycle to the left to avoid a collision, but to no avail. The front of the van struck Claimant, sending Claimant flying into the air a distance of about 23 feet.

Mr. Godden stopped after clearing the intersection and ran to Claimant's aid. Nelson Dean, a carpenter working at a nearby house, ran to the scene and called 911. Claimant, who apparently never lost consciousness, asked Mr. Godden for his cell phone and called his wife. The ambulance arrived and took Claimant to the hospital. In the ambulance logs, it is reported that Claimant stated he was traveling 50 miles per hour. Claimant denies ever stating he was traveling at that speed and Eric Miller, the paramedic attending Claimant, could not be sure who stated the speed. Claimant testified he was traveling 20 to 25 miles per hour and due to his motorcycle's deficiencies, he does not believe it possible that he could have been traveling faster. Mr. Dean, who witnessed Claimant on his motorcycle and Mr. Godden stopped at the stop sign, stated Claimant was traveling 35 or 40 miles per hour.

The front of the Orange County van hit Claimant on his right side, requiring his right leg to be amputated above the knee. Claimant also fractured his left fibula, foot, and pelvis. He incurred over \$551,527.37 in medical bills, although it appears many (if not all) of the bills were paid by third parties such as Medicaid or the Department of Veteran Affairs. There are outstanding liens against any award Claimant may receive.

Claimant continues to suffer the effects of his injuries, suffering from what is apparently a recurring leg infection. He went on to complete his college degree but struggled to find employment until recently. He is now employed at Image Depot Express in Lakeland, Florida, where he earns twelve dollars an hour doing graphic design work. Claimant receives social security disability

for about \$800 per month, along with Veteran Affairs benefits from past military service.

The record indicates that Mr. Godden, the driver of the Orange County van, has received multiple traffic citations over the course of his life, including failure to obey a stop sign. Mr. Godden is now retired from Orange County.

LITIGATION HISTORY:

On February 14, 2007, Claimant filed suit against Orange County in circuit court, alleging negligence by Mr. Godden and Orange County. Before trial, Claimant and his wife divorced, and she settled her claim against Orange County for \$85,000. A jury trial was held in November 2011. After the full case had been presented to the jury and after hours of jury deliberation, the judge sent the jury home for the weekend, with deliberations to resume the next Monday. One of the jurors indicated she would not return Monday. Ultimately, a mistrial was declared.

A year later, in November 2012, the case was tried again and resulted in a jury verdict of \$4,814,785.37, with the jury finding Respondent 67% at fault and Claimant 33% at fault. The jury's calculations of damages were as follows:

Past Lost Earnings	\$137,280.00
Past Medical Expenses	551,527.37
Future Medical Expenses	2,376,000.00
Past Pain & Suffering	228,258.00
Future Pain & Suffering	1,521,720.00
Total Damages	\$4,814,785.37

The trial court reduced the damages in part for collateral sources of medical expenses and Claimant's portion of fault and entered a final judgment for \$2,913,536.09. Respondent did not appeal and paid the statutory cap of \$100,000. Claimant's ex-wife has a lien against Claimant for about \$40,000 (that is, half of Claimant's reduced award for past lost wages).

CLAIMANT'S POSITION:

Claimant argues Respondent is liable for the negligence of its employee, Mr. Godden, for failing to yield the right-of-way to Claimant; and that the jury verdict, while too low an amount, should be honored. Claimant asserts he was traveling 25 miles per hour or slower at the time of the accident and that he was in no way negligent. Claimant states that he has not yet received any payout from the \$100,000, because that money is held in trust until the matter is resolved.

RESPONDENT'S POSITION:

Respondent opposes the claim bill, arguing that Claimant was comparatively negligent in the accident. Respondent asserts that Claimant was traveling at a speed of up to 50 miles per hour at the time of the accident and implies Claimant may have had alcohol in his blood. At the Special Master hearing, Respondent argued that Claimant was up to 75% responsible for the accident, not 33% as the jury found. Respondent objects to the

calculation of medical damages.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

Regardless of whether there is a jury verdict or a settlement agreement, every claim bill must be reviewed *de novo* in light of the standard elements of negligence.

Duty & Breach

Mr. Godden owed a duty to Claimant to stop and yield the intersection to Claimant. Under Florida law, a driver approaching an intersection with a stop sign must stop, and after stopping, must "yield the right of way to any vehicle" in the intersection or which is approaching so closely as to constitute a hazard. Mr. Godden, as he approached the intersection, owed a duty to Claimant, who had no stop sign and enjoyed the right-of-way. Mr. Godden was acting within the scope of employment with Orange County, and thus Respondent is liable for Mr. Godden's actions under the doctrine of respondeat superior. Mr. Godden breached his duty to Claimant when he proceeded through the intersection without the right-of-way.

Causation

The primary point of contention between the parties is whether, and to what extent, Claimant's own negligence contributed to the accident. Claimant argues that he bears zero fault, while Respondent argues that Claimant bears up to 75% of the responsibility for the accident.³

To support its argument that Claimant's negligence contributed to the accident, Respondent makes two main assertions: first, that Claimant was traveling at a speed of up to 50 miles per hour in a residential area when the crash occurred; and second, that Claimant may have been impaired by alcohol. It is undisputed that Claimant was not wearing a helmet.

Claimant's speed at the time of the crash was hotly contested at trial and at the Special Master hearing, with Claimant stating he was traveling at 25 miles per hour or less, and Respondent arguing Claimant was traveling at 35 to 50 miles per hour.

Eyewitness Nelson Dean stated that Claimant was traveling at 35 to 40 miles per hour. Additionally, paramedic Eric Miller's medical notes state that Claimant told the first responders that he was traveling about 50 mph. Claimant denied at the Special Master hearing that he ever said this to Mr. Miller.

Both parties presented extensive expert testimony as to Claimant's speed. Orion Keifer, a mechanical engineer, testified for Claimant that Claimant was traveling 25 miles per hour or less

² See s. 316.123(2)(a), F.S.

³ In Florida, the doctrine of comparative fault provides for apportionment of the loss among those whose fault contributed to the occurrence. See Hoffman v. Jones, 280 So. 2d 431, 436 (Fla. 1973). A plaintiff's negligence diminishes the proportionality of the amount awarded but does not bar recovery. See s. 768.81(2), F.S.

based on where Claimant's body and motorcycle landed after the crash. The distance from impact to the resting place of Claimant's body was between 45 and 50 feet. Mr. Keifer opined that if Claimant had been traveling 50 miles per hour, he would have been thrown 160-180 feet instead.

Respondent's expert, Dr. James Ipser, opined that Claimant was traveling about 50 miles per hour when the accident occurred. Dr. Ipser opined that Claimant went airborne upon impact with the van and then hit guide wires on a nearby telephone pole, causing Claimant's body to stop traveling as far as it would have otherwise gone. Dr. Ipser also opined that if Claimant had been traveling at 25 miles per hour, he would have had opportunity to avoid the accident.

With regard to the possible presence of alcohol impairment, there was some evidence that a hospital record reflected Claimant having had some alcohol on the day of the accident. Additionally, Respondent cites to Claimant's two prior DUI convictions⁴ as evidence that he may have been drinking and driving the day of the accident. Claimant denies alcohol impairment on the day of the accident, and the two paramedics who stabilized and transported Claimant did not report any alcohol. It appears that no blood alcohol analysis was performed at the hospital.

I find that the jury's determination that Claimant was 33% responsible and Respondent was 67% responsible is wholly reasonable. The jury had the opportunity to evaluate these factors and ultimately decided that Claimant's percentage of fault lay at 33%—that is, between the 0% argued by Claimant and the 75% argued by Respondent. No testimony or arguments presented at the Special Master hearing have shown any reason to disturb the jury's apportionment of liability.

Damages

Claimant's damages are severe and life-altering. His right leg was amputated above the knee, and that loss continues to plague him to this day. His left leg was fractured, and his pelvis was broken. The parties presented different estimates for the cost of purchasing and maintaining a prosthetic leg, with Claimant's expert estimating the average annual cost at \$55,164, and Respondent's expert estimating it at \$44,400.

In the years following the trial, Claimant has had his prosthetic replaced and continues to suffer from complications from the amputation. In December 2016, he was hospitalized for an infection in his right leg. Claimant is now overweight and diabetic.

⁴ Claimant was convicted of driving under the influence twice, apparently in 2000 and 2001. Additionally, Claimant had received his reinstated license about a week before the accident. While he did not have a motorcycle endorsement, he stated he took the written test and was allowed to ride without passengers until he passed the driving test.

Respondent asserts that many, if not all, of the medical costs have been shouldered by third parties such as the Department of Veteran Affairs and Medicaid.⁵ Respondent also suggests Claimant needs a new prosthetic only every ten years instead of every five.

Notwithstanding Respondent's arguments, I conclude the jury's award and resulting final judgment of \$2.9 million is an appropriate amount to compensate Claimant for his losses. In the instant claim bill, Claimant seeks only \$750,000 plus the possible extinguishment of certain medical liens, which is reasonable.

ATTORNEY'S/ LOBBYING FEES: Claimant's attorneys will limit their fees to 25 percent of any amount awarded by the Legislature. Out of this amount, 5 percent will go to lobbyist fees.

RESPONDENT'S ABILITY TO PAY:

At the time of the accident, Orange County maintained a self-insured retention fund for \$1,000,000 with an excess insurance policy for \$10 million. If the claim bill were to pass, \$670,510.74 would be paid from the self-insured retention fund, and the remaining amount would purportedly be paid from the excess policy.

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY:

This is the second session this claim has been presented to the Legislature. Last session, CS/HB 6509 (2017)—which sought the full excess jury verdict amount of \$2,813,536.09—passed the House by a vote of 109-4, but it died in Senate Judiciary. Current House Bill 6517 seeks the lesser amount of \$750,000 plus the extinguishing of certain medical liens.⁶

RECOMMENDATION:

I recommend that House Bill 6517 be reported FAVORABLY.

Respectfully submitted,

JORDAN JONES

House Special Master

cc: Representative Robert Cortes, House Sponsor Senator Torres, Senate Sponsor Ashley Istler, Senate Special Master

⁵ The Department of Veteran Affairs has a lien for about \$181,000 and Medicaid has a lien for about \$42,000 (reduced from \$335,000).

⁶ Senate Bill 54 (2018) seeks the full \$2,813,536.09 but does not state that any liens are to be extinguished.

A bill to be entitled

An act for the relief of Robert Allan Smith by Orange County; providing for an appropriation to compensate Mr. Smith for injuries he sustained as a result of the negligence of an employee of Orange County; providing legislative intent regarding lien interests held by the state; providing a limitation on the payment of attorney fees; providing an effective date.

1 2

WHEREAS, at 1:43 p.m. on September 7, 2006, Robert Allan Smith was driving his motorcycle north on DePauw Avenue, the quiet residential street he lived on in Orlando, within 300 feet of his home, within the 25-mile-per-hour posted speed limit, and with the motorcycle's headlights on in clear, dry weather, and

WHEREAS, as Mr. Smith approached the intersection of DePauw Avenue and Orlando Street, at which stop signs are posted for vehicles traveling on Orlando Street, Orange County employee Lynn Lawrence Godden, who was driving an Orange County work van west on Orlando Street, negligently pulled away from the stop sign, colliding with Mr. Smith, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Smith said he saw the driver of the Orange County van visibly slow down as he approached the stop sign, having observed Mr. Smith approaching on his motorcycle, but that the driver drove through the stop sign and into Mr. Smith's path and Mr. Smith was unable to avoid a collision, and

Page 1 of 4

WHEREAS, the front of the Orange County van struck Mr. Smith, causing severe and life-threatening injuries and necessitating surgical procedures, including a traumatic amputation of his right leg above his knee, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Smith also suffered a badly fractured lower left leg with internal fixation, a broken pelvis and sacrum with internal fixation, and damage to the rectum and internal organs, which required a laparotomy, and

WHEREAS, the Orange County employee testified that he observed Mr. Smith's motorcycle as he approached the intersection, but he erroneously believed that it was heading away from him, though there was no evidence to support this claim, and that he was looking to his right, away from Mr. Smith, when he entered the intersection, and

WHEREAS, the Orange County employee was issued a citation by the Orlando Police Department for failure to yield from a stop sign, and

WHEREAS, after finding for Mr. Smith and against Orange County in a civil jury trial, the jury in the case determined that Mr. Smith's future medical expenses totaled \$2,376,000 over 40 years and that his past medical expenses and lost wages totaled \$688,807.37, and awarded him \$1,749,978 in damages for past and future pain and suffering, for a total award of \$4,814,785.37, and

WHEREAS, after the total award was reduced by amounts for

Page 2 of 4

comparative negligence and Medicaid and Veterans Administration setoffs, a final judgment was entered against Orange County on November 27, 2012, in the amount of \$2,913,536.09, and

WHEREAS, Orange County has paid Mr. Smith \$100,000 pursuant to the statutory limits of liability set forth in s. 768.28, Florida Statutes, which were in effect at the time Mr. Smith's claim arose, leaving a remaining unpaid balance of \$2,813,536.09, NOW, THEREFORE,

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. The facts stated in the preamble to this act are found and declared to be true.

Section 2. Orange County is authorized and directed to appropriate from funds not otherwise encumbered and to draw a warrant in the sum of \$750,000 payable to Robert Allan Smith as compensation for injuries and damages he sustained as a result of the negligence of an employee of Orange County.

Section 3. It is the intent of the Legislature that the lien interests relating to the claim of Robert Allan Smith for the treatment and care of Robert Allan Smith, including Medicaid liens, are hereby waived or extinguished.

Section 4. The amount paid by Orange County pursuant to s. 768.28, Florida Statutes, and the amount awarded under this act are intended to provide the sole compensation for all present

Page 3 of 4

and future claims arising out of the factual situation described in this act which resulted in injuries and damages to Robert

Allan Smith. The total amount of attorney fees relating to this claim may not exceed 25 percent of the amount awarded under this act.

76

77

78

79

80

81

Section 5. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 4 of 4

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR ORANGE COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: 07-CA-1925

ROBERT ALAN SMITH,	
Plaintiff,	
vs.	
ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF	
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS,	
Defendant.	

AFFIDAVIT OF DAVID B. MOFFETT AND ALBERT BALIDO

BEFORE ME, the undersigned authority, personally appeared DAVID B. MOFFETT,

Esq., attorney with Morgan and Morgan, P.A., who, after being duly sworn, deposes and says:

- The attorney's fees that Mr. Smith has agreed to pay Morgan and Morgan, P.A. for legal services is a flat twenty-five percent (25%) of any amount that may be awarded by the Legislature pursuant to Mr. Smith's claim bill petition.
- Morgan and Morgan, P.A. agreed to pay its lobbyist, Mr. Albert Balido with Anfield Consulting in Tallahassee, Fl., five percent (5%) of any amount that may be awarded by the Legislature pursuant to Mr. Smith's claim bill petition.
- 3. The attorney's fees specified in paragraph 1 above include the lobbyist fees specified in paragraph 2 above, thus reducing Morgan and Morgan's fee to an effective fee of twenty percent (20%) of any amount that may be awarded by the Legislature pursuant to Mr. Smith's claim bill petition.

- 4. The total dollar amount of outstanding law firm costs that will be paid from any amount that may be awarded by the legislature is \$71,511.15 (seventy one thousand, five hundred eleven dollars and three cents), to include Valenzuela and Stern (per lien letter \$2,697.44); Nation Law Firm (\$10,493.68); and Morgan and Morgan (\$58,320.03).
- 5. I, Albert Balido, agree with the forgoing statement of lobbyists fees.

Albert Balido (dated

6. The dollar amount of costs that were paid from the statutory cap payment is zero dollars (\$0). All of the statutory cap payment (\$100,000) is held in trust pending resolution of the claims bill petition.

FURTHER, AFFIANT SAYETH NOT

DAVID B MOFFET

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF ORANGE

The foregoing instrument was subscribed and sworn to before me this 4th day of October, 2017 by **DAVID B. MOFFETT**, who is personally known to me and who did take an oath.

INDIRA HOLGUIN
MY COMMISSION # FF 115863
EXPIRES: April 24, 2018
Bonded Thru Natary Public Underwriters

Notary Public
My commission expires:



COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT Bill No. HB 6517 (2018)

Amendment No. 1

	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION
- 1	ADOPTED (Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)
1	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)
- 1	WITHDRAWN (Y/N)
	OTHER
1	Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Civil Justice & Claims
2	Subcommittee
3	Representative Cortes, B. offered the following:
4	
5	Amendment (with title amendment)
6	Remove lines 78-80 and insert:
7	Allan Smith. Of the amount awarded under this act, the total
8	amount paid for attorney fees may not exceed \$150,000.00, the
9	total amount paid for lobbyist fees may not exceed \$37,500.00,
10	and the total amount paid for costs and other similar expenses
11	relating to this claim may not exceed \$71,511.15.
12	
13	
14	TITLE AMENDMENT
15	Remove line 8 and insert:
16	fees and costs; providing an effective date.

975187 - h6517-line0078.docx

Published On: 12/5/2017 6:17:34 PM